

Gul Hayat Institute

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Commissioner's office,

Karachi, 21st June 1906.

MEMORANDUM.

The Commissioner in Sind has the honour to submit the papers noted in

Letter No. 990, dated the 28th March 1905, from the the margin containing proposals

Letter No. 990, dated the 28th March 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Send Frontier, and accompaniments.

Letter No. 3199, dated the 8th June 1905, from the Superintending Engineer, Indus Right Bank Division.

Letter No. 2519, dated the 9th July 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier district, and accompaniment.

the margin, containing proposals for the revision of the irrigational settlement in the Jacobahad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

- The Commissioner accepts the grouping proposed by Mr. Baker, except that, after considering the remarks contained in paragraph 5 of the Superintending Engineer's letter and in paragraph 4 of Mr. Beyts' letter of the 9th July 1905, he does not think that there is a sufficient case for the proposed division of the first group into two sections I-A and I-B. He feels no doubt, for the reasons given by the Superintending Engineer and the Deputy Commissioner, about the advisability of placing in group I-A the nine dehs of group I-B mentioned by the former and the dehs of the same group in which the rice cultivation is below 50 acres. Of the remaining dehs, four in number, viz., Shahpur, Bachalpur, Mauladad and Khair wah, the statement at page 63 of the papers will show that in two (Bachalpur and Mauladad) the area under rice cultivation is inappreciable,—only 85 and 53 acres, respectively,—and that in the other two it is comparatively small. It would scarcely be worth while creating a separate group of these four dehs, and, having regard to the desirability of restricting rice cultivation where possible, the Commissioner has no hesitation in recommending that they should be put on the same footing as the dehs included by Mr. Baker in group I-A. The only distinction between the two groups (I-A and I-B), viz., the difference between their rice rates, being thus removed, the Commissioner recommends that they should be amalgamated and formed into a single group I.
- 3. The Commissioner accepts the proposal to maintain the present rate for "other flow," and, in the special circumstances mentioned by Mr. Baker, to assess garden cultivation according to the actual mode of irrigation employed, but he thinks that, in view of the orders of the Government of India received with Government Resolution No. A. I.84, dated the 16th January 1906, the lift rate might be reduced by 4 annas all round. The statistics show that this form of cultivation has steadily declined during the present settlement. A small reduction such as is proposed might prove an encouragement, and it is worth while making the experiment. The loss of revenue will amount to about Rs. 733 only, even if no expansion results.
- 4. "Lift aided by flow" and "flow aided by lift" should, the Commissioner proposes, be assessed in accordance with the principle advocated in this office memorandum No. 1199, dated the 11th May 1906. The following rates are proposed:—

Group.		Flow sided by lift.	Lift aided by flow.				
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				
1	•••	2 10 0	2 2 0				
\mathbf{II}		2 6 0	1 14 0				
III		2 2 0	1 10 0				

The particular areas in which the combined supply should be treated as "flow aided by lift" and "lift aided by flow," respectively, will, with the permission of Government, be settled by the Commissioner in consultation with the local officers.

5. Mr. Baker proposes to leave the "rabi bosi" rate unchanged, on the ground that it should be the same as the "kharif flow" rate. On the same ground, he proposed last year a reduction in the bosi rate of the Thul taluka; but, for the reasons given in paragraph 44 of his letter, the Honourable Mr. Muir Mackenzie negatived the proposal, and allowed the existing rates to continue. As in Thul, so in Jacobabad, "rabi bosi" is an important class of irrigation, the area under it having increased during the settlement as follows:—

•		Acres.
Average area during first four years of settlement	•••	18,374
Average area during last four years of settlement	***	22,788
Last year (1903-1904)		31,168

The Commissioner does not see why it should necessarily pay only as much as kharif flow and not more, as it does in the 2nd and 3rd groups of the Thul taluka. He accordingly proposes an increase of 4 annas all round, so as to raise the rates of the three groups to Rs. 3, Rs. 2-12 and Rs. 2-8.

- 6. Mr. Baker proposes to reduce the "sailabi" rates to the level of his proposed rates for "bosi." But in the preceding paragraph the Commissioner has proposed an enhancement of the "bosi" rates, which brings them to the level of the present "sailabi" rates. The Commissioner would therefore allow the latter rates to continue. No reasons justifying their reduction have been advanced.
- 7. The Commissioner would recommend a corresponding increase (viz., 4 annas an acre) to Mr. Baker's rates for irrigated rabi, with a view to maintain the existing difference (8 annas) between pure "bosi" or "sailabi" and "bosi or sailabi aided by lift." The enhanced rate will apply also to the other forms of irrigated rabi, viz., rabi lift and rabi flow, both of which are usually assessed at the same rates as "bosi or sailabi aided by lift," if not more. Even after enhancement, the rates will be less than those of the Thul taluka by 4 annas in each group.
- 8. The Commissioner approves of Mr. Baker's proposals as regards woods and meadows and dubari. Wells will pay the reduced kharif lift rate, in accordance with the new rule 6 of the rules for the administration of irrigational settlements, subject to the condition embodied in that rule, viz., that, if a number, irrigated by well water, also receives a supply from the river or from a canal or any other natural source, it shall be assessed at the rates assigned to the description of irrigation so received.
- 9. In appendix III-B showing the proposed grouping, three dehs—Nawra, Dhad and Rahimabad—have been wrongly included in group I-B. According to paragraph 17 of Mr. Baker's report, they belong to the new group II.
- 10. The present guarantee will expire at the end of the current year. The Commissioner would recommend that the rates be introduced next year, and levied from 1907-08 for a period of 10 years.
- 11. A statement containing the substance of the petitions of objections is forwarded, together with a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's remarks (letter No. 3759, dated the 9th December 1905), on the petitions. The Commissioner does not consider that any sufficient grounds have been shown against the proposed rates.

Adverting to Mr. Beyts' remarks in paragraph 11 of his letter, the "woods and meadows" referred to by Mr. Baker are elsewhere known as "huris," babul groves and fodder reserves, the existing orders about which are contained in the Commissioner's Special Circular No. 3. The Commissioner is unable to understand Mr. Beyts' difficulties. The special rate proposed is to be charged on lands which are solely used for a babul grove or fodder reserve, and not on those in which grass grows from an accidental or occasional overflow of water. Any profits realised from these would be assessed under rule 2 of the Sind Fallow Rules. In cases where the overflow was due to deliberate waste on the part of an occupant, the rules regulating waste of water (Special Circular No. 42) would be enforced.

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND, Commissioner in Sind.

To



Gul Hayat Institute

STATEMENT showing the present and proposed groups and the existing rates in the Jacobabad taluka with those proposed by the Settlement Officer and the Commissioner in Sind.

KHARIF.

		PRESENT RATES.							PROPOSED RATES.																				
	roposed groups and fo, of villages.	No."of group.		Gardens.		Rice.		Other flow.	Lift.	Lift aided by flow.	Chabi or wells.	Rown		Gardens,		Rice.		Other Bow.		Lift.		Flow, aided by lift.		Lift gided by now.	Chahi or wells,	Irrigated woods	and meadows.		Barani
			R	в. а	.] I	≀s. a	R	ls. a.	Rs. a	Rs. a.		Rs.	a.			Rs	a,	Rs.	a. I	Re. e	ı. I	Rs, a	Rs	. а.		Rs	. a.	Rs	. в.
I-A	Com- to be riced in the bear of the bear o	III	3 9	8 8	3	3 8 3 0	2	2 12 2 4	2 4 1 12	2 12 2 4	for is numis- ted in on of rhich,	1	8	of irri-		-	8	2 µ	1	2 4		2 4	2	Ī	new ation	1	6	1	8
jL-B	Under the Commissioner's proposals to be amalacameted into a single group I.	11	955	8 8	3	3 8 3 4	2 2	2 12 2 8	2 4 2 0	2 12 2 8	he cultivation is of the Commis- 0.59 as printed in he description of the description of diling which, d.	1	8 8	to the mode of irri-		4	8	2 _{.13}	-1	20	1	2 10 2 4	2	4	ice with the e administi s (Commissi	1	6	1	8
11	25 14 5 	1 11 111	9 99 99	4	38	8 8 4 8 0	222	2 12 2 8 2 4	2 4 2 0 1 12	2 12 2 8 2 4	ribed, but to der rule 6 cleaning to the cording to the cording to the cording to the cording to the cleaning to the cordinal cor	1 1 1	ass	cording	}	4	0	2	8	2 0 1 1	2	2 0 2 6	2	0 14	lin accordantules for the settlements ar No. 59).	i	4]	8
111	6 2 7 15	111 11	9 9 9	8 8 4 8 0	60 60 60	8 4 4	2 2 2	2 12 2 8 2 4	2 4 2 0 1 12	2 12 2 8 2 4	No rate is prescribed, but the callifrated diagraf for under rule 6 of the Coffiner's special discular No. 59 as written and integration results to the description of the description of the description of the rate is charged.	1 1 1	88 88	o be assessed ac gation employed.	}	3	8	2 4	4	1 12 1 8		1 12 2 2	1	12 10	Will be charged in accordance with the new rule 6 of the rules for the administration of irrigational settlements (Commissioner's special circular No. 89).	1	2	1	8
	TOTAL 59									14,	N N		日本	To											*		i		

RABI.

									ASSESSED TO THE REAL PROPERTY.									
		PRESENT.										PROPOSED.						
Proposed groups and	group.			by lift	aided by			d by	भेव नेपने	l)vs	ARI	•	ated bosi abi.	l rabi.	Chahi	Du	BARI	
No. of villages.	No. of gro	Bosi.	Sailabi.	Bosi aided by or flow	Sallabi at	Rabi flow.	Rabi lift.	Lift aided flow.	Chahi, i.e., Wells,	Watered.	Un- watered,	Barani,	Unirrigated rabi, 7.e., bosi and sailabi.	*Irrigated rabi	or wells.	Watered.	Un- watered.	Barani.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	क्ष संदर्भ संदर्भ	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Re, a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	ion r's	Rs.	Rs. a.	Rs.
Com- Com- D be ared 1. 1.	III	2 12 2 4	3 0 2 8	3 4 2 12	3 8 3 0	$\begin{smallmatrix}3&4\\2&12\end{smallmatrix}$	3 4 2 12	$\begin{array}{c c} 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	vation Comm rinted ption	0 4	0 4 0 4	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 8 \end{array}$	2 12	3 4	ith n istrati	2 0	1 0	1 8
Trider the Composition of the Co	ű	2 12 2 8	3 0 2 42	3 4 3 0	3 8 3 4	3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0	o rate is pre-cribed, but the cultivation is charged for under ride 6 of the Commissioner's special circular No. 'E as printed in italian, i.e., according to the description of enail prientien available, failing which, the rabi lift rate is charged.	0 4 0 4	0 4 0 4	1 8 1 8	3 O 2 12	3 8	ill be charged in accordance with new ricle 8, the rules for the scimioss ration of irritational settlements (Commissioner's special circular No. 59).	2 0	1 0	1 8
11 25 14 5	111 11 1	2 12 2 8 2 4	3 0 2 12 2 8	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 8 3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 4 3 0 2 13	3 4 3 0 2 12	s prescribed, for under r special circu e, a cording rightion ava	0 4 0 4 0 4	0 4 0 4 0 4	1 8 1 8 1 8	3 8 2 12	3 0 3 4	charged in f the rules for ational settle	2 0	1 0	1 8
7 15	I Il III	2 12 2 8 2 4	3 0 2 12 2 8	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 8 3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 4 3 0 2 12	No rate is charged a sioner's sitalier, it count in the rabi	0 4 0 4 0 4	0 4 0 4 0 4	1 8 1 8 1 8	2 4 2 8	3 12 3 0	Will be collected special	2 0	10	1 8
TOTAL 99]										<u> </u>					

Note.—The block type figures represent the Commissioner's rates in cases where modifications are proposed.

^{*} This includes rabi crops which have been irrigated (in any way, except from wells) after being sown.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Deputy Commissioner's office, Jacobabad, 28th March 1905.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

To

The Commissioner in Sind.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit proposals for the revision of the settlement in taluka Jacobabad of this district.

2. The taluka is bounded on the north and west by Baluchistan (tahsil Nasirabad), south by taluka Shahdadpur, the Ratodero taluka of Larkana and the Naushahro Abro and Shikarpur talukas of Sakkur, east by taluka Thul.

Its area is 462 square miles, and it is divided into 99 dehs.

3. The population is 64,972. As there are no manufactures or trades Population, trades, etc.

Of any importance, most of the population are connected in some way with agriculture. The Sindhi Musalmans (Jamots) form the bulk of the population, but the part between Miranpur and Garhi Khairo is almost entirely a Balochi country. This makes little difference from a set lement point of view, for the Baloch zamindars and cultivators here are as good as any one else. Although they are Balochis of pure blood and primitive customs, yet they are much superior as farmers to those in other parts of the district.

The Buledhi of Kandhkot taluka lives in a brushwood hut, and throws down his millet seed in a half-cleared jungle; but the western Buledhi grows rice in a neat field, surrounded by trees, and lives in a paka village, sometimes with a garden. I mention this because it is a new state of things and is due to the extension of rice cultivation.

- 4. The district contains only one town—Jacobabad, with a population of 10,787. There is only one regiment here now; but the reduction of the garrison has not reduced the prosperity of the town more than the increasing grain trade has increased it. If the last regiment is taken away, Jacobabad will still flourish. It is a rising grain market and horse market, but has no other trade of importance.
- 5. The soil is poor. There are large stretches of sand, and a great deal of kalar. The taluka is far from the river, and the soil of Sind is not really very fertile, except where it has been fertilised by river silt.

Since the last settlement, kalar has increased greatly, and there are patches in almost every field. I do not say this from hearsay, because I have watched the change with my own eyes. Indeed, even one new to the taluka could not help noticing it. The thick stubble of previous juari crops, standing in a soil which resembles Christmas cake, tells its own story.

6. The water-supply is good. Except about 3 dehs, the whole taluka is water-supply. irrigated by the Begari. The land is low, and during the last few years the Begari has been allowed to

flow at a high level, and has done so safely. But in the last year or so, the



Gul Hayat Institute

The chief rabi crop is gram. It is on the increase, because it does not impoverish the soil. It is liable to severe damage by caterpillars. Wheat is very little grown. Jambho and colza (sariha) are common.

In dubari, gram and matar (chickling vetch) are the chief crops. Dubari wheat is rare, but increasing.

The following table shows the proportion of the various kinds of cultivation in the first four years of the existing settlement and in the last year:—

•		1st four years.	1903-1904.
Rice	•••	14,836	31,112
\mathbf{K} harif flo \mathbf{w}	***	52,712	47,682
Kharif lift	•••	3,711	2,360
Rabi (bosi)	•••	18,375	31,169
Dubari		15.647	34,171

This is most instructive. It not only shows a great general increase, chiefly owing to the present high level of the Begari, but also shows the enormous increase of rice and consequently of dubari. This increase is inevitable. Rice suits the soil well, and two good crops can be grown every year without fallow. It has no enemies but drought, and at present the water-supply is sufficient in this taluka.

Lastly, rice is under-assessed, and the dubari which accompanies it practically unassessed.

If the figures of the current year were shown, the increase of rice would be even more striking. The increase in rabi is not of such a permanent nature, and has not, I think, been kept up this year. It was due to the especially favourable inundation of 1903.

12. The climate is severe. The extreme range of the thermometer at the Jacobabad Observatory is from 127° to 24° in the shade, and the annual range is usually between 90° and 100°. The heat does not damage kharif crops, if properly watered; but the cold (occasionally 10 or 15 degrees of frost in the open) does damage the rabi crops.

The severe and prolonged frost of this winter has done great damage.

The normal annual rainfall is 3.78 inches. This is enough, if it falls regularly and at the right times. But often most of it is in spring, when it does more harm than good.

Rain is useful for unirrigated rabi crops, and occasionally for the kharif crops, if it comes during a fall in the river; but generally the failure of the monsoon is a matter of indifference.

13. The out-turn of the crops is, I consider, the most important of all things to be considered in framing a settlement. In many settlements, it has been disregarded, or else only mentioned in a few words accompanied by figures derived from zamindars' statements—an obviously untrustworthy source.

This year I have done a number of small special crop experiments to get a standard by which to judge crops; and, as I have known the taluka four years, and have since done a special tour to every corner of it, mostly during harvest

time, I think I have now a fairly good idea of what the crops are worth. I attach the results of some rice experiments, with a calculation of what the assessment would be, if fixed in each case at 40 per cent. of the khatadar's not assets, which I believe is considered a fair rate. The only item I have not included is that of clearance expenses, because a deduction is made on that account from the assessment. The prices shown are those sanctioned for kharif remissions this year.

I may say that sugdasi rice in this taluka, under normally favourable conditions, produces anything from 1 kharar to 2 kharars to the acre (i. e., 1,600 to 3,200 pounds). There are many thousands of acres of rice as good as No. 9 (1 kharar 28 kasas). The two bad fields (Nos. 5 and 7) in which I experimented were chosen for their badness, and are exceptional in dehs of the first two groups.

The average in good dehs is probably 1 to 11 kharars per acre. The yield of sathri rice under favourable conditions does not, I think, vary much from 1 kharar per acre. In former times, I believe, sathri was the staple rice crop of this taluka; but now in all dehs where much rice is grown, sugdasi is almost invariable.

In my juari experiments, I found so often that the produce of average unmanured fields came to about 26 kasas per acre that I think that may be taken as the normal out-turn.

I found as much as 1 kharar 15 kasas per acre in one highly manured field, but manured fields are very rare. The price of juari varies according to the kind, but Rs. 33 is about the average.

```
26 kasas at Rs. 33 per kharar ... Rs. 14\frac{3}{10}. Khatadar's share (say \frac{8}{5}ths) ... \frac{81}{2}. Assessment at 40 per cent. ... \frac{3}{2}.
```

But this is exclusive of carriage to market.

The out-turn would be a good deal less in a year when caterpillars were bad, as they often are. This year little damage was done by them.

The out-turn of bajri is less (18—20 kasas per acre is common), but the difference in price makes it about equal to juari. I have done no experiments on til, partly because they are difficult and lengthy, and partly because there is no normal yield for such a valuable and delicate crop. Also, it is interchangeable with juari and bajri, so it must pay about as well in the long run.

I have not, of course, been able to experiment on rabi crops (all the early ones having been damaged by frost), but I think that their out-turn approximates in value to those of the kharif flow crops, and they are equally subject to loss from causes unconnected with water-supply. Wheat is a more paying crop, but there is very little soil in this taluka that will grow wheat without irrigation. What bosi wheat there is, is almost always manured.

14. In this taluka, the batai system is most common, and cash rents are rare. The customary rates of batai are as follow:—

Khatadar's share.

		3 July 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Rice		$\frac{1}{2}$ (sometimes $\frac{9}{20}$ ths).
Kharif flow	•••	$\frac{8}{5}$ ths or $\frac{5}{9}$ ths (sometimes $\frac{1}{2}$),
Kharif lift		$\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{2}{5}$ ths (sometimes $\frac{4}{9}$ ths).
Rabi wheat		$\frac{1}{2}$ (sometimes $\frac{2}{5}$ ths).
Rabi other crops		$\frac{3}{5}$ ths or $\frac{5}{6}$ ths.

The khatadar's share (or rent) is higher than it is in other districts but the tenant has compensating advantages. He does no clearance work, and the straw is his perquisite. Some khatadars near the town take a share of the straw; but shaw (even karbi) is usually not worth selling outside a radius of 8 miles.

Cash rents are taken on some lands near the town, and they are usually Rs. 6—Rs. 8 per acre, but these lands are poor, and too high to grow rice.

Value of land.

Value of land.

Value of land.

Subject (Appendices VII, VIII and IX). Each year a good deal of land has fetched very low prices and a few small pieces have fetched very high ones. The highest sale price of all (Rs. 857 per acre) was for an area of only 14 guntas, whereas in the same year mere than a thousand acres changed hands at an average of about Rs. 14. The highest mortgage price was Rs. 1,333, but it was for only 9 guntas; nearly 2,000 acres were mortgaged in the same year at an average of Rs. 5. However, the entries showing prices of Rs. 200 and upwards may be disregarded, as they all refer to lands containing wells, buildings, brickfields or trees, and mostly so close to the town as to acquire a value as prospective building sites.

But although it is impossible to arrive at any clear idea of the average value of land from such widely divergent figures, yet two things seem clear. One is that the value of land in general is rising, and the other is that the number of both sales and mortgages is decreasing. In 1896, almost all the land sold was sold at Rs. 10 per acre; the proportion of land sold at the lowest rates then became gradually less, until in 1903 the majority of the sales were at Rs. 35 and Rs. 64. On the other hand, even the latter figure, calculating at 20-years' purchase, only shows a rent of Rs. 3-3; so it is obvious that as long as land continues to be sold at Rs. 15 per acre, it is not safe to raise the rates on the poorer kinds of cultivation or in the poorer dehs.

16. The settlement now in force was framed by Mr Mules in 1893, but altered in some respects by Sir Evan James before sanction.

The rice rates proposed by Mr. Mules were 4 annas higher than those actually sanctioned.

The rates are as follow:-

	,	I			II		III			
Kharif—	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
Garden and rice	3	8	0	3	4	0	3	0	0	
Other crops under flow.	2	12	0	2	8	0	2	4	0	
Lift	2	4	0	2 2	0	0	1	12	0	
Lift aided by flow	2	12	0	2	8	0	2	4	0	
Rabi										
Bosi	2	12	0	2	8	0	2	4	0	
Bosi aided by lift or flow	3	4	0	3	0	0		12	0	
Sailabi	3	0	0		12	0	2	8	0	
Sailabi aided by lift	3	8	0	3	4	0	3	0	0	
Lift	3	4	0	3	0	0	2	12	0	
Dubari	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	
Barani	या १व	8	0	1	8	0	1	8	0	
					Ū					

Existing groups.

GROUP I.

	Gironi II	
Jacobabad.	Badal Wah.	Cantonment.
Mehrabpur.	Lal Lodro.	Pir Padhro.
Akilpur.	Dasti.	Gokalpur.
Ahmedpur.	Dilawarpur.	Kadirpur.
Abdulah Drakhan.	Bachalpur.	Khalulabad.
Alipur.	Meharshah.	Sumapur.
Abad.	Kaisarbad.	Malhuabad.
Garhi Chand.	Mauladad.	Aurangabad.
Garhi Mehrab.	Mullah Rato.	Ramzanpur.
Kaureja.	Thariri Bhaleno.	Tajo Dero.
Sheranpur.	Bhalenabad.	Izmatahad.
Pir Bakhsh,	Khair Wah.	Nizamabad.
Jahanpur.	Nawra.	Amirabad.
Alanpur.	Dhad.	Jamalabad.
Wah Ali Haidar.	Rahimabad,	Khudabad.
Kohiri.	Fatihpur.	Son Wah.
Lal Wah.	Shahpur,	Duniapur.
98-2	·	,

Existing groups—contd.

GROUP I-contd.

Allahabad.	Dodapur.	Jagir s.
Rasulabad.	Kur Rato.	Wakro.
Jafarabad.	Daro Jiand.	Ghausabad.
Kur Khairo Gachal.	Kotri.	Janidero.
Kur Biro.	Garhi Khairo.	Rindi Wahi.
Sanwan Lashari.	Wasayo.	Dadpur.

Nawazo.

GROUP II.

Shahdadpur.	Mundranipur.	Kimatabad.
Burij Salemi.	Ghauspur.	Khanpur.
Miranpur.	Attai.	Dital Wah.
Thariri.	Chajra.	Gul Wah.
Sultanpur.	Bajhani.	Lal Odho.

Detha. Reti.

GROUP III.

Bakapur.	Muhammadpur.	Milkiat-i-Sarkar					
Belo Alipur.	Wariamabad.	Shahid.					
Rasalabad.	Umranipur.	Hazaro.					
Hambhi.	Phatan Wah.	Khan Wah.					

Forests.

Belo Dickenson.

Proposed settlement.	17. The rates and gras follow:—	roups	which	I propose	aro
	Proposed rates.				

		17	oposeu	1 6666						···	
				I-A.		I-ł	3.	II.		II	I.
Kharif— Rice Flow Lift and lift Irrigated wo	ii aided b	L.:. all y flow meadows	ya	Rs. 4 2 2 1	8 12 4 6	Rs. 4. 2 2 1	a. 0 12 4	Rs. 4 2 2 1	a. 0 8 0 4	Rs. 3 2 1 1	a. 8 4 12 2
Rabi— Unirrigated Irrigated Chahi	•••	***	•••	2 3 2	12 4 4	2 3 2	12 4 4	2 3 2	8 0 0	2 2 1	4 12 12
Dubari— Unirrigated Irrigated Barani	•••	•••	•••	1 2 1	0 0 8	1 2 1	0 0 8	1 2 1	0 0 8	1 2 1	0 0 8

Gardens and melon beds to be assessed according to mode of irrigation.

In the case of rabi and dubari, "irrigated" means crops which have been irrigated (in any way except from wells) after being sown.

[&]quot;Chahi" means cultivation watered by well alone.

Proposed groups.

I-A.

Jacobabad.
Mahrabpur.
Akilpur.
Ahmedpur.
Abdullah Drakban.

Alipur. Abad. Garhi Chand. Garhi Mahrab. Kaureja. Sheranpur.
Pir Bakhsh.
Jahanpur.
Alanpur.
Wah Ali Haidar.

Kohiri.

Lal Wah.

Jagirs.

Wakro. Ghausabad. Belo Dickenson.

1-B.

Badhal Wah. Lal Lodro. Dasti, Dilawarpur. Bachalpur. Mehar Shah. Kaisarabad. Mauladad. Mulah Rato. Thariri Bhaleno. Bhalenabad. Khair Wah. Fatihpur. Shahdadpur. Shahpur.

Cantonment.

Jagirs.

Jani Dero. Dadpar. Nawazo. Rind Wahi.

11.

Bakapur.
Burij Salimi.
Rasalabad.
Belo Alipur.
Pir Padhro.
Gokalpur.
Miranpur.
Thariri.
Sultanpur.
Mundranipur.
Hambi.
Kadirpur.
Khalulabad.
Sumapur.

Malhuabad,
Ghauspur,
Attai,
Aurangabad,
Chhajra,
Bajhani,
Ramzunpur,
Tajo Dero,
Izmatabad,
Kimatabad,
Khanpur,
Muhammadpur,
Gul Wah,
Dittal Wah,

Nizamabad.
Amirabad.
Jamalabad.
Khudabad.
Duniapur
Allahabad.
Rasulabad.
Sawan Lashari.
Jafarabad.
Son Wah.
Kur Khairo Gael

Kur Khairo Gachal. Kur Biro. Lal Odho. Nawra.

Dhad. Rahimabad.

III.

Wariamabad. Umranipur. Phatan Wah. Detha. Dodapur. Reti. Shahid. Hazaro. Khan Wah. Kotri.

Kur Rato. Daro Jiand. Wasayo, Gachi Khairo. Milkiat-i-Sarkar.

The chief features of my proposals are—

- (1) a considerable increase in the rates on rice and dubari;
- (2) no alteration (with trifling exceptions) in the other rates; and
- (3) the lowering from the 1st group of the dehs most distant from market.
- 18. Before discussing the rates, it will be useful to show what they are in adjoining and neighbouring talukas.

				Shika	RPUR	•				NAUS	Ħ▲ĦR	о Авв	o.		
		Į.		11		11		1		11		111	ľ	11	7
Kharif—		Rs.	a.	Rs.	8.	Rs.	a .	Rs.	a.	Rs.	a.	Rs.	a.	Rs.	
Rice Flow Lift	 	4 3 3	8 12 8	3	12 0 12	3 2 2	6 12 8	4 3 5	8 8 0	4 3 2	0 4 12	3 3 2	.8 0 8	3 2 2	0 8 0
Rabi-														1	
Bosi Bosi + lift Lift	•••	3 4 4	12 8 4	3 4 3	0 0 12	3 4 3	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 12 \end{array}$	3 4 4	8 4 0	3 4 3	4 0 12	3 3	0 12 8	2 3 3	8 4 0
Kharif—			Tı	nr (b	ropos	sed).					RATO	DERO.			
Rice Flow Lift	 	3 2 2	12 12 4	3 2 2	8 8 0	3 2 1	4 4 12	3 3 2	12 0 8	3 2 2	8 12 4	3 2 2	4 8 0	2 2 1	12 0 12
Rabi—								3							
Bosi Bosi + lift Lift		3	12 12 12	2 8 3	8 8 8	2 3 3	4 4 4	3 3 3	0 12 12	3 3	12 8 8	2 3 3	8 4 4	2 2 2	12 12
		8	8	Мана	DPU	B. 🤝					LAR	KANA.			
Kharif-		I	-A	Į-I	3	D	7	//							
Rice Flow Lift		3 2 2	0 4 0	3 2 2	0 4 0	2 2 1	14 2 14	5 3 3	4 12 0	3 3	2 12 0	3 3 2	8 0 8	3 2 2	0 12 4
Rabi-					सक	मेव ह	यते								
Bosi Posi + lift List	•••	2 3 3	4 0 0	3 3	8 4 4	2 2 2	2 14 14	3 4 4	4	3 4 3		3 3 3	12	2 3 3	12 8
	1	_	Jaco	BABAI	p (pre	esent),				JAGOE	ABAI	prop	osed).	
Kharif—	11	h	1	\mathbf{a}	/	at	11	n	A	ti	I-B	lt	E	. 1	11
Rice Flow Lift	•••	2	8 12 4	3 2 2	4 8 0	3 2 1	0 4 12	4 2 2	8 12 4	2 2	0 12 4	4. 2 2	0 8 0	3 2 1	8 4 12
Rabi—												-			
Bosi Bosi + lift Lift	••	3 3	12 4 4	3 3	8 0 0	2 2 2	12 12	3 3	12 4 4	3 3	12 4 4	2 3 3	8 0 0	2 2 2	12 12

For the sake of clearness, I have omitted minor heads.

Rice.—I propose a considerable increase in rice rates. I have already shown what an enormous increase there has been in rice cultivation, the area having more than doubled (it has probably trebled now) during nine years of the present settlement. I have also said that high grade rice is taking the place of low grade. In the paragraph on out-turn (No. 13), I have shown how very productive this crop is and how free from loss by insects or bad

weather. It remains to say that rice uses from twice to thrice as much water as a dry crop. Now, this in an irrigational settlement is a consideration of the first importance. From an irrigational point of view, the rice + dubari rate should be not less than double the flow rate (lift may be disregarded in the higher groups of this taluka). From this point of view, therefore, my proposed rates for groups I-A, II and III are correct.

I-A
 ...
$$\begin{cases} 4 & 8 \text{ (rice)} + 1 & 0 \text{ (dubari)} \\ 2 & 12 \text{ (flow)} \times 2 & 0 \end{cases}$$
 = 5 8 =

I-B contains little rice, and a certain amount of lift.

The other points to consider in fixing rates are the out-turn, the khatadar's share and the prices. These can be considered all together. I have already shown that the out-turn of a moderate crop of sugdasi rice or a good crop of suthri rice in I-A group deh is about 1 kharar to the acre.

At present prices, which are low-

The same of the sa	At Jac	obabad.	25 Miles off.
		Rs.	Ra.
1 kharar of sugdasi	=	30	25.
1 do. sathri	3=	25	20.
Khatadar's share of sugdasi		15	121.
Do. sathri		$12\frac{1}{9}$	1.0.
40 per cent. of share sugdas	i =	6	5.
40 do. sathri	=	5	4.

I assume that 40 per cent. of the assets* is a fair assessment. I do not

*Note.— "Assets" in settlement language means rent+ profits of Sir lands. There are Sr lands in Sind called "Seri," but their extent is too small to be worth considering. think that we ought to take more in view of the amount of debt which exists even with lower rates. It must also be remembered that a land-owner has numerous expenses incidental to his position which cannot be brought into a calculation of this kind. The expense of clearance, if it is well done, commonly

exceeds the allowance made for it. Nor must had years be left out of consideration, because our Remission Rules are not lenient enough to prevent dead loss in many cases.

According to this calculation, then, my proposed rate for group I-A (Rs. 4-8) is about right for moderate crops at rather long distances and for poor crops at short distances, but light for really good crops anywhere. The rate is about the same as in most good rice talukas. It is 12 annas less than in group I of Larkana. I know that Jacobabad rice is of somewhat inferior quality to Larkana rice, but I do not think it is inferior in out-turn. The only recorded crop experiment on rice in the famous "mail" country shows an out-turn of I kharar 10 kasas per acre, and the Collector informs me that I kharar 20 kasas is considered a normal good crop. It would not be considered anything more in Jacobabad, and I have seen many fields which exceed it greatly.

I think this shows that my rate is not too high. I do not think it is too low, seeing that it makes a rise of 28 per cent. Allowance must be made for the inferior fields which exist in even the best dehs, and also for the possibility of canal failures.

Flow—I propose no alteration in the flow rates. So far from improving since the last settlement, the kharif dry crops have, in most places rather deteriorated. One reason is the alkalisation of the soil, which I have mentioned above. Another is the increase of insect pests, The rise in the level of the

Begari and the increase of rice cultivation have rendered many lands too wet for dry crops.

I have calculated above that the khatadar's share of an ordinary dry crop in a good year is worth about Rs. 8, of which 40 per cent. = Rs. 3\frac{1}{2}. Allowing for expenses of transport from moderate distances, and also allowing for bad years, I think the present rate of Rs. 2-12 is as high as is safe,

There are a few fields close to Jacobabad town for which this rate is very light; but the majority of the land in the same dehs is bad—so bad that in many places only bajri is grown, although they are within sight of the cavalry lines, which afford an excellent market for juari and karbi.

On the other hand, the Rs. 2-12 rate is too high for the more distant dehs of the present 1st group. This rate is a good deal lower than the rates in the other districts of Upper Sind, but I know from experience that the dry crops of those districts are far superior.

Lift.—The present difference between the flow and lift rates (8 annas) is not—in this taluka, at least—proportionately less than the difference between the khatadar's share on flow and lift lands, respectively. I therefore propose no alteration.

Flow + lift.—This rate is now the same as flow. I propose to make it the same as lift. I have written a good deal on this subject in other settlement reports (Rohri, Thul, etc). I will now only say that, to prevent trand and simplify work, it is best to have no separate rate for this mixed mode of irrigation; and both objects are best attained by assimilating it to lift instead of to flow. Also, and this is really the main point, the batai rate on flow + lift is usually the same as on lift.

Gardens.—The present practice is to make gardens pay the rice rate or a special rate higher still. I propose to change this and abolish the heading "gardens" altogether. For one thing, it is against the principles of an irrigation settlement to assess according to the kind of crop instead of the kind of irrigation. It may be urged that a special rate is necessary, because it is hard to say whether a garden is cultivated in kharif or in rabi. But I think this difficulty is much less than the difficulty, which arises constantly under the present system, of deciding what is and what is not garden cultivation. Correspondence about the patch of turnips in A's wheat field and the water-melons in the corner of B's juari are familiar features of Sind jamabandis.

It is a common idea that garden owners make a lot of money, and that therefore they ought to be taxed highly. I know, however, from personal experience that gardening at Jacobabad does not necessarily pay at all, and when it does, the profit is due to capital and hard work, which are not rateable assets. Of course, if gardens took excessive water or occupied land which might grow rice, it would be fair to assess them at the rice rate; but naturally they can do neither. Again, most of the so-called gardens in this taluka are merely melon beds. The melons are grown in trenches in high sandy lands which will not grow anything else. They cannot use much water, and the tenants have to work very hard to make them pay. It is surely wrong to put a special high rate on such lands as these.

The figures, also, are instructive. They are always let on eash rents which vary from Rs. 5 to Rs. 10 per acre, Rs 7 being the commonest. Now, juari crops in the same dehs (Jacobabad, etc.) sell standing for anything over Rs. 20 per acre. Taking the lowest figure, the khatadar's share is Rs. 12, whereas Rs. 10 is an outside price for a melon patch. Yet at present the assessment on the latter is much higher.

In out-lying villages, tenants cannot pay any rent higher than the assessment, and many melon beds have been abandoned.

My proposal is that gardens shall be treated like everything else, and assessed according to the mode of irrigation. Thus, the few real market gardens will pay the kharif flow or lift rate + dubari = Rs. 3-12 or Rs. 3-4 in 1st group dehs, while melon beds and mango groves, only irrigated in the inundation, will pay kharif flow or lift alone (Rs. 2-12 or Rs. 2-4). The best gardens, therefore, will not be under-assessed, but will pay rather more than

they do at present, though less than the proposed rice rate; while the others will receive the relief which they deserve.

Rabi bosi.—I think it is best to keep to the simple plan of having the same rate for rabi bosi and kharif flow. The two are sufficiently interchangeable to ensure that they pay about equally well and the batai rates are generally the same. Wheat is rare and does not do very well without irrigation. On the other hand, rabi bosi takes less water than kharif flow; but it takes its water at an inconvenient time, and it is not advisable to encourage it by a special rate, especially as the Begari is not supposed to be designed for it.

Watered rabi.—There is very little of this here, and it saves trouble not to distinguish between the various kinds.

The Desert canal tail is now giving a perennial flow supply in a small area which would bear higher rates; but it is not really a perennial canel, and next year the supply may fail. I therefore maintain the old rates.

Dubari.—For this, I propose a considerable increase. The universal rate is 4 annas per acre. In Rohri, I obtained sanction for an increase to 8 annas per acre, and have proposed the same for Thul, Kandhkot and Kashmor. I now propose Re. 1 per acre. This, too, is only a compromise, as my own belief is that dubari should be treated as an ordinary rabi crop. If it is considered against the principles of the settlement to take two assessments in one year, then the rice assessments should be made very much higher. This, however, would press hardly on rice lands which do not grow dubari, and would not meet the case of other lands which do. And as a second assessment is already taken, it may as well be a fair one.

I suppose the 4-annas rate was fixed on the assumptions—

- (a) that dubari crops were very unprofitable;
- (b) that they did not take any water;
- (c) that they took some thing out of the soil.

Whatever may have been the case in those days, these assumptions are not now correct.

- (a) Dubari is now almost universal in rice lands, and quite common on dry crop lands. As far as I can see, dubari crops are little, if at all, inferior to ordinary rabi crops. It is true that matar is the most common crop, but matar does not pay badly; matar crops near the town sell standing for very high prices. Some of our best gram crops are dubari, and in some dehs people are beginning to grow dubari wheat.
- (b) It is also becoming a common practice to water the juari stubbles and grow rabi on them.
- (c) As dubari crops are generally leguminous, they do not impoverish the soil.

Some suburban land-owners grow juari with gram or matar to follow each year. They sell each crop green, and make at least Rs. 50 an acre gross—probably, half of it from the second crop. Their assessment is Rs. 2-12 for juari and 4 annas for dubari. Rice growers all over the taluka also make large profits from dubari. I see no reason why Government should make nothing out of all this unearned increment.

The increase of assessment under this head will be considerable, and, as I am already raising the rice rates, I think a rate of Re. 1 per acre will be sufficient for the present. It is not worth while to vary such a low rate according to groups.

I propose Rs. 2 an acre for irrigated dubari, of which there is very little. It is the same rate as is now paid on the Sukkur canal.

The rates on woods and meadows and chahi are in accordance with special circulars.

19. In this taluka, the best rice and the best dry crops are not found in the same deh. Extensive rice cultivation deteriorates the soil of the neighbouring dry fields, and on the other hand rice is seldom seen at its best in the dehs mostly cultivated with dry crops.

For this reason, I have found it necessary to propose two 1st groups, differing only in their rice rates. Roughly speaking, group I-A contains the best rice dehs and group I-B the best dry crop dehs. I have put Jacobabad and Akilpur in I-A, although they do not grow very much rice, because what rice they do grow is quite good, and, as they are very near the town, there is no reason to let them off the highest rates. The I-B dehs contain little rice, and that not capable of bearing the highest rate.

Some of the I-A dehs (i. e., Lal Wah and Wah Ali Haidar) are a long way from market, but the excellence of their crops more than compensates for this. Some of the I-B dehs are by no means good, but they are near the town and can easily pay the light dry crop rates, as they do now. The only deh raised from the 2nd to the 1st group is Shahdadpur, which grows about the best juari in the taluka.

Group II contains both rice and dry crop dehs. Some are at moderate distances from market, but of inferior soil; others are good, but remote. Some of the latter grow magnificent rice, but they are 25-35 miles from market, and their water supply is likely to deteriorate during the next 5 years-

E. g., Sawan Lashari. Jamalabad, Duniapur. Jafarabad.

Nara

Dhad

Rahimabad

Thariri is perhaps fit for group I, but the rise would be too abrupt.

Of the dehs raised to this class, Muhammadpur has a greatly improved water-supply, and is closer to Shikarpur than any other deh.

Bakapur is quite near Jacobabad. It was put in group III by Mr. Mules because it is at the tail of the Nur Wah, where the water-supply is precarious. Its kharif supply is certainly bad, but of late years it has been almost entirely covered with excellent rabi crops.

These are near the town, and superior Hambi to other 3rd class dehs of the dehs re-Rasalabad ...) duced from the 1st class to the second. Belo Alipur Pir Padhro Gokalpur ... Kadirpur ... These are moderately close to market, Khalulab<mark>ad</mark> but their soil is distinctly inferior and Sumapur *** has probably deteriorated. Malhuabad Aurangabad Ramzanpur Nizamabad ٠., Amirabad Jamalab**a**d ... Khudabad ... Duniapur These are all fairly good dehs, and Alahabad some of them grow fine rice. But, as Rasulabad already explained, their great distance Sawan Lashari . . . from market must be taken into account. Jafarabad Son Wah ,.. Kur Khairo Gachal Kur Biro Izmatabad This is now an exclusively rice-growing Tajo Dero

deh, and is surrounded by 1st group dehs. But its crops are inferior throughout, probably owing to its sandy soil, It will probably improve.

These dehs resemble the I-B dehs, and are not very far from market. But the karias which irrigate them are of enormous length, and the clearance expenses are very heavy.

Group III.

Wariamabad	***	These are close to town, but are on the tail of the Nur Wah, and get very
Umranipur	***	the tail of the Nur Wah, and get very
Phatan Wah	•••	:) little water.
Deth a Milkiat-i-Sarks	 ar	} These are nothing but sand.
Shahid		Hazaro has no cultivation at all. It is nominally on the Sind canal system, but gets no water. The others are little better.
Khan Wah	•••	" (is nominally on the Sind canal system,
Hazaro	•••	" but gets no water. The others are little
Huzaro	•••	··· J better.
Reti	***	{ Reduced from group II. Its soil is all salt and sand.
Dodapur		\ Reduced from group I. It will be
Kur Rato	•••	(observed that these dehs are a very
Daro Jiand	•••	great distance from market. Probably,
Kotri		their soil has deteriorated, but now, at
any rate, it is extreme	ly bad. Th	he dry crops near Dodapur and the rice patch
		in the taluka. It is true that the area of
		nuch of the seed sown does not germinate.
		settlement reports that area of cultivation
proves little. At mos	t, a large ar	rea of cultivation only proves that the haris
		crop. In these dehs, each hari cultivates a
		t even with very poor crops. The khatadar
		of thy zamindar, who also owns the rich rice
		as estates in several other talukas. Very
		ds might make him turn his haris away, but,
		ressment, he will not do so. It is presumably
The state of the s		keep assessment up to this point.
The odioining	ha of Rato	odeno talula which look batton are in the

The adjoining dehs of Ratodero taluka, which look better, are in the 4th group, and pay less than my 3rd group rates. I believe Ratodero is much under-assessed as regards rice rates, but my proposed rice rates in the 3rd group are 12 annas higher.

Wasao ... These are the most remote of all, and Garhi Khairo ... their water-supply is failing already.

Hereafter, if necessary, these groups might be called I, II, III and IV. But, for the purposes of this report, it seems much more convenient to call them I-A, I-B, II and III, because all the chief rates in them, except the rice rate, are the same as in the corresponding groups of the existing settlement.

Clearance rebates.

20. I propose the continuance of the present rebate rates, which are the ordinary ones—

3 annas for flow.
4 annas for lift.

The actual expense of clearance is commonly 8 annas to Re. 1 per acre. My reasons for not proposing special rates I have already shown in connection with the Thul and Kashmor reports.

There are several very long karias in the taluka, but I have been careful not to put any deh in the 1st group which is far from the main canal. As the lands on the tails of the karias as a rule only grow dry crops, and the dry crop rates are low, it is unnecessary to make further allowances.

Financial results.

21. The proposed changes, worked out according to the rules on the average cultivation of the past 4 years, result in an increase of 10.70 per cent., the total assessment being raised from Rs. 3,05,408 to Rs. 3,38,072. In reality, the increase will probably be more, because the amount of rice and dubari cultivation is already far above the average of the last 4 years, and a further increase is more likely than a decrease, even under present circumstances. If the

Begari re-modelling scheme is carried out so as to permit of general rice growing, the revenue of the taluka will, I think, soon exceed 4 lakhs at the proposed rates. Taking the figures as they stand, I think the increase will be considered a reasonable one. The changes will give a considerable amount of relief to the owners of the less favoured lands at the expense of those who can well afford an increase.

In many cases, zamindars will be able to avoid increased assessment, if they wish to, by abstaining from rice cultivation. But I do not think they will.

In four dehs, the enhancement exceeds 33 per cent., namely:—

Sheranpur, 37 per cent. Pir Bakhsh, 34 per cent. Jahanpur, 36 per cent. Kohiri, 41 per cent.

This is due to the fact that dubari crops are grown almost throughout these dehs. The same fact accounts for the low average rate of assessment (dubari being reckoned as a separate crop). The average is only Rs. 2-13 even in Kohiri, a fine rice deh, where wheat is commonly grown as dubari. If dubari were not reckoned separately, the average rate would come to Rs. 5-2.

Period of guarantee.

22. By the time that the new settlement comes into force, it is probable that the Begari extension scheme will be in course of execution. By the fifth or sixth year of the settlement, it should be in working order, and by the ninth or tenth year, its results should be known. If the scheme is carried out on a liberal scale, it will then be possible to raise some of the lower group dehs, and it is likely that a further enhancement in the rice and dubari rates will be justified. Under these circumstances, it does not seem advisable to guarantee the settlement for a longer period than 10 years.

I have the honour to be,

Sir.

Your most obedient servant,

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

Gul Hayat Institute

RESULT of RICE EXPERIMENTS.

(Vide paragraph 13.)

Number.	Deh.	Скор.	Price per kharar.	Out-turn per acre.	Value per acre,	Share at ½ of remainder,	Ca	ost of criage.	Net pro- duce,	Fair assess- ment, i. e., 40 per cent. of assets.	Actual present assess- ment.	Remarks.
		Rice.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rg.	Miles.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Fatehpur I	Sathri	25	50 Kusas	20	10 @ }	1	9	9	3 3 5	33	Moderate crop. Za- mindar's estimate 36 kasas per acre.
2	Garhi Chand I	Sathri	25	1 Kharar	25	121 @ 1	ž	8	103	4 3 10	31	Good erop for sathrs.
3	Garhi Chand I	Sath <mark>ri</mark>	25	1 Kharar	25	121 @ 1	274	8	103	4 3 10	3}	Similar to above.
4	Garhi Mahrab I.	Sugda <mark>si</mark>	30	2 Kharars 6 Kasas.	63	311 @ 1	13	9	30	12	3}	Very good crop, but others in neighbour- hood about as good.
5	Garhi Mahrab I.	Sugdasi	30	371 Kasas	18	9 @ 3	ł	9	81	83	3 1	Worst crop in neigh- bourhood.
6	Garhi Mahrab I.	Sugdasi	30	1 Kharar 4 Kasas.	32	16 @ 1	2	9	15 1	6 10	31/2	Crop fair to poor.
7	Chhajra	Sugdasi	30	14 Kasas	7	31 @ 1	à	15	3	1-1/5	3 ‡	Worst sugdasi crop in neighbourhood, and experiment taken in worst part of it.
8	Wah Ali Haidar I.	Sugdasi	30	1 Kharar 4 Kasas.	32	16 @ }	21	25	13 }	5 2 5	31	Fair crop, but below average of deh.
9	Wah Ali Haidar I.	Sugdasi	80	1 Kharar 28 Kasas.	44	22 @ }	3	25	19	7 3 5	3}	Good crop.

Note .- 60 Kasas=1 Kharar.

The word kharar when used in this report means the ropahi kharar, which for rice weighs 20 maunds, juari 25 maunds, and gram 26 maunds.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

No. 571 of 1905.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

Camp Nur Wah mouth, 10th February 1905.

From

The Executive Engineer,
Begari Canals,

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

SIR,

With reference to your No. 4424, dated the 29th November last, I have the honour to furnish the report therein asked for.

- 2. The canals which irrigate the Jacobabad taluka are as follow:—
 - (i) The Begari.
 - (ii) The Nur wah ex Begari, and to a small extent the tail of the Desert canal.
- 3. The supply in the Begari up to mile 60 may be considered excellent as far as the capabilities of the canal go, and, as the rice cultivation in this part of the canal has gone up enormously, it would tend to show that the supply is ample wherever the command is good, and where the land is suitable for this kind of crop. But this increase has, however, done damage in this way—in that it has tended to curtail the supply to those lands which are of a higher level and on which dry crops are planted. Thus, this, combined with the very long water-courses (some of which are nearly 30 miles long, extending far into Kalat) which take off the Begari in this taluka, tends to make the supply to land on the average only fair, even in this length of the canal which is the most favourable.
- 4. Below mile 60, including that part of the Sir canal which only affects one deh, the water-supply can only be described as poor. This, being due to the large draw-off of water for rice cultivation in the reaches above—a draw-off which is continued in this length as well—leaves the higher dry crop lands badly off for water at that season of the year (June, July and August) when a good supply is necessary; and this has been aggravated by the increasing growth of the more valuable kinds of rice, which require more water and for a longer period. Thus, all along the Begari in the Jacobabad taluka, the rice lands flourish exceedingly at the expense of the dry crop cultivation.
- 5. On the Nur wah, the supply is good up to the 11th or even 12th mile—that is, the N. F. S. level is kept up; below the 12th mile, all the rest of the water is practically all taken off for rice cultivation in the *dhoro*, and leaves the men at the tail so badly off that complaints are always received, and it has been necessary for many years to regulate the outlets in the *dhoro*, to enable the tail lands to receive anything like a fair supply. This causes a good deal of grumbling by those zamindars in the *dhoro*, but with little reason, the water-course heads being generally far in excess of the wants of the land. One land-owner, for instance, having sluices that should suffice for about 8,000 acres, with a holding of 900 acres, howls more loudly than any one when his water-courses are closed. On this system, too, many very long

water-courses are met with, and, as a rule, the tails of these get a rather precarious supply.

The tail of the Desert canal supplies a very small area in this taluka (two dehs only). The supply since the re-modelling has been very poor for summer crops, but excellent for winter ones. Attempts are being now made to raise the water level in the canal so as to make the summer crops good as well.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Gul Hayat Institute



Gul Hayat Institute

APPENDIX III-A.

LIST of VILLAGES under existing irrigational settlement in the Jacobabad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

No.	Nam s of villages.	No.	Names of villages.
	1st group.		1st group-contd.
1	Jacobabad.	55	Kur Khairo Gachul.
2	Mahrabpu r.	56	Kur Biro.
3	Akilpur.	57	Sawan Lashari.
4	Ahmedpur.	58	Dodapur.
5	Abdulah Drakhan.	59	Kur Rato.
6	Alipur.	60	Daro Jiand.
7	Abad.	61	Kotri.
8	Garhi Chand.	62	Garhi Khairo.
9	Garhi Mahrab.	63	Wasao.
lõ	Koureja.	ł	Jagirs.
1	Sheranpur.		_
2	Pir Baksh.	64	Wakro.
13	Jahanpur.	65	Ghousabad.
14	Alanpur.	66	Jani Dero.
15	Wah Ali Ha <mark>idar.</mark>	67	Rind Wahi.
16	Kohiri.	68	Dadpur.
17	Lal Wah.	69	Nawazo.
18	Badal Wah.		2nd group.
19	Lal Lodro.	Jan Co	
20	Dasti.	70	Shahdadpur.
21	Dilawarpur.	71	Burj Salimi.
22	Bachalpur.	72	Miranpur.
23	Mehar Shah.	73	Thariri.
24	Kaisarabad.	74	Sultanpur.
25	Mauladad.	75	Mundranipur.
6	Mulah Rato.	76 77	Ghouspur. Attai.
7	Thariri Bhaleno.	78	Chajra.
28	Bhalenabad. Kh a ir Wah.	79	Bajhani.
29		80	Kimatahad.
30	Nawra.	81	Khanpur.
31	Dhad. Rahimabad.	82	Dittal Wah.
2		83	Gul Wah.
33 34	Fatihpur. Shahpur.	84	Lal Odho.
эњ 35	Cantonment.	85	Detha.
36 36	Pir Padhro.	86	Reti.
37	Gokalpur.		
38	Kadirpur.	I±-	3rd group.
39 39	Khalulabad.	87	Bakapur.
10	Sumapur.	88	Belo Alipur.
11	Malhuabad.	89	Risalabad.
12	Aurangabad.	90	Hambi.
3	Ramzanpur.	91	Muhammadpur.
14	Tajo Dero.	92	
5	Izmatabad.	93	Umranipur.
16	Nizamabad.	94	
17	Amirabad.	95	Milkiat-i-Sarkar.
18	Jamalabad.	96	Shahid.
49	Khudabad.	97	Hazaro.
50	Son Wah.	98	Khan Wah.
51	Duniapur.	{	· · ·
52	Allahabad.	}	Forests.
53	Rasulabad.		D 1 T' 1
54	Jafarabad.	99	Belo Dickenson.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX III-B.

LIST of VILLAGES under proposed irrigational settlement in the Jacobabad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

No.	Names of villages.	No.	Names of villages.
	Group I.A.		Group II-contd.
1	Jacobabad.		dibility 12 contain
2	Mahrabpur.	48	Pir Padhro,
3	Akilpur.	49	Gokalpur.
4	Ahmedpur.	50	Mirangur,
5	Abdulah Drakhan.	51	Thariri.
6	Alipur.	52	Sultanpur.
7	Abad.	53	Mundranipur.
8	Garhi Chand.	51	Hambi.
$\ddot{9}$	Garhi Mahrab.	55	Kadirpur.
10	Koureja.	56	Khalulabad.
11	Sheranpur.	57	Sumapur.
12	Pir Baksh.	58	Malhuabad.
13		59	
14	Jahanpur.	60	Ghouspur. Attai.
15	Alanpur.	61	
16	Wah Ali H <mark>aidar.</mark>	62	Aurangabad.
	Kohiri.		Chajra.
17	Lal Wah.	63	Bajhani.
	Jagirs.	64	Ramzanpur.
18	Wakro.	65	Tajo Dero.
19	Ghousabad.	66	Izmatabad.
.	Forest.	67	Kimatabad.
20	- FB10566	65	Khanpur.
2 0	Belo Di <mark>ckenson.</mark>	69	Muhammadpur.
	Group I-B.	70	Gul Wah.
21	Badhal Wah.	71	Dittal Wah.
22	Lal Lodro.	72	Nizamabad.
23	Dasti.	73	Amirabad.
24	Dilawarpur.	74	Jamalahad.
$\tilde{25}$	Bachalpur.	75	Khudabad.
26	Mehar Shah.	76	Son Wah.
27	Kaisarabad.	77	Duniapur.
28	Mauladad.	78	Allahabad.
29	Mulah Rato.	79	Rasulabad.
3 0	Thariri Bhaleno.	80	Jafarabad.
		81	Kur Khairo Gachul.
31	Bhalenabad. Khair Wab	82	Kur Biro.
32	Khair Wah.	-83	Lal Odho.
33	Nawra.	84	Sawan Lashari.
34	Dhad.	160	
35	Rahimabad.	0.5	Group III.
36	Fatihpur.	85	Wariamabad.
37	Shahdadpur.	86	Umranipur.
38	Shahpur.	87	Phatan Wah.
3 9	Cantoument.	88	Dotha.
	$oldsymbol{Jagirs.}$	89	Milkiat-i-Sarkar.
40	Jani Dero.	99	Reti.
41	Rind Wahi.	91	Shahid.
42	Dadpur.	92	Hazaro.
43	Nawazo.	93	Khan Wah.
		91	Dodapur.
	Group II.	95	Kur Rato.
44	Bakapur,	96	Daro Jiand.
45	Burj Salimi.	97	Kotri.
			!
46 47	Risalabad.	98	Garhi Khairo.

APPENDIX IV.

AVERAGE RAINFALL for 8 years from 1896-97 to 1903-1904.

Taluka.	Station where registered.		Months.	Average rain		
		1896-97	August January February April June July	***	Inches.	Cents. 24 3 32 25 4 16
			TOTAL	•••	2	4
		1897-98	August September December January February May July		2 2	45 39 36 4 3 23
			TOTAL	•••	6	40
		1898-99	December February March May	•••		5 13 27 60
acob- {	Civil Hospital		TOTAL	•••	2	5
		1899-1900	February March April May	•••	•••	8 4 8 5
	1 1 1 1		TOTAL		•••	25
	jul Ha	yat 1900-01	August September November December January February March May July		1 	35 15 7 43 27 26 92 65 55
			TOTAL	• • •	7	65
		1901-02	September March May June	***	•••	3 8 6 27
l			TOTAL	•••	•••	44

Taluka.	Station where registered.	Months.		Average	rainfall.
Jacob- abad— continued.	Civil Hospital— continued.	August September December January February March April May June July Total January February March		Inches. 1 2 4	Conta. 18 50 1 5 2 2 38 35 13 29 93 68 11 57
		June Total	***	2	66

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

Gul Hayat Institute

23

APPENDIX V.

DETAILS OF POPULATION.

Taluka.	Caste.	MALES		TOTAL	Females		TOTAL	TOTAL POPULA-	CAN READ OR WRITE OR LEARNING.	
		Under 15,	Over 15.	MALES.	Under 15.	Over 15.	FEMALES.	TION.	Males, per cent.	Fennales, per cent.
Jacoba- { bad. {	Hindus Muhammadans. Christians Jains	2,154 12,380 3 5	2,864 19,231 29 5	5,018 31,611 32 10 36,671	934 11,025 6 3 11,968	2,258 14,0 54 15 6 16,333	3,192 25,679 21 9 28,301	8,210 56,690 53 19	28·0 1·23 10·00 4·90	

APPENDIX VI.

OCCUPATION OF PEOPLE.

Taluka.	No. of surveyed	Observation		BER.	
TAIUKA.	villages.	Occupation,		No.	Per cent.
Jacobabad	98 {	Agricultural Partly agricultural Non-agricultural	***	1,854 63,118	2·85 97·15
		TOTAL	•••	64,972	100.00

Gul Hayat In Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX VII. STATEMENT showing sales in the Jacobabad taluka.

Year.	Number of cases.	Area.	Total sum for which sold.	Sale rate per acre.	Total Average rate per acre.	Passed into the hands of Hindus from Muhammadans.
1896	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 15 11 to 20 ,,	A. g. 3,616 12 111 5 5 34 28 23 2 30 1 25 3,766 9	R _B . a. p. 39,719 8 0 4,213 10 8 338 0 0 4,50 0 0 1,000 0 0 48,976 2 8	Rs. a. p. 10 15 9 37 14 8 57 12 5 113 14 7 163 10 2 615 6 2	Rs. a. Rs. a. 9,492 13 2 10 291 11 2 10 15 6 2 10 75 0 2 10 7 4 2 10 4 4 2 10 9,886 6 2 10	A. g. Rs. a. p. 256 10 672 11 0 13 10 34 18 0 22 38 60 4 0 7 4 0 295 8 775 0 0
	1 to 10 times Government Assessment, 14 11 to 20 " " " 14 21 to 30 " " 14 21 to 30 " " 15 21 to 60 " " 16 31 to 60 " " 17 31 to 80 " " 17 31 to 80 " 17 31 to 120 " 17 31 t	2,570 32 375 21 436 22 53 24 86 11 1 37 4 0 1 0 1 32 1 35 1 0 0 24	31,416 13 0 14,346 2 0 22,473 0 0 4,505 0 0 12,800 0 0 900 0 0 300 0 0 450 4 0 450 0 0 340 0 0 89,006 3 0	12 3 6 38 3 3 51 7 8 84 0 9 148 5 10 207 12 8 225 0 0 300 0 0 347 3 7 450 0 0 566 10 8	6,748 6 2 10 985 12 2 10 1,145 15 2 10 140 11 2 10 226 8 2 10 5 1 2 10 10 8 2 10 2 10 2 10 4 12 2 10 2 15 2 10 2 10 2 10 1 9,277 5 2 10	165 38 67 24 6 13 5 20 1 5 215 0 246 20 647 2 0
1898	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 10 11 to 20 ,, ,, , 16 21 to 30 ,, ,, , 3 31 to 40 ,, ,, ,, 2 51 to 60 ,, ,, ,, 1 71 to 80 ,, ,, ,, 2 121 to 130 ,, ,, ,, 1 191 to 200 ,, ,, ,, 1	2,394 23 1,152 1 25 37 38 10 18 20 26 19 7 25 0 5	26,214 12 0 44,228 4 0 1,443 12 0 3,705 0 0 2,600 0 0 5,200 0 0 2,490 0 0 60 0 0	10 15 2 38 6 3 55 11 0 96 13 10 140 8 8 196 6 7 326 8 11 480 0 0	6,285 12 2 10 3,024 1 2 10 68 1 2 10 100 7 2 10 48 9 2 10 69 8 2 10 20 0 2 10 0 5 2 10 9,616 11 2 10	178 6 467 10 0 193 19 507 14 0 16 7 42 7 0 28 10 74 3 0 18 20 48 9 0 25 4 65 14 0 7 25 20 0 0 467 11 1,226 9 0
1899 {	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 4 11 to 20 " " " " 21 21 to 30 " " " 5 31 to 40 " " " 3 41 to 50 " " " 1 171 to 180 " " " 1 321 to 330 " " 1	1,017 18 598 35 151 17 13 0 12 8 0 22 0 14	14,175 0 0 24,946 2 10 10,487 8 0 1,100 0 0 1,420 0 0 250 0 0 300 0 0 52,658 10 10	13 14 11 41 10 6 69 2 0 84 9 10 116 6 4 454 8 9 857 2 3	2,670 13 2 10 1,572 1 2 10 397 8 2 10 34 2 2 10 32 0 2 10 1 7 2 10 0 15 2 10 4,708 14 2 10	97 9 255 4 0 151 17 397 8 0 8 5 21 5 0 12 8 33 0 0 268 39 706 1 0
i	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 8 11 to 30 , , , , , 11 21 to 30 , , , , , 3 121 to 130 , , , , 1 131 to 140 , , , , 1 141 t > 150 , , , , 1 151 to 160 , , , , 1	455 22 619 39 66 4 0 26 0 22 0 20 0 15	8,260 0 0 80,302 8 0 3,430 0 0 220 0 0 192 0 0 160 0 0	18 2 1 46 9 11 51 14 3 398 7 5 363 10 2 384 0 0 426 10 8 36 7 0	1,195 13 2 10 1,705 3 2 10 173 8 2 10 1 11 2 10 1 7 2 10 1 5 2 10 1 0 2 10 3,080 15 2 10	89 27 235 6 0 10 5 28 9 0 53 27 140 14 9 154 1 404 4 0
1901	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 6 11 to 20 ,, ,, ,, 6 21 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 1 31 to 40 , ,, ,, 1 51 to 60 ,, ,, ,, 1 91 to 100 ,, ,, ,, 1 101 to 110 ,, ,, ,, 1 171 to 180 ,, ,, ,, 1 TOTAL 19	610 11 97 3 7 15 4 10 4 30 2 5 7 25 0 14 733 33	7,800 0 0 3,215 0 0 500 0 0 365 0 0 690 0 0 550 0 0 2,100 0 0 160 0 0	12 12 6 33 1 11 67 12 9 85 14 1 145 4 8 258 13 2 275 6 7 457 2 3	1,602 0 2 10 254 13 2 10 19 6 2 10 11 3 2 10 12 8 2 10 5 9 2 10 20 0 2 10 0 15 2 10 1,926 6 2 10	260 28 684 5 0 40 21 106 6 0 4 30 12 8 0 2 5 5 9 0 308 4 808 12 0
1902	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 3 11 to 20 ,	39 36 18 25 112 3 5 13 8 25 9 35 0 24 0 13	547 0 0 800 12 0 7,990 0 0 963 2 0 400 0 0 1,382 8 0 185 0 0	13 11 4 42 15 11 71 4 8 115 11 1 110 5 6 140 0 0 308 5 4 384 9 10	104 12 2 10 48 14 2 10 204 3 2 10 21 14 2 10 9 8 2 10 25 15 2 10 1 9 2 10 0 14 2 10	20 26
1903 {	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 2 11 to 20 ", ", " 9 21 to 30 ", ", " 12 31 to 40 ", " 2 Total 25	193 14 54 10 335 20 217 15 25 4 632 9	840 0 0 11,822 0 0 18,949 8 0 2,415 0 0	15 7 9 35 3 9 64 2 9 96 3 5	142 7 2 10 880 11 2 10 570 10 2 10 65 14 2 10 1,659 10 2 10	30 28 80 9 0 77 23 203 9 0 25 4 65 14 0
-	GRAND TOTAL 247	*15,490-85	3,76,147 2 6	24. 4. 6	40,663 12 2 10	1,931 16 5,069 15 0

* Gardens, buildings and wells are also included in this area.

C. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX VIII.

Abstract of statement of sub-letting in the Jacobabad taluka.

25

Year.	Number of cases.	Number of acres sub-let.	Sum for which sub-let.	Rate per acre.	Total ascessment.	Average rate of assess- ment per acre.	
		A. g.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	
1896	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 4	9 65 19	1,463 0 0	1 8 3	2,534 6	2 10	
1897 {	to 5 times Government Assessment 4 to 5 times Government Assessment 2 "10 " " " " TOTAL 3 to 5 times Government Assessment 5 to 5 times Government Assessment 6 to 5 times Government Assessment 4 to 5 times Government Assessment 21 "10 " " " 23 to 5 times Government Assessment 21 "10 " " 23	300 11 7 0	257 8 0 140 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} 0 & 13 & 9 \\ 20 & 0 & 0 \end{array} $	788 4 18 6	2 10 2 10	
;	Тотац 3	307 11	397 8 0	1 4 8	806 10	2 10	
1898	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 6	5,551 38	2,996 5 4	088	14,573 15	2 10	
1899	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 6	4,832 21	6,662 8 0	1 6 1	12,685 6	2 10	
1900	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 4	1,710 11	4,366 10 8	1 14 10	4,489 8	2 10	
1901	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 5	2,632 24	2,604 9 11	1 1 8	6,201 13	2 10	
1902 {	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 21 6 ,, 10 ,, ,, ,	3,909 8 3 13	4,571 7 0 63 5 4	1 2 8 19 0 1		2 10 2 10	
	Total 23	3,912 21	4,634 12 4	1 2 11	10,270 3	2 10	
1903	46 ,, 50 ,, ' , ' , ' , ' , ' , ' , ' , ' , ' ,	55 5 3 0 3 20 2 20	400 0 0	32 10 5 66 10 8 125 11 5 160 0 0	144 11 7 14 9 3 6 9	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	
	Total 11	1,134 34	5,001 13 3	4 6 6		2 10	
	GRAND TOTAL 61	20,777 19	28,127 3 6	1 5 7	54,540 12	2 10	

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier.

Gul Hayat Institute

APPENDIX IX.

STATEMENT showing MORTGAGES in the Jacobabad taluka.

~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~								REMA	RKS.	
Year,	Number of cases.	To'al No.	Eum for which	Mort- g-ge	Total	Average ratiof namess-	PASSED F	ком Минам	MADANS T Without; Arsa. A. g. 801 16 100 27 20 21 922 24 1,052 15 59 10 91 15 7 25 1 0 1,211 25 1,484 37 521 32 12 30 2,026 19 4,745 28 115 16 69 25 2 20 4 0 4,937 9 860 2 104 30 8 0	o Rindus.
rear,	Number of Caros.	cf acres.	montgaged.	nate per agra.	assess- ment.	ment per aere.	With po	asession.	Without	possession.
							Area.	Assèssment.	Агэв.	Assessment.
_	140 10 4:	A. g.	Rs. a.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs
1896	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 33 11 ,, 10 ,, 2 21 ,, 20 ,, 2 31 ,, 40 ,, 2	4,595 21 219 33 28 11 7 83	$\begin{array}{c} 32.091 \ 13 \\ 8,242 \ 11 \\ 1,766 \ 0 \\ 750 \ 0 \end{array}$	7 7 0 87 7 11 62 7 4 95 13 7	11,F38 4 577 1 74 4 20 9	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	3,414 28 97 15 7 30	8,963 9 255 10 20 6 	100 27 20 21	2,103 11 264 4 53 14
	TOTAL 44	4,651 18	43,451 8	9 5 6	12,210 2	2 10	3,519 83	9,239 9	922 21	2,421 13
1697	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 28 21 30 6 21 30 1 91 100 1 121 1 0 1 251 260 1	3,815 20 100 85 113 32 7 25 7 80 1 0 1 20	35,263 8 3,772 8 8,978 0 1,000 0 2,0 0 0 332 0 1,000 0	9 3 10 10 15 9 131 2 4 278 1 0 332 0 0 666 10 8	16,016 4 264 14 268 12 10 0 20 6 2 10 3 15	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	1,357 12 3 5 13 7 1 20	3,562 15	59 10 91 15 7 25 	2,762 8 155 9 239 14 20 0
	TOTAL 42	4,048 12	51,646 0	12 12 1	10,626 13	2 10	1,375 4	3,609 10		3,180 9
1898	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 29 11 ,, 20 ,, , 15 21 ,, 30 ,, , 3 41 ,, 50 ,, , 1	3,226 21 770 9 21 3 7 30	25,490 6 24,512 9 1,400 0 1,000 0	7 14 5 31 13 2 66 6 10 129 0 6	8.409 10 2,021 13 55 5 20 6	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	1,429 32 231 28 8 13	8,770 10 608 3 21 14	521 32 12 30	8,897 15 1,369 12 33 8
Ĺ	101 , 110 ,	7 0 7 17	1,000 0 2,100 0	142 13 9 282 13 3	18 6 10 8	2 10 2 10	7 17	i9 8		18 6
	TOTAL 50	4,040 0	55,102 15	13 11 10	10,605 0	2 10	1,676 10	4,400 3	2,026 19	5,319 9
1899	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 38 11 , 20 ,, 6 21 , 30 ,, 6 61 , 70 ,, 1 101 ,, 110 ,,, 1	8,029 15 127 1 78 15 2 20 4 0	36,717 10 3,970 0 4,894 0 450 0 1,140 0	4 9 2 31 4 1 66 11 2 180 0 0 285 0 0	21,077 2 333 7 192 10 6 9 10 8	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	3,207 31 11 25 3 30	8,420 7 80 8 9 14 	115 16 69 25 2 20	12,457 8 302 15 182 12 6 9 10 8
	TOTAL 53	8,236 11	47,171 10	5 11 8	21,620 4	2 10	3,223 6	8,460 13	4,937 9	12,960 4
1900-	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 21 11 , 20 , 8 21 , 50 , 3 31 , 40 , 1 121 ,, 130 , 1	3,381 9 163 32 38 0 321 30 7 30	43,763 8 5,9 8 8 2,474 0 33,180 0 2,500 0	12 15 1 36 9 7 65 1 8 101 13 9 822 9 3	8,875 11 427 6 10 12 855 2 10 6	2 10 2 1) 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	2,501 12 80 82 317 20	6,565 15 104 8 8.4 2	104 30 38 0 8 0	2,257 10 275 0 93 12 21 0
	TOTAL 37	3,915 21	87,573 0	22 7 1	10,278 5	2 10	2,853 04	7,504 9		2,658 6
1931	1 to 10 times Gev rament Assessment 15 11 , 20 , , , 3 21 , 30 , , , 4 41 , 50 , , , 1 91 , 100 , , , 1 131 , 140 , , , 1 191 , 200 , , 1	1,73 18 74 37 47 15 15 2 6 10 7 3) 0 11 0 9	10.6.9 1 -2,570 0 2,588 0 1 8.0 0 1 000 0 -2,000 0 100 0 115 0	5 6 2 30 0 6 60 15 4 119 9 7 160 0 0 258 1 0 963 10 2 511 1 9	5,180 5 1:6 11 1:4 6 35 8 16 7 40 6 0 12 0 9	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	709 8 61 22	1,851 10 161 9 	13 15 30 00 7 12 6 10	9,318 11 35 2 40 12 19 3 16 7
{ 	191 ,, 200 ,, 1 501 ,, 510 ,, 1 Total 29	2,145 21	21,032 1	9 14 8	0 9 5,510 9	2 10	770 30	2,023 3	0 9	0 9
	1 to 10 times Government	1140 H	=-,000 I	V 18 0	-,,,,,,,	<u></u>		N,020 0	1,000 10	3,471 5
1902	Assessment 12 11 , 20 , , 2 21 , 30 , , 3 51 , 6) , , , 1 71 , 83 , , , 1	2,502 30 18 .0 57 28 3 30 3 3)	15,687 0 810 0 4,141 8 5 0 0 700 0	5 15 5 44 14 11 71 7 6 133 5 4 186 10 8	6,727 4 47 15 152 2 9 11 9 14	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	1,224 25 8 85 3 30	3,214 10 23 5 9 44	18 10 49 3	3,512 10 47 15 128 13 9 14
	TOTAL 19	2,646 18	21,448 8	8 1 8	6,917 1	2 10	1,237 10	3, 47 13	1,409 8	3,699 4
1903	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 11 11 20 6 21 30 1	1,956 7 216 4 3 5	9,470 0 10,100 0 200 0	4 13 4 41 7 2 64 0 0	5,131 15 616 0 8 3	2 10 2 10 2 10	784 9 24 0	2,018 10 03 0 	299 11	2,747 9 785 10 8 3
	TOTAL 18 GRAND TOTAL 292	2,205 16 #31,865 37 }	19,850 0 3,48,028 10	9 0 0	5,789 2 83,656 4	$\frac{2 \ 10}{2 \ 10}$	808 9 17,169 16	2,121 10 49,607 6	1,349 4	3,541 6 37,247 8
			wells and bui					22,001	-1,100 10	V1,4#1 D

Gardens, wells and buildings are also included in this area.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX X.

STATEMENT Of AGRICULTURAL STOCK in the Jacobabad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

Cab te	Carts used for carrying Lads.	23	2,928	3,663	3,557	3,444	:	13,591	
⊽	Riding carts.	83	7	20	92	946	i	16	
zá.	Large	22	:	œ	25	9	:	61	
Prougus.	Sm.ll.	₽.	5,(0)	5,019	4,824	4,530	÷	19,403	
	Camels.	19	1,007	80	413	316	:	2,324	
	Goats.	18	15,408	12,749	13.645	11,437	:	56,259	
	Снеер.	17	10,748	149'6	10,873	10,610		41,872	
	. Donkeys.	16	576	939	574	525	:	2,362	
	Mules.	15	10	16	17	15	i	φ. 	
	Ponies.	14	602	703	887	1,003	i	3,302	
	Horses.	13	1,120	1,336	1,231	827	7		
W.	Total of cols. 2 to 11.	12	31,729	32,981	33,198	30,681	1	1,28,989	
TOCK.	Buffalo calves.	11	982	1,039	1,254	1,075	:	4,353	
Young STOCK.	Calves.	10	7,193	7,411	1,741	7,070	• :	29,415	
417LE.	She- buff.Joes.	6	2,129	2,072	2,135	3,938	:	7853	
Мисв едтив,		es S	12,11	11,539	11,617	10,838	st	44,594	
AND FFA- TSED THER OSES.	Ho buffallos.	1-	;	:	24	91	:	28	
OXEN AND HE-BUFYA- LOES USED FOR OTHER PURPOSES,	Oxen.	9	83	253	127	210	:	683	
	Bull buffalocs,	ы	: :33	63	10	מז	:	240	
BULLS FOR BREED ING PURPOSES ONLY.	Bulls,	7	7,	G	25	1-	:	611	
	He-buffloca,	(3)	ន	:	;	61	:	75	
Procen	Ozen.	61	9,879	10,787	10,526	9,912	i	41,034	
	Tear.	1	CCG:-C631		1901-1903	1902:2003	1938-1934	TCTAL	

■ No enumeration of agricultural stock was made during the year 1903-1904.

c. M. BAKER, Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX XI.

STATEMENT showing Wells in the Jacobabad taluka from 1896-97 to 1903-04.

	Year.			Number of wells used for drinking.	Number of wells used for irrigation.	Total,	Area of cultivation under or aided by wells.
							A. g.
1896-97	***	•••	61	63	158	221	434 19
1897-98	•••	•••	61	115	166	281	401 35
1898-99	+ 4 0		61	160	142	302	506 24
1899.1900			61	141	164	305	524 30
1900-01			61	131	185	319	498 24
1901-02	4 * 4	•••	61	121	210	3 31	381 23
1902-03	,	• • •	61	125	222	347	443 2
1903-04			61	131	223	354	532 25

APPENDIX XII.

STATEMENT of GROPS in the Jacobabad taluka (average of four years) from 1900-1901 to 1903-04.

		YEARLY CULT	IVATED AREA.	3				
Crops.	1900-1901.	1901-1902.	1902-1903.	19(3-1904.	Total.	Average.	Percentage.	
Kharif.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.		
Juari Bajri Rice Grain other sorts Pulses Garden produce Spices Sugarcane Til Indigo Cotton Fibres Other crops	29,065 6,664 23,720 77 1,218 901 3 6 18,121 4 4	22,799 5,717 28,663 61 615 689 1 7 17,741 3 2 4 4 76,306	24,367 4,077 23,484 68 675 665 1 7 18,647 6 5 4	24,555 7,246 30,688 75 1,013 874 3 8 17,380 2 8 4 2	100,786 23,704 106,555 281 3,521 8,120 8 28 71,889 11 10 17 14 309,953	25,196 5,926 26,639 70 880 782 2 7 17,972 3 8 4 4	24·70 5·81 26·11 0·07 0·89 0·78 17·63 	
${\it Rabi}.$								
Wheat Barley Pulses Garden produce Tobacco	2,218 10 17,491 61	2,151 8 $9,843$ 27 1	$934 \\ 12 \\ 18,124 \\ 23 \\ 2$	2,921 7 16,712 18	8,224 37 62,170 129	2,056 9 15,542 32	2·01 15·25 0·03	
Spices Sariah Jambho Other crops	25 1,210 6,954 40	$\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 303 \\ 1,121 \\ 30 \end{array}$	7 968 4 ,719 34	1,637 10,388 12	47 4,123 23,182 116	12 1,031 5,796 29	0 01 1·01 5·69 0·02	
TOTAL	28,009	13,498	21,823	31,701	98,031	24,508	24.02	
CRAND TOTAL	107,792	89,804	96,829	113,559	407,984	101,996	100.00	

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

APPENDIX XIII.

THUL TALUKA.

STATEMENT showing AVERAGE AREA of ABABLE GOVERNMENT LAND (excluding JAGIE and FOREST LAND) in the surveyed villages of Jacobabad taluka for the last year 1903-04 and two quadrennial periods of the current settlement.

									Occupien	ARRA.		Tenl.
No.	Name of deff.			Total area	Un-					Fa	llow.	unoccupied cul- to cultivable
	·		Period,	according to survey register.	enitivable waste.	Cultivable land.	Un- occupied,	Actually cultivated.	Un- cultivated portions of survey numbers.	j	Unexpired	Percentage of un tivable land t area,
	1st group.			A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A, g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.
1	Abdulah Drakha	ın	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,187 4 3,187 23 3,186 14	463 0 465 8 471 24	2,724 4 2,722 16 2,714 30	187 36 218 23 277 5	2,461 11 2 441 6 2,141 15	22 25 16 10 24 4	0 28 1 28	52 12 45 29 270 18	6 36 8 1 10 8
2	Kaisarabad	***	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,948 16 2,961 10 2,965 26	161 1 158 27 156 12	$\begin{array}{c} 2.787 & 15 \\ 2.802 & 23 \\ 2.809 & 14 \end{array}$	23 35 173 9 258 24	1,449 10 1,534 14 1,281 0	5 0 7 16 6 11	4 36 0 25	1,309 10 1,082 28 1,262 34	0 34 6 7 9 10
3	Alipur		1903-1901 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,057 37 1,560 17	161 16 128 30 117 8	1,893 21 1,431 37 1,277 29	743 6 315 12 192 19	965 10 838 20	9 25 8 30	21 20 8 25	157 0 200 30	3 9 7 22 0
4	Ahmadpur	***	1903-1904	3,905 25 3,905 29 3,906 2	1,072 39 1,073 27 1,073 9	2,832 26 2,832 2 2,832 3	769 2 850 6	1,885 3 1,753 26	17 1 0 20 28 30	5 4 32 31 8 8	190 36 145 10 191 12	15 1 27 6 30 1
Б	Dilawarpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,027 18 3,278 30 3,953 4	613 28 853 30 1,575 0	2,413 30 2,405 0 2,378 4	1,011 33 274 18 258 4 209 0	1,573 25 1,245 0 1,243 15	35 19 23 35 36 22 39 37	6 30 11 19	210 16 863 27 855 20	35 29 11 14 10 29
લ	Dasti	•	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,375 18	903 19 922 31 937 17	471 39 450 21 434 39	165 9 143 38 145 21	1,257 16 251 25 240 17 163 26	0 85 4 30 7 6	7 29 2 25 0 26 0 27	854 2 51 25 60 21 117 39	8 32 34 38 31 37 33 18
7	Shahpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4,327 ±0 4,327 ±0	346 25 346 25 843 4	3,970 35 3,930 35 3,934 19	813 17 852 32 809 15	1,930 30 1,715 27 1,395 6	24 30 29 23 23 37	3 14 2 9	1,161 38 1,379 19 1,753 32	21 27 21 17 20 12
8	Gokalpur	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,028 9	294 3 288 34 294 3	2,734 6 2,739 15 2,734 6	535 29 540 26 528 1	1,276 7 1,134 8 1,017 36	13 5 11 7 14 34	1 6	909 5 1,052 8 1,173 15	19 24 19 30 19 12
9	Aurangabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,881 38 2,881 36 2,881 36	1,327 24 1,333 3 1,320 29	1,554 12 1,549 33 1,561 7	253 2 244 19 222 11	594 34 484 36 598 19	21 4 11 23 18 0	25 15 14 11 4 2	659 37 794 24 718 15	16 11 15 30 14 9
10	Pir Baksh		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	3,787 2 3,787 2 3,787 9	721 20 721 20 721 20	3,065 22 3,065 22 3,065 29	166 25 171 4 168 21	2,255 7 1,813 9 1,572 32	92 20 55 20 15 2	73 10 69 7 8 38	478 0 926 22 1,300 16	5 18 5 23 5 20
11	Jahanpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	3,016 6 3,016 6 3,016 6	336 24 334 25 332 26	2,679 23 2,681 21 2,683 20	187 24 184 37 135 34	2,219 12 2,105 17 1,995 12	61 16 39 25 17 5	41 25 15 22 17 8	171 25 336 0 517 1	6 38 6 36 5 3
12	Sheranpur		19.33-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,297 9 3,297 23 3,297 23	377 16 375 20 374 35	2,919 33 2,822 3 2,922 83	353 18 357 25 359 31	2,356 35 2,328 33 2,093 19	45 37 40 35 10 15	3 12 0 10	163 23 191 16 458 38	12 3 12 10 12 12
13	Daro Jiand		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	5,127 4 5,127 4 5,127 1	1,995 25 1,995 25 1,995 23	3,131 19 3,131 19 3,131 18	$\begin{array}{c} 358 \ 24 \\ 350 \ 15 \\ 281 \ \ 6 \end{array}$	1,331 0 1,003 19 1,064 39	41 20 33 19 47 30	28 5 20 37	1,400 15 1,710 1 1,716 26	11 19 11 7 8 39
14	Kur Khairo Gael	nal.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	2,569 23 2,569 23 2,560 23	157 0 157 0 157 0	2,412 23 2,412 23 2,412 23	347 26 367 7 309 36	$\begin{array}{r} 1,378 & 10 \\ -868 & 14 \\ \hline 751 & 29 \end{array}$	32 20 10 37 16 18	9 38 15 35	654 7 1,156 7 1,318 25	14 17 15 8 12 34
15	Kotri ,		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2,177 & 9 \\ 2,177 & 9 \\ 2,177 & 9 \end{array}$	198 21 138 21 138 21	2,038 28 2,038 28 2,038 28	160 39 160 2 148 17	676 23 805 6 846 12	9 36 15 39 29 27	3 25 12 4 2 19	1,187 25 1,045 17 1,011 33	7 36 7 34 7 11
16	Kur Rato	!	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, proceeding 4 years	2,739 2 2,739 2 2,739 2	675 9 675 9 676 9	2,063 33 2,063 33 2,063 33	387 13 390 17 220 18	$873 \ 31$ $456 \ 3$ $436 \ 11$	51 9 22 20 18 36	4 20 46 8 27 10	747 0 1,148 25 1,360 38	18 30 18 36 10 26
17	Dodapur	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,702 2 2,702 2 2,701 35	155 24 155 24 155 11	2,546 18 2,546 18 2,546 24	243 39 235 15 171 32	266 15 692 3 918 34	7 0 6 19 16 17	11 5 22 35 14 14	2,017 39 1,589 26 1,395 7	9 23 9 9 6 30
18	Kur Biro		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	2,456 20 2,456 20 2,466 10	459 3 459 3 457 12	1,997 17 1,997 17 1,999 7	90 26 93 7 59 10	712 11 637 23 662 13	4 14 9 22 21 37	10 5 17 35 16 8	1,180 1 1,239 11 1,236 19	4 22 4 26 2 38
19	Kohiri		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	3,622 33 3,622 33 3,625 31	548 33 548 33 535 21	3,074 0 3,074 0 3,090 10	1,016 18 1,019 36 1,017 4	1,912 32 1,798 6 1,572 30	10 10 13 15 9 24	4 15 12 6 11 14	130 5 230 17 479 18	33 2 33 7 32 37
20	Tajo Dero	.,,	1003-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	4,170 24 4,167 11 4,166 5	1,170 12 1,179 19 1,182 15	3,00) 12 2,987 32 2,983 30	246 6 285 17 251 38	2,422 39 1,907 33 1,765 16	11 37 18 0 11 12	4 25 19 95 17 9	314 25 756 27 937 35	8 8 9 23 8 18
2 1	Alanpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,597 39 3,597 39 3,597 39	708 8 708 8 708 8	2,889 31 2,889 31 2,839 31	151 16 143 14 99 23	2,093 25 1,802 17 1,400 29	37 5 24 20 23 7	6 29 9 18	607 25 912 31 1,356 34	5 9 4 39 3 18
22	Wah Ali Haidar		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	2,656 36 2,656 38 2,657 0	264 36 264 38 264 14	2 ,392 0 2 ,392 0 2 ,392 28	23 18 22 17 20 8	2,119 3 1,827 17 1,476 15	5 25 11 15 32 2	15 38 13 20 15 35	227 36 517 11 848 6	0 38 0 37 0 33
28	Izmatabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,007 13 3,007 13 3,007 13	195 26 195 26 195 26	2,811 27 2,811 27 2,811 27	392 27 391 16 390 4	1,241 5 1,121 13 1,007 12	16 5 11 37 12 3	-33 0 26 30 1 14	1,128 30	13 39 13 36 13 35

-									Occupin	DAREA.		- Sul
				Total area		a				F	llow.	ecupie o culti
No.	Name of deh.		Period.	according to survey register.	Un- cultivable waste.	Cultivable land.	Un- occupied.	Actually cultivated.	Un- cultivated portions of survey numbers.	ĺ	Unexpired	Ferentage of uncempied sul- tivable land to esilitrable area.
	1st group-eont	d.		A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	Δ. g.
24	Fatihpur	•••	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,635 39	847 1 850 7 832 88	1,788 38 1,785 32 1,803 1	56 11 64 26 97 0	976 27 965 22 1,142 19	12 5 11 17 8 24	4 25 1 29 13 10	739 10 742 18 541 28	3 6 2 35 5 15
25	Kaureja	•••	1908-1004 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,011 7 2,011 9 2,011 12	252 28 252 28 252 28	1,758 19 1,758 21 1,758 24	9 36 8 38 10 11	1,733 30 1,656 1 1,498 23	11 35 3 24 0 24		2 38 89 39 249 6	0 23 0 20 0 23
26	Nawra	••	19(3-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,600 36 3,600 36 3,601 12	423 21 421 32 415 31	3,178 15 3,179 4 3,185 21	88 20 79 21 69 32	1,874 30 1,373 0 1,232 36	36 15 15 32 7 9	2 14	1,178 30 1,710 31	2 31 2 20
27	Rahimabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,431 8 3,481 8	247 25 287 20	3,183 23 3,193 28	1,038 13 1,041 36	748 28 860 14	50 2 27 27		1,573 10 1,366 20 1,254 31	2 S 32 25 32 25
28	Dhad		,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,431 22 3,042 38 3,012 38 3,013 37	534 38 534 38	3,196 12 2,508 0 2,508 0	75 35 70 26	913 2 1,420 0 1,201 3	28 6 22 20 12 37	2 13 4 15 3 31	985 10 1,219 23	32 20 3 1 2 33
29	Pir Padhro		preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,014 27 2,418 6 2,420 6 2,410 13	132 31 132 31 124 32	2,55 29 2,285 15 2,287 15	255 8 265 85 283 0	1,121 22 1,689 34 1,086 3 692 39	8 26 25 28 15 13	4 16 0 85	1,378 30 314 25 919 9	1 25 11 7 11 25
30	Lal Wah		1903-1004 Average of last 4 years	4,575 3 4,575 5 4,575 7	280 31 281 21 272 20	2,295 21 4,294 12 4,293 24	649 8 659 19	2,557 34 2,253 39	27 35 19 1	5 0 5 35 6 36	1,300 11 1,053 20 1,854 9	12 13 15 5 15 14
31	Garhi Chand	•••	preceding 4 years 1913-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,396 28 2,396 27	431 88 433 17	4,302 17 1,964 30 1,963 10	640 3 26 32 27 19	1,585 5 1,770 24 1,697 32	29 12 4 25 8 20	6 15	1,741 22 162 29 220 19	14 34 1 15 1 16
32	Mchar Shah	•••	proceeding 4 years 1908-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,396 1 1,577 1 1,607 4	432 18 248 33 335 30	1,963 23 1,328 8 1,331 14	20 36 167 33 167 22	1,601 28 677 15 521 24	8 12 6 30 7 26	1 36 3 9	330 31 476 10 631 13	1 3 12 25 12 23
3 3	Bachalpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,881 39 2,016 19		1,752 26 1,752 26	107 8 310 15 324 27	846 2 72 k 3	16 4 16 5	3 11	651 37 650 5 687 31	14 34 19 17 18 22
34	Abad		proceeding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,835 20 1,907 24	340 26 362 29	1,752 26 1,544 34 1,544 35	323 38 25 5 24 11	581 12 1,243 29 1,196 25	10 1 19 20 24 22	1 38	837 15 256 20 297 19	18 19 1 25 1 23
35	Garhi Mehrab	••-	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,973 36 2,138 14 2,141 30	429 7 317 28 363 8	I,544 29 1,820 26 1,778 22	35 30 352 11 306 10	937 33 1,465 19 1,410 26	13 33 1 10 7 36	0 29	556 24 1 26 53 26	2 13 19 14 17 9
36	Allahabad	•••	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,141 14 4,458 13 4,458 13	1,484 21 1,484 21	1,783 0 2,973 32 2,978 32	260 12 45 21 62 31	1,058 38 1,216 20 961 13	2 15 17 7 10 23	8	1,697 24 1,930 39	15 1 1 21 2 4
37	Jafarabad		" preceding 4 years	2,686 11 2,686 11	234 32 234 32 234 32	2,973 37 2,451 19 2,451 19 2,451 20	72 33 2 14 2 14	1,267 85 1,790 15 1,599 37	17 11 14 35 11 28	6 16	1,609 22 643 35 837 20	2 18 0 4 0 4
38	Sawan Lashari		preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	4,068 37 4,068 39	284 29 355 37 355 37	2,451 20 3,713 0 3,713 2	2 14 4 4 4 4	1,475 34 2,212 9 2,178 13	4 19 19 15 15 1	 4```3	968 33 8 0 1,511 21	0 4 1,439 12 0 4
39	Wasao	•••	preeding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	4,069 11 3,185 1 3,185 1	286 17 286 15	3,713 8 2,898 24 2,509 26	4 3 60 23 67 38	2,348 16 1,649 23 1,616 22	15 17 14 13 34 38	0 13	1,344 39 1,174 5 1,179 8	0 4 2 4 2 14
40	Rasulabad		preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,184 12 2,917 19 2,917 19	286 15 259 39 259 39	2,897 37 2,657 20 2,657 20	79 11 178 5 143 22	1,788 17 1,393 F0 1,255 27	21 39 40 5 23 33	20 9	1,008 10 1,045 20 1,214 9	2 29 6 28 5 16
41	Garhi Khairo	6	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,017 19 2,917 19 1,764 30 1,764 35	259 39 234 17 234 16	2,657 20 2,657 20 1,530 13 1,530 19	40 34	1,311 34 853 24 691 7	15 21 10 32 17 6	1 31 2 15 0 24	1,287 20 433 26 590 29	1 21 15 1 15 3
4 2	Mulah Rato	3	1903-1904	1,764 30 3,005 0 3,005 0	231 15 222 30 222 30	2,782 10 2,782 10 2,782 10	186 15 38 16 38 16	926 4 1,715 25 1,528 9	22 9 10 15 12 34	3 25	392 8 1,017 34 1,202 31	12 7 1 15 1 15
4 3	Thariri Bhaleno	•••	preceding 4 years	3,005 7 2,841 10 2,841 10	213 1 479 21 479 21	2,361 29 2,361 29	29 12 10 9 8 17	1,685 7 1,359 25 1,139 38	2 23 2 15 0 24	6 5 5 7	983 15 1,207 23	0 17 0 14
44	Khair Wah		preceding 4 years	2,811 34 2,893 29 2,893 19	479 21 610 16 640 16	2,362 13 2,163 13 2,163 13	5 22 445 14	1,027 29 1,226 1 1,296 1	0 30 3 38	2 12 5 28	1,326 0 491 38 480 11	0 2 20 23 20 9
45	Bhalenabad	,,,	,, preceding 4 years	2,803 29 1,875 9	640 16 176 30 176 30	2,163 13 1,698 19 1,698 19	437 17 406 16 22 25 22 25	1,208 10 1,242 8 962 20	9 26 11 34	2 11	541 22 424 0 200 19	18 31 1 13 1 13
46	Mauladad		, preceding 4 years	1,875 9 1,875 9 1,624 38 1,624 38	176 80 176 80 247 27 247 27	1,618 19 1,618 19 1,377 11 1,377 11	21 18	962 20 985 5 773 25 611 30	8 16 0 30	0 21	687 39 682 36 761 18	1 1 <u>1</u>
47	Ramzanpur		preceding 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,621 38 1,621 38 3,619 31 3,619 30	247 27 281 9	1,377 11 1,377 11 3,338 22 3,337 22	373 20 369 10	683 1 2,159 22 1,615 19	4 0 4 14 9 10 9 6	0 29.	761 18 689 7 793 10 1,343 27	11 7 11 8
4 8	Malhuabad	•••	preceding 4 years	3,619 36 2,956 33 2,956 33	292 8 285 3 660 28 660 28	3,331 33 2,296 5 2,296 5	350 12 875 35 882 19	1,015 19 1,714 15 1,081 25 1,002 5	8 19 3 25 7 1	 4 0 1 0	1,261 27 1,261 27 331 0 403 20	39 d 38 17
40	Kadirpur		preceding 4 years	2,956 33 2,956 33 2,452 7 2,452 7	739 17 739 28	2,296 5 1,712 30 1,712 19	882 19 849 6 178 24 185 10	1,195 22 997 9	5 9 14 5	2 26	818 36 324 19 524 35	36 39 10 17 10 33
5 0	Khalulabad	•••	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904	2,452 7 2,101 25	727 0 352 27	1,725 7 1,948 88	115 7 177 37	855 5 1,377 1	2 9 13 5	5 25	752 26 375 10	6 27 9 5
ا			Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,801 19 2,801 17	349 23 855 7	1,951 36 1,946 10	223 21 190 10	1,111 7 765 35	3 29 4 19	3 1	611 18 985 26	11 16 9 31

_	<u> </u>							Occupied .	ABEA.		od cul
Ì			Total area						Fallov Expired. Un A. g. A 111 14:20 3:25 1;3:11 17:34 8:18 9:23 3:0 0:30 6:2 1:6 3:4 1:7 3:5 3:1 3:5 3:1 3:5 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1	llow.	occupie o cult
₩o.	Name of deh.	Period.	according to survey register.	Un- cultivable waste.	Cultivable land.	Un- occupied.		Un- enltivated portions of survey numbers.	1	A. g. 156 15 249 90 674 35 1,001 0 1,047 18 943 38 602 5 661 4 679 4 282 10 289 12 00 25 486 38 392 2 347 36 440 15 492 6 717 30 1,283 14 1,379 25 1,544 2 1,580 32 247 18 455 7 07 37 25 13 26 27 894 13 27 28 1,573 31 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 12 1,633 14	Percentage of unoccupied cul- tivable land to cultivable
اا	1st group—contd,		A. g.	Λ. g.	A, g.	A. g.	A. g.	Δ. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g
51	Sumapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		616 13 618 10 614 10	1,570 14 1,570 14 1,574 24	142 29 145 20 119 37	1,262 5 1,172 3 775 11	9 5 6 11 3 10		246 90	9 4 9 10 7 21
52	Badhal Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,461 30 3,461 30 3,462 4	1,193 27 1,176 1 1,172 19	2,208 3 2,285 29 2,289 25	405 18 391 25 411 3	743 28 825 25 873 10	13 17 17 16 18 3	3 25	1,047 18	17 30 17 4 19 1
53	Jacobabad	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,476 30 2,478 32	646 11 646 25 653 35	1,870 19 1,832 7 1,823 1	51 21 54 19 65 27	1,150 13 1,101 10 1,048 4	8 26 16 36 20 23	8 18	651 4	2 3 3 3 3 2
54	Lal Ladro	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,665 0 1,671 13	675 18 687 10 696 28	989 22 983 23 976 19	382 31 376 19 416 12	806 28 201 2 227 6	14 33 15 3) 17 14	3 0 0 30	289 12	38 29 38 19 42 2
55	Mahrabpur	Average of last 4 years	1,314 0 1,439 24	190 9 512 3 3	1,123 31 1,123 31 1,132 3	109 8 114 31 129 12	521 30 564 37 490 7	5 35 5 32 9 0	3 15	137 36	9 2 10 11 1
56	Akilpur	Average of last 1 years	1,101 27 1,101 25	249 17 249 16	852 10 852 9 852 8	31 14 32 31 33 6	427 4 432 2 357 34	1 30 5 32 8 29	16	380 18	3 2 3 3 3 3
57	Cantonment	Average of last 4 years	1,816 11 1,816 11	742 81 1,528 2 1,528 2 1,528 2	288 9 288 9	288 9 288 9 288 9			***	:::	100 100 100
5 8	Duniapur	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,740 21 3,749 21	427 31 427 31	3,321 ±0 3,521 ±30	22 25 22 25 22 24	2,784 39 2,547 34 1,998 9	22 0 33 0 16 0	0 15	492 6 717 36	0 2 0 2 0 2
89	Amirabad	Average of last 4 years	4,088 2 4,085 2	568 33 563 13	3,521 19 3,524 9 5,521 9	883 18 581 30	1,732 26 1,549 27	20 25 22 31 17 14	13 35 25 39	1,373 25 1,514 2	10 3 10 3 4 3
60	Jamalabad	n preceding 4 years 19 3-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,027 10 1,026 30	126 37 1.6 36	3,524 9 1,800 13 1,709 34	167 37	1,703 4 1,545 35 1,336 3	7 0 8 24 3 29		247 18 455 7	
61	Nizamabad	, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,028 2 2,501 27 2,501 30	759 11 758 8	1,8 4 26 1,742 16 1,748 22 1,740 2	164 15 169 14	1,130 0 1,281 39 1,259 6	3 39 17 29 28 24	9 20 5 21	287 23 19. 29	9 1 9 2 7 2
62	Khudabad	Average of last 4 years	2,101 24 2,101 24	153 6 153 6	1,948 18 1,948 18	134 8 140 20 137 16	1,108 3 895 0 909 11	18 25 15 12	9 25	894 13 870 24	7 7 3 3
63	Son Wah	proceeding 1 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,101 27 2,179 23 2,679 23	18! 22 181 - 2	2,593 1 2,338 2t	75 19 36 17 35 32	961 5 612 25 845 38	5 24 7 5 12 4 12 8	1 15	1,741 84 1,603 12	1 2 1 2 1 1
	Total of let group			31, 104, 10 32,035, 23	2,4c0 2 1,45,383 39 1,44, 87 25	15,828 8 15,639 36	9 5 25 85,464 37 76,957 30	1,073 20 988 20	390 38 485 13	a2,63) 16 70,816 6	10 3 10 3 10
	2nd Group.	, proceeding 4 years	1,7,4,049 16	31,293 15	1,-14,771 1	14,587 12	71,575 9	501 14	3.0 11	0.1,000	
64	Burj Salemi	Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years	2,421 5 2,423 9 2,423 37	221 29 221 21 216 39	2,199 16 2,201 28 2,266 38	24 38 33 31 38 17	678 18 902 27 963 23	8 2 9 10 4 10	53	1,489 38 1,250 37 1,200 25	1 1 2 1 3
65	Bajhani	1003-1904 Average of last 4 years	2 813 16 2,813 19	784 2 7-3 8	2 020 14 2 030 (1 2 029 25	003 34 562 21 496 16	752 37 765 8 807 33	8 18 12 12 18 26	10 15 13 86	650 30 676 14 706 30	29 3 27 2 24 1
66	Chhajra	. 1903-1901	3,424 15 3,121 15	351 11 351 11	3,073 4 3,073 4 3,073 3	974-30 952-20	1,475 6 1,103 5 1,100 33	21 28 24 20 15 36	15 21 20 37 7 25	635 14 649 32 996 9	30 31 2 31
67	Kimatabad	. 19 3-1904 Average of last 4 years	3 3 0 17 3,310 23	093 23	2 327 5 2,327 1 2,336 29	69 27 69 27 79 26	1,530 11 1,246 21 1,657 38	31 35 23 29 1 20	5 0 6 30	660 12 980 14 1,197 25	3 3 3 1
68	Khanpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,977 3 3,977 2	410 8 409 31	3,566 35 3,567 11 3,575 25	213 10 215 21 218 27	2,539 33 2,346 31 2,170 33	78 17 57 18 29 35	7 25 6 4 1 25	728 0 941 17 1,151 25	5 3 6 6
69	Gul Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,0°0 34 3,080 37		2,924 28 2,924 23 2,924 13	145 14 145 15 121 16	1,341 33 1,333 : 2 1,038 30	27 10 35 00 15 38	4 35 2 18 8 39	1,405 14 1,407 8 1,741 10	4 3 4 3 4 4
70	Detha	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,908 6 3,998 6		819 9 846 29 860 0	14 23 12 24 39 33	609 31 430 10 427 38	4 0 3 11 10 26	2 0 4 27	220 32 398 4 376 36	1 1 1 2 4 2
71	Attai	1903-1901 Average of last 4 years	2,362 2 2,362 2	542 20 539 10 536 19	1,819 22 1,822 24 1,825 15	141 27 148 12 151 38	1,020 8 833 3 705 37	2 0 3 6 2 30	11 15 2 34 0 4	644 12 835 7 934 26	7 3 8 8 1
72	Ghouspur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,056 14 2,056 21 2,066 14	691 33 693 17 701 25	1,374 21 1,373 4 1,364 29	437 16 419 21 358 22	5°3 35 470 1 446 32	0 20 1 3 0 36	1 5 0 38	432 30 481 5 557 21	31 33 30 23 26 10
7 3	Shahdadpur	preceding 4 years 19:3-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	1,949 0 1,949 0 1,949 0	134 26 134 26 131 31	1,814 14 1 814 14 1,817 9	370 38 370 38 356 19	740 10 675 4 598 8	5 30 4 10 4 1	5 25 6 38 14 32	691 31 757 4 846 29	20 10 20 10 19 2
74	Mundranipur ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,891 11 2,893 8 2,892 19	261 35 259 30 276 15	2,629 16 2,633 18 2,616 4	1,133 28 1,270 35 1,374 14	894 1 827 37 643 18	18 32 12 10 26 35	 2 13	582 35 522 16 566 4	43 4 48 1 52 2
7 5	Bultanpor	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,541 29 3,542 18	227 27 222 35 221 11	3,314 2 3,319 23 3,320 19	735 7 750 20 713 18	1,257 35 1,335 7 1,309 8	3 80 16 32 26 33	1 15 2 5	1,317 10 1,215 29 1,178 35	22 11 22 2: 21 20

								Occurind	ARRA.		d cul-
No.	Name of deh,	Period.	Total area	Un-	Cultivable	Un-			Fa	llow,	e of unocoupled
	Name of data	Torog.	to survey register,	cultivable waste.	land.	occupied	Actually cultivated.	Un- cultivated portions of survey number.	Expired.	Unexpired	- E
	2nd group—contd.		A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A, g.	A. g.
76	Thariri ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,805 33	$425 0 \ 426 10 \ 427 15$	2,380 15 2,379 23 2,379 9	78 14 80 39 74 22	2,002 11 1,774 8 1,224 11	5 5 5 39 13 16	2 25	294 25 518 17 1,064 6	3 12 3 16 3 5
77	Miranpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,727 0	396 38 239 32 187 20	2,487 16 2,487 8 2,487 2	142 6 143 5 123 32	1,915 5 1,607 10 1,244 28	21 30 17 16 16 4	3 11 13 31	408 15 716 6 1,088 27	5 29 5 30 4 39
78	Roti	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,446 13 2,446 13	1,231 7 1,230 29 1,215 31	1,215 6 1,215 24 1,230 22	563 37 350 9 284 1	655 33 540 25 410 7	24 0 18 12 14 1	9 1 13 12 21 12	162 15 293 6 501 1	29 38 28 32 23 3
79	Lal Odho	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,761 10 2,761 10	612 25 632 15 638 38	2,148 25 2,128 35 2,122 12	25 30 17 17 5 0	88) 20 1,005 21 988 17	4 0 9 38 7 5	30 20 12 34 1 20	1,207 35 1,083 5 1,120 0	1 8 0 33 0 9
80	Dittal Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,540 19 2,640 19	141 2 141 2 141 2	2,393 17 2,399 17 2,399 17	635 7 596 25 459 27	643 20 655 0 794 13	0 15 4 30 16 31	10 10 36 21 32 20	1,110 5 1,105 21 1,096 6	26 19 24 35 19 6
	Total of 2nd group	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	49,273 33 49,121 35	10,721 10 10,577 20 10,500 32	38,552 23 38,544 15 38,568 22	6,061 12 6,162 30 5,818 28	19,411 29 18,152 39 16,021 10	263 22 260 6 225 23	110 7 135 18	12,672 33 13,833 2 16,357 35	15 21 10 32 15 7
Ì	чиояр акв	- French	1/1/1/	(CONTRACT)	- 100				1.0	10,00,00	
81.	Phatan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years	3,210 5	262 21 265 35 266 39	2,985 39 2,983 10 2,982 14	5 30 8 13	1,931 14 1,571 28 1,564 33	21 36 27 0 14 34		1,032 29 1,378 32 1,394 14	0 8 0 11
82	Bakapur	1903-1904	1.807 26 1,656 2 1,759 21	235 33 235 33 389 29	1,571 33 1,42) 9 1,369 32	54 38 71 4 75 24	1,418 30 1,227 21 899 15	6 0 7 0 15 32		92 5 111 24 379 1	3 20 5 9 5 20
83	Wariamabad	1903-1904	1,743 37 1,743 37	323 33 828 33 323 33	1,420 4 1,420 4 1,420 4	4 32 4 32 4 32	871 25 633 5 523 15	4 10 22 22 35 16		539 17 759 25 856 21	0 16 0 15 0 14
84	Umranipur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4,107 17 4,107 18 4,107 14	1,093 4 1,103 27 1,107 5	8,014 13 8,003 31 3,000 9	279 (20 282 2 272 32	1,833 25 1,447 28 1,105 32	10 5 20 29 43 16		861 3 1,253 12 1,578 9	9 12 9 16 9 4
85	Hambi	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	1,110 19	129 32 132 39 140 23	980 21 977 20 969 20	528 33 486 14 527 25	147 3 273 39 200 39	1 10 7 2 k 18 12	0.31	303 15 205 32 222 24	53 37 49 30 54 16
86	Milkiati B arkar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	998 13	933 9 933 9 2,856 9	65 4 65 4 65 4	11 29 11 29 10 2	51 10 33 20 23 0	2 5 1 4 0 10		18 25 31 32	18 0 18 0 15 17
87	Muhammadpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years		1,185 9 1,168 0 11,06 33	4,074 16 4,071 25 4,062 35	1,539 21 1,556 20 1,578 24	1,544 23 1,250 18 1,141 3	9 12 19 17 28 34	22 35 15 10	958 5 1,230 0	37 31 38 9 38 34
8 8	Shahid	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,377 36	2,687 14 2,720 8 2,731 6	690 22 657 28 646 30	304 15 253 0 212 17	366 32 145 27 158 20	0 31 3 1	2 4 10 3	19 15 256 6 262 19	44 0 58 19 32 34
\$ 9	Кhạn Wah	1903-1901 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years.	2,699 32 2,699 29 2,699 21	372 3 371 32 370 38	2,327 29 2,327 37 2,328 23	1,261 5 1,317 30 1,520 23	163 4 240 17 275 24	7 13 3 25	8 11 3 30	900 20 724 6 525 1	54 12 57 36 65 12
9 0	Hazaro	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,986 30 3,986 30 8,986 30	227 19 227 19 227 19	3,759 11 3,759 11 3,759 11	3,601 31 3,601 31 3,405 10	U.L.		6 23 118 10	157 20 150 37 235 31	95 32 95 32 90 23
91	Belo Alipur (Distorested during 1903-04).	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years		872 20 	3,765 5	3,458 15	190 21	116 9			91 34
92	Risalabad (newly formed out during 1903-04)	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years	d ' '	3,107 21	1,305 39 	1,158 8	147 31				88 27
	Total of 3nd group	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	28,189 14	11,430118 7,502 35 9,610 31	25,960 36 20,686 19 20,604 22	12,206 7 7,623 32 7,616 2	8,696 18 6,827 9 5,832 21	171 7 113 20 163 20	22 35 32 39 140 18	4,864 9 6,088 39 6,792 1	47 1 36 34 36 39
İ	GBAND TOTAL OF THUL TALUKA.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	254,234 17	53,555 38 50,115 38 54,410 1	209,902 18 204,118 19 203,914 5	34,098 27 29,426 18 28,052 2	113,603 4 101,937 38 93,189 0	1,508 9 1,362 6	521 0 653 30	80,168 18 70,738 7 80,770 31	16 10 14 17 13 30

C. M. BAKER,

Duputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier,



JACOBABAD

STATEMENT showing CULTIVATED LAND, excluding JAGIR and FOREST LAND, in each village of of the existing settlement

$\overline{}$		}						KHARIF			and an area and			
Serial No.	Name of deh,	Year.	GARDS:	NB, ACC.	FLOW	BION.	Отни	PLOW.	L	IFT.	LIFT AI)		Fi	LOW.
8			Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess-	Area.	Ascess- ment.
1	l <i>st group.</i> Abdulah Drakhan'	3,002,1004	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs, a.
		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	24 9 8 25	84 6 29 15	826 32 421 23	2,838 6 1,446 6	725 4 819 13	1,947 9 2,201 12			2 37	8 1	32 7	109```3
2	Kaisarabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	8 30 2 7 1 34	28 15 7 4 6 1			614 20 821 20 848 38	1,575 13 2,123 3 2,195 4	***	 	 		30 20 7 25	93 8 23 6
3	Alipur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	51 0 44 5 33 37	178 8 151 15 118 12	224 5 179 37 85 5	784 7 629 13 297 15	411 20 453 38 489 17	1,132 14 1,249 9 1,347 3	17 25 23 20 17 18	39 11 52 15 39 5	 1 35	5 3	 52 19	 170 10
4	Ahmadpur ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	67 15 45 34 18 39	231 2 157 7 64 14	914 16 792 32 395 21	3,148 2 2,731 7 1,360 10	541 0 608 83 778 25	1,452 4 1,626 0 2,093 11	14 20 24 20 29 37	30 14 52 1 64 14	11 29 77 36	31 6 206 3	13 5 49 39	41 8 160 4
5	Dilawarpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	276 20 248 24 236 25	944 4 816 1 608 2	32 0 9 19	109 0 32 4	385 19 455 36 430 10	1,021 12 1,209 15 1,142 1	441 26 469 31 478 23	953 3 1,013 7 1,031 1	10 31 30 32	29 10 81 9	 37 3	117 7
6	Dasti	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years			19 20 11 17 7 6	67 5 39 13 25 1	43 10 46 34 42 1	115 15 125 9 112 8	184 15 168 38 118 15	412 8 379 6 265 10	i3 23 2 9	36 9 5 13		:::
7	Shahpur	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	0 25 0 13	2 1 1 1	168 5 158 0 104 14	556 11 523 3 345 8	1,158 00 1,013 25 954 14	2,971 5 2,600 1 2,455 13	:::	***			5 30 139 28 54 31	17 10 428 3 168 3
8	Gokalpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	0 8	0 11	314 0 232 0 121 36	1,039 15 769 2 403 13	593 30 673 29 783 18	1,526 9 1,731 14 2,014 14		447 755 514			55 20 28 0 22 25	170 1 86 2 69 4
9	Aurangabad	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	4 25 3 10	15 5 10 12	 1 37	6 7	466 18 377 11 501 15	1,201 5 969 0 1,290 2		 			28 30 25 15	88 1 77 12
10	Pir Baksh	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years			1,686 22 1,371 19 811 13		351 30 337 2 541 28	902 2 864 8 1,390 12	:::		100		28 26	87 13
11	Jahanpur	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	11 16 11 19 8 31	37 12 38 0 29 1	1,763 22 1,508 14 1,105 35	5,843 11 5,198 10 3,662 3	366 0 341 34 342 18	938 14 876 12 878 4		*** *** ***			3 ··· 4	9'''8
12	Sherappur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	2 5 2 25 3 33	7 1 8 13 12 11	1,978 28 1,718 5 1,010 1	6,653 2 5,7 7 8 3 3,394 3	113 10 232 25 460 31	292 10 735 6 1,200 2					2 9	6 13
13	Daro Jiand	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years		18 11	2 15 2 32	7 14 9 5	1,266 80 1,003 4 1,082 20	3,218 4 2,573 5 2,776 9		•••	***			:::
14	Kur Khairo Gachal	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years		 4 9 15 14	, '9 28	 32 1	1,410 30 856 19 731 1	3,617 8 2,197 2 1,874 13					4 0 6 33	12 4 20 14
15	Rotri	1903-1004 Average of last 4 years Do. proceeding 4 years	3 10 1 8	10 12 3 15	195 00 183 5 113 13	648 4 606 4 375 4	3 25 439 5 585 26	9 5 1,126 4 1,502 1		 	***		2 18 94 36	7 8 290 13
16	Kur Rato		 3 36 	12 15 	55 35 59 8 34 11	185 0 195 15 113 8	846 0 402 6 380 16	2,169 4 1,031 2 975 6	34 8 28 16	 68 6 56 13	 1 12 3 28	3 5 9 4	10 16	 31 ï4
17	Dodapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		 	82 25 40 3	273 12 132 12	12 30 595 12 861 23	32 124 1,527 8 2,210 2		 			 25 22	78 5
18	Kur Biro	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	2 10	7 7			726 30 663 29 703 18	1,863 12 1,699 10 1,804 7			, 		•	:::
19	Kohiri	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years			1,811 37 1,646 18 1,130 27	6,138 9 5,582 2 3,837 6	20 35 54 26 219 0	55 10 148 8 577 13		•••			31 6	99 15
20	Tajo Dero	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	5 10 5 29 26 27	17 6 18 11 88 8	1,572 10 1,178 27 532 36	5,202 11 3,906 1 1,797 7	652 26 403 21 653 12	1,421 14 1,033 6 1,651 5					 115 9	553 ^{"8}
31	Alanpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	12 0 6 12 4 11	39 12 20 14 14 3	564 20 519 3 264 34	1,869 11 1,719 3 870 15	641 10 734 12 798 30	1,643 15 1,882 14 2,053 4		••• •••	···		13 5 48 14 147 20	40 3 148 1 450 15
28	Wah Ali Haidar	1		 "i 1	1,539 11 1,216 12 886 12	5,094 1 4,027 5 2,930 6	249 5 806 6 420 0	638 8 785 0 1,081 12	4 12	 13 3			43 15 25 24	132 14 78 7
23	Izmatabad	1008-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	0 20 2 35	1 10 9 8	31 25 8 26	114 II 28 11	553 20 599 17 765 26	1,410 3 1,537 6 1,963 9	•••				18 14 157 33	56 4 483 12
24	Fatibpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	 0 3	0 4	114 25 190 35 241 21	379 11 652 1	440 37 490 1	1,135 3 1,258 15 1,462 5	9 2	 18 2		:::		:::

XIV-A.

TALUKA.

taluka Jacobabad, under each kind of irrigation, during the year 1903-04 and two quadrennial periods with the assessment thereon.

						F	ABI.								1		,	
L	FT.		DED BY	SAII	ABI.	Во	sī.		AIDED LOW.	On w	KLLS.		AIDED		ILL LENTS,	To	TAL.	Remares.
Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assecs- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Åres.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assessment.	
g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A, g.	Ro. a	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	Rs, a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	
						777 39 881 39 882 22	2 075 2 2,061 0 2,360 14								 	2,483 36 2,458 4 2,167 7	7,436 12 7,231 5 8,150 3	
					::	800 20 670 33 437 4	2,054 8 1,722 12 1,122 11							44 21	111	1,454 10 1,54 29 1,287 36	3,752 12 3,987 14 3,324 0	
•••						292 5 214 15	804 1 500 0		:::				***	:::		996 15 915 35	2,939 9 2,674 4	
•••						211 3 331 3 294 22	589 4 1,032 6 792 9	1 9	3 15							1,918 14 1,790 24	2,568 4 5,894 12 5,436 5	
•••				6 5	13 13	259 27 133 35	699 3 358 0	1 9							***	1,610 24 1,275 25	4,649 11 3,400 0	
				1 21	3 7	95 14 91 29	254 15 245 12 22 1								147	1,291 16 1,305 2 255 5	3,389 11 3,426 0 617 13	
					***	8 0 5 10 1 28	14 7 4 10	i							***	246 2 171 19	595 12 413 10	
•••						622 35 436 26 307 18	1,597 2 1,119 12 788 6	1.0				3::			3+4 8+1 844	1,955 20 1,749 24 1,421 12	5,142 12 4,673 4 5,758 15	
	.,,					326 2 212 15 104 31	830 14 544 13 268 15				l:					1,289 12 1,146 21 1,032 30	3,573 7 3,132 10 2,756 14	
***						17.: 10 101 19	436 7 260 1									841 13 510 30	1,653 1 1,327 14	
•••				! 		91 34 392 25 20 15	980 15 665 10			A						620 21 2,420 :7 1,967 36	1,609 13 7,472 0 6,075 11	
•••						215 5 181 15	551 12 465 12	***						,,,		1,596 32 2,322 13	7,289 1	
	:::					235 33 573 21 308 29	607 2 1,477 13		479	THE STATE OF	und Heind					2,100 24 2,030 25	6,730 0 6,047 5 7,747 5	
•••						367 15 629 19	791 8 948 5 1,638 9								***	2,402 32 2,373 2 2,104 4	7,478 2 6,245 9	
						105 30 59 38 48 14	271 2 153 11 123 14			141	:::				 	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1,372 & 20 \\ 1,071 & 3 \\ 1,133 & 26 \end{array}$	3,519 6 2,753 9 2,909 12	
					::	27 5 31 30	69 10 81 7									1,410 30 889 9 781 2	3,617 8 2,283 9 2,025 1	
***				Č		490 29 205 11	1,258 1 526 4	 	ii.					4	- #	690 4 +33 9	1,915 10 2,277 0	
				Ų	빏	83 15 27 25 24 1	213 11 70 14 61 10		/::K] 				þĻ	1 E	929 20 524 31	2,385 12 2,425 2 1,373 5	
***		•••				25 10 189 5	64 12 481 15								•••	482 17 284 20	791 7 1,841 3	
		•••				-84 25 92 20	217 0 237 3			1 17	3 15					721 17 979 25	2,525 10	
•••		•••	 			::: :::	***		•••				••• ••• •••			726 30 664 39 703 18	1,863 12 1,707 1 1,504 7	
•••	:::					94 25 122 13 212 35	250 4 319 4 557 11						 	 		1,927 17 1,823 27 1,693 28	6,444 7 6,049 14 5,074 13	
						309 15 357 32 463 33	794 1 917 12 1,196 14					***				2,439 21 1,945 29 1,793 37	7,436 0 5,875 14 5,087 10	
•••						599 35 5 2 5 25	2,307 3 1,348 1									2,130 30 1,833 26	5,900 12 5,119 1	
•••						217 39 352 10 256 19	558 12 903 1 734 7	' •••							••• •••	1,433 14 2,140 26 1,852 12	3,948 1 6,695 10 5,(7) 10	
•••		24.9 144:		•••		187 32 702 5	734 7 481 7							•••		1,524 12 1,290 10	4,586 4 3,384 10	
***	 		 			533 3 94 15	1,367 1 242 5		•••		:::				*** P#1	1,160 0 1,020 29	2,991 0 2,699 2	
•••			••• ••• •••	***	 	4.17 35 291 29 346 11	1,122 12 747 15 888 3	:::			 	 		:::		998 17 978 28 1,164 13	2,637 10 2,659 3 3,168 10	

- [GARDER	a dec				KHAT	RIF.					
Serial No.	Name of deh.	Year,			FLOW	RICH.	Отна	PLOW.	I.	I #¥.	LIFT ATI		FL	ow.
3			Aren.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment,
`	let group-contd.		A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a,	A. g.	∦s. a.	A. g,	Rs. s.	A, g.	Rs, a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
25	Koureja	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	10 15 4 34 6 23	35 6 16 10 21 11	258 10 205 22 136 5	882 2 702 11 465 0	332 14 472 4 618 22	890 10 1,257 13 1,641 1	11 26	 24 12	7 30 16 0	19 6 49 7	• •	
26	Nawra	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		8 6 1 13	148 15 92 16 28 14	491 7 303 9 93 14	1,145 0 966 27 1,002 4	2,936 1 2,482 1 2,573 8				•••	34 39 4 6	107 3 12 12
27	Rahimabad .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	4 30 4 33 2 31	15 12 16 2 9 5	 15 18	 51 2	527 5 739 12 773 14	1,386 13 1,921 1 2,004 2			•••		29 2 7 8 15	91 0 25 10
28	Dhad	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		3 3	 3 7.	 10 9	804 15 762 36 821 35	2,062 15 1,958 12 2,121 4				••• •••	12 24 54 14	38 10 166 8
2 9	Pir Padhro			 3 5	 19 13	 64 0	1,328 32 851 2 523 15	3,411 12 2,186 8 1,315 10					77 34 31 8	238 15 95 8
80	Lal Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	7 5 4 34	23 10 16 1	1,255 4 977 24 333 21	4,162 2 3,238 15 1,104 11	819 5 932 35 1,300 6	2,103 1 2,395 5 3,339 1	2 27 5 15	 5 6 10 12	24 30 16 34 30 5	59 7 41 8 75 5	93 2 78 5	285 6 239 6
31	Garbi Chand	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years	9 2 0 3 39	32 10 13 12	559 15 417 36	1,934 13 1,440 1	638 2 548 37	1,670 10 1,447 13	29	:::	4 10 4 10	11 11 11 11	3 23	11 4
32	Mehar Shah	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1 25	5 11	122 11 10 5 10 25	419 9 35 7 37 3	648 33 395 35 166 28	1,729 5 1,085 9 457 0 250 7	24 15 167 4	5 0 54 5	3 11 32 10 144 37	87 2 397 9 334 15	22 10	72 6
3 3	Bachalpur .	190. proceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	5 31	ii 2	93 30 53 2t	319 6 182 6	91 15 392 30 411 26	1,019 2 1,070 9	18 29	374 15 38 11	97 15 59 13	252 15 153 9		18 11
34	Abad	Do, proceeding 4 years 1903-1004 Average of last 4 years	5 35 6 23	16 8 20 9 22 11	219 20 181 23	764 3 613 6	343 11 385 8	1,070 7 920 13 1,026 8	78 34 32 20 50 10	164 13 69 0 108 3	13 35 7 10 49 11	35 12 19 15 132 9	6 4	
35	Garhi Mehrab	Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	8 39	31 0 8 13	43 20 1,017 10 801 6	3,186 9 2,760 3	418 6 211 5 200 31	1,115 11 576 7 550 10	70 1	151 6	17 11	46 4	31 5	110 5
36	Allahabad .	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2 6 5 5 6 3J	7 6 17 0 22 10	261 16 66 0 65 15	9.13 1 218 10 216 9	1,007 17	1,012 9 2,577 0 3,134 12	4 20 30 16	9 0 60 13	86 25 21 26	216 9 54 2	10 39 3 20	35 3
37	Jafarabad	Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904	5 3	16 13	3 k 10 675 30 100 39	2,843 1 1,743 9	930 2 871 15 880 9	2,378 4 2,327 13 2,338 1	101 30	2.14.14 			61 35	189 11 35 6
38	Sawan Lashari	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	 3 0			5,106 2 4,782 3	50 1 10 50 2 23	1,318 5	***	 :::		***	37 58 21 15 42 38	119 4 69 8 138 10
39	Wasao	Do. proceding 1 years	3 13	2 4 4 1	726 25 211 5	735 9	1,133 21 1,378 31 1,124 3	3,017 9 3,698 9 3,025 2		•••			244 5	173 3
40	Rasulabad	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		449 113	314 5	1,173 5	1,458 39 776 10	3,966 1 2,065 12	3 25	8 3	7 10	23 2	29 30	95 1
41	Garhi Khairo	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904		70 9	273 19 176 11 81 35	931 8 614 8	750 30 825 14 617 34	1,973 2 2,153 12 1,027 14	36 24 40 15 31 0	73 3 80 12 72 3	1 15 1 4 24 30	$\begin{array}{c c} 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 12 \\ 65 & 0 \end{array}$	35 26 23 21 3 35	75 3 12 4
		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	22 31 10 29	77 6 36 7	85 29 18 35 2 15	293 4 64 6 7 14	445 27 631 32 1,051 25	1,177 12 1,679 2 2,697 1	23 15 5 4	49 10 10 14	6 8		18 20 110 22	58 6 349 5
42		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years			2 15 145 2 58 25	7 11 480 7	1,023 14 1,067 15 1,250 0	2.624 5 2,740 11 3,205 12			•••		117 9	359 3
4 3	Thariri Bhaleno	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years			41 21 	137 8 	989 12 587 3	2,537 8 1,506 1		ut(6 ¹¹	18 8 15 5
4-1	Khair Wah	Average of last 4 years Do. proceding 4 years		20 5 21 13 41 3	258 26 210 21 269 9	856 10 697 4 892 2	233 15 478 20 600 14	598 14 1,228 12 1,543 5		 			39 9 2 16	119 14 7 6
45	Bhalenabad .	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years.	19 34 18 39 15 39	65 11 63 0 52 13	25 30 132 19 18 8	85 5 356 0 60 4	713 20 484 14 562 16	1,829 10 1,242 1 1,443 4				•••	4 14 58 28	13 5 170 13
46	Mauladad	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years		3 15	69 0 40 6 	228 7 132 15	496 0 500 4 490 21	1,210 1 1,277 11 1,254 12		 	1 34	4 10		
4 7	Ramzanpur	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	0 25 0 21	$\begin{array}{c c} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 12 \end{array}$	 		1,127 27 1,037 20 1,054 30	2,892 13 2,663 3 2,708 7			*** *** ***		6 10 3 35	19 3 11 14
4 8	Malhuabad ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, proceding 4 years	1 10 0 37 0 25	4 2 3 1 2 1	348 30 296 7 22 24	1,156 2 981 6 75 3	604 10 409 34 462 38	1,652 3 1,052 7 1,092 1		 			2 9 8 37	6 13 27 6
40	Kadirpur .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		7 15	99 20 148 19 9 1	329 7 491 14 30 1	640 1 549 6 584 14	1,645 10 1,411 3 1,504 9				===	7 9 5 13	22 2 16 5
50	Khalulabad .	1000 7004	0 15	1 4	358 34 252 22 33 15	1,188 8 836 5 111 4	589 37 549 12 621 13	1,514 9 1,412 8 1,599 12			***		2 29	85
6 1	Sumapur .	1009 1004	7 20 8 30	24 14 29 0 8 7	441 35 338 21 119 34	1,475 8 1,121 15 397 6	89 7 286 25 401 8	229 1 735 4 1,263 14		5 9 5 9	*** ***		 5 ^{**} 9	16 0
£ 2	Badhal W .	1903-1904 preceding 4 years preceding 4 years	197 5 160 15	652 1 499 15	:::	171 6	141 10 189 8 243 10	362 4 484 8	243 25	506 14 651 8 368 11	123 0 148 12 255 7	308 3 370 15	19 30 9 5 36 3	27 15

		Non- :-				1	RABI.	10-		,						To	TAL.	
L	FT.	BOST AT	DED BY	SAI	ABI,		Bost.		AIDED	()N W	KLLS,		FLOW.		HILL RENTS.		1	Ri.marge.
rea.	Assess- ment.	Area,	Assess- ment,	Area.	Asce s- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Asress- ment.	Area.	Asress- ment.	Area.	Assers- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area,	Assessment.	
g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g	Rs. a.	Α. g.	Rs, a.	A. g	Rs. a.	A, g	Rs. a.	А. д.	Rs. a.	
 	 	73 18 80 1	231 14 154 12		 	1,144 26 8.5 37 630 10	3,029 12 2,275 4 1,677 1									1,745 25 1,669 25 1,499 7	4,837 14 4,603 10 4,131 12	
	 	 	 			617 30 292 9 207 13	1,584 0 749 5 531 10			 		:::			***	$\begin{array}{c} 1,911 & 5 \\ 1,348 & 32 \\ 1,242 & 19 \end{array}$	5,011 8 3,650 8 3,213 9	
,	 	***			.,, 	246 35 123 9 143 25	$\begin{array}{c} 6; 2 & 14 \\ 315 & 14 \\ 368 & 6 \end{array}$									778 20 897 - 1 943 23	2,035 7 2,344 1 2,458 9	
 	 	•••	 	 	 	642 20 4 2 11 274 9	1.647 - 7 $1,134 - 6$ $652 - 3$			•••					 	1,446 35 1,217 31 1,134 24	3,710 6 3,131 12 2,953 11	
	***				 	38 6 3 0 173 15 157 16	901 14 441 15 352 8			*** ***						1,715 22 1,102 H 712 10	4,493 10 2,870 6 1,869 5	
						485 20 252 0 173 20	1,244 7 646 13 445 1		***	***						$2,591 \ 21$ $2,279 \ 36$	7,592 11 6,629 6	
	•••	40 35 32 7	132 5 104 7			553 35 681 31	1,485 1 1,807 13			0 26	1 13					1,920 32 1 1,770 2 1,701 36	5,214 4 5,134 13 4,866 8	A. c. 5 7 Huri, 4 16,
					: 7	$\begin{array}{c c} 799 & 38 \\ 245 & 35 \\ 184 & 9 \end{array}$	2,121 14 676 9 506 10			•••						1,611 19 684 5 532 19	4,393 11 1,884 11 1,458 6	0 17.
···	***					73 34 278 11 191 8	2.00 7 725 13 501 1									479 3 862 6 740 8	1,246 8 2,317 4 1,965 6	
		 0 ::4	2 10			7(- 23 651 - 20	198 6									591 13 1,283 9	1,504 8 3,546 2	
• • •		69 0				546 16 361 13 145 24	1,469 13 977 3 300 4						***			1,223 5 952 15 1,462 39	3,405 12 2,579 14 4,778 3	A. g. 3 30 Huri.
•••		77 19 115 8	217 12 368 13		***	3/1 39 281 28 61 0	865 11 764 6	10				II.	.,,			1,415 34 1,061 13 1,230 27	4,433 1 3,081 9 3,194 8	2 32
•••		•	 	:::		17 10 158 22 259 5	44 4 406 10 665 9	11:8			W.	77			***	080 2 1,201 22 1,8 5 10	2,543 14 3,309 11 5,336 7	
	:::	.,,	•••			216 2 295 31	563 3 779 8	3 14	10 4							1,611 25 1,480 13	4,690 7 4,191 14	A, 98.
•••				***	 	255 25 171 30 250 37	684 4 461 1 669 6	***							 	2,267 15 2,195 8 2,363 24	7,2 8 3 6,850 11 6,955 0	2 9 2 9 0 22
		 	•••	•••	 	56 30 119 4 173 22	154 9 323 10 469 12	3 38	12 11			111				1,663 26 1,661 20 1,810 16	4,645 0 4,742 2 5,033 3	
	 	 	•••		 	313 20 202 35 262 31	818 0 532 3 6 98 15		:::							1,433 35 1,299 29 1,329 6	4,057 1 3,423 11 3,025 14	
 	 	3 15	10 11	***		82 7 99 37 129 37	218 9 565 4 345 15	1 26	5 5			2 10	71	 34 33	 87 1	865 16 707 22 949 21	2,346 15 1,955 10 2,594 6	A, g. 1 15 1 15 2 17
	•••	 	 			672 0 515 (4 353 4	1.723 0 1,321 7 918 3						:::			$egin{array}{ccc} 1,726 & 0 \\ 1,541 & 3 \\ 1,687 & 30 \\ \end{array}$	4,427 15 3,953 10 4,498 8	
	 	 			ij	59 20 114 16 437 27	152 10 294 10 1,122 15		7 (1)	4		ä	Ċ.	1:1	i fën	1,368 5 1,145 29 1,030 31	3,5£2 8 2,969 10 2,647 8	
	 	••• ••• •••	:::		U.	723-85 509-35 330-39	1,853 10 1,07 11	F)		LL		::: :::	LÖ	Ü.	LUI	1,226 1 1,245 25	3,314 12 3,378 6	
		••• "'		•••	***	488 10 330 29	851 1 1,251 11 847 14									1,215 15 1,247 14 970 35	3,335 1 3,232 5 2,523 4	A. g. 4 20 4 20
•••						330 17 219 15 73 2)	562 J1 189 2									985 28 774 15 615 33	2,582 7 2,031 3 1,604 6	3 14
						196 12 1,041 6 580 10	503 6 2,669 15 1,488 0									688 4 2,168 32 1,624 25	1,702 1 5,562 12 4,171 7	
						663 28 133 (c. 300 39	1,702 5 346 2 772 5			•••		,,,				1,722 34 1 1,789 to 1,010 6	3,058 9 2,816 0	
						132 39 470 6	341 12 1,205 12			•••						628 3 1,209 27	1,638 7 3,180 13	
•••	:::				,,, ,,,	397 20 256 10 447 0	762 14 659 0	 							·	1,002 14 857 14 1,395 31	2,689 1 2,217 14 3,849 7	
•••						312 39 115 26 729 24	802 10 298 0									1.117 37 770 14 1,271 10	3,061 0 2,009 0 3,600 10	
•••	:::	:::				141 27 158 8 46 35	1,390 6 408 5			•••	••• •••					1,178 14 779 32 771 25	3,283 2 2,097 9 2,010 2	
		:::]		36 35 37 27 45 9	120 3 96 11 115 15	:::		***		 		:::		971 25 846 26 994 24	2,010 2 2,131 8 2,383 6	

bdro bpur ir niment pur bbad hbad		Year. 1903-1004 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	Aroa. A. g. 66 36 97 99 142 19 4 36 1 20 1 12 2 16 1 31 6 22	Rs. a. 225 8 331 11 484 14 17 0 5 2 4 7 7 11 5 12 18 5	FLOW Area. A. g. 105 5 57 5 39 31 106 20 127 11 17 7 15 30 19 8	Rick. Aseosement. Rs. a. 359 2 296 14 135 9 362 11 433 12 58 8 53 10 65 5	Агеа. А. д. 144 20 112 16 194 39 7 30 9 6 119 0 207 36 230 11	R FLOW. Assessment. Rs. a. 381 6 244 15 105 13 20 10 24 5 5 37 6 6 552 8	432 38 201 36 291 27 238 20	Assessment. Rs. a. 1,008 11 1,213 14 944 5 656 14 6.6 12 556 12		ILED BY OW, ARECES- THEIR BOS 3 367 4 403 4 23 1 5 12	Area.	Asaes ment Rs. a 250 66 1 74 18
abad bpsr ur ament pur bad abad		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years 1903-1904	A. g. 65 36 97 39 142 19 4 36 1 20 112 2 15 131 5 22 3 10 0 32	ment. Rs. a. 225 8 331 11 484 14 17 0 5 2 4 7 1 11 5 12 18 5	105 5 87 5 39 31 106 20 127 11 17 7 15 30 8	Rs. a. 359 2 296 14 135 9 362 11 433 12 58 8	A, g. 144 20 132 18 194 39 7 30 9 6 119 0 247 36	Rs. a. 381 6 244 15 405 13 20 10 24 5 376 6	A. g. 103 30 567 13 432 33 201 36 291 27 238 20	Rs. a. 1,008 11 1,273 14 944 5 656 14 6-6 12	A. g. 193 8 138 85 149 9 8 15 2 4	R*. n. 506 3 367 4 403 4 23 1 5 12	80 35 21 21 24 9	Rs. a 250 68 1 74 1
abad bpsr ur ament pur bad abad		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years 1903-1904	66 36 97 39 142 19 142 19 4 36 1 20 1 12 2 16 1 31 6 22	225 8 331 11 484 14 17 0 5 2 4 7 7 14 5 12 18 5	105 5 87 5 39 31 106 20 127 11 17 7 15 30 19 8 	359 2 296 14 135 9 362 11 433 12 58 8	144 20 132 16 194 39 7 30 9 6 119 0 207 36	381 6 244 15 105 13 20 10 24 5 3 0 6	567 13 432 33 201 36 201 27 238 20	1,098 11 1,233 14 944 5 656 14 6.6 12	193 8 188 85 189 9 8 15 2 4	506 3 367 4 403 4 23 1 5 12	80 35 21 21 24 9	250 66 1 74 I
bpar ir nument pur ubad habad		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years 1903-1904	97 09 1+2 10 4 36 1 20 1 12 15 1 31 5 22	331 11 484 14 17 0 17 0 5 2 4 7 7 11 5 12 18 5 11 1	106 20 127 11 17 7 15 30 19 8	296 14 135 9 302 11 433 12 58 8 53 10	132 16 194 39 7 30 9 6 119 0 207 36	244 15 £05 13 20 10 24 5 3 6 6	567 13 432 33 291 36 291 27 238 20	1,213 14 944 5 656 14 6.6 12	138 85 149 0 8 15 2 4	367 4 403 4 23 1 5 12	21 21 24 9 	66 1 74 I
par par bad		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 19c3-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	1 20 1 12 2 16 1 31 5 22 	5 2 4 7 7 11 5 12 18 5	106 20 127 11 17 7 15 30 19 8	362 11 433 12 58 8 53 10	9 6 119 0 207 36	21 5 3 6 6	291 27 238 20	6.6 12	8 15 2 4	5 12		1 -
pur . bad . abad .		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904	1 20 1 12 2 15 1 31 5 22 	5 2 4 7 7 11 5 12 18 5	106 20 127 11 17 7 15 30 19 8	362 11 433 12 58 8 53 10	119 0 20 7 36	3'6 6		555 12	1		,	•••
pur		1003 1004 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903.1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years 1903 1004 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years 1903 1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years 1903 1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years 1003 1904	2 15 1 31 5 22 3 10 0 32	7 11 5 12 18 5	15 30 19 8 	53 [0	-50 /1	771 10	18 3	 38 7	20 35 36 ln 41 10	54 13 95 :1 109 10	129 25 38 10 53 37	409 120 107
par		1903-1904 Average of hist 4 years ,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904	3 10 0 33	11 1			216 4 218 35 191 33	574 1 582 10 518 14	2 10 28 50 37 27	4 8 62 4 81 7	58 5 90 20 63 13	150 6 239 12 167 15	58 20 25 10 4 38	188 80 15
uad ,		1903 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1003 1904	3 10 0 33	11 1			:::							:
abad .		1903 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding + years		2 13	1,629 76 1,538 26 719 30	5 523 14 4,923 14 1,140 10	651 18 640 13 937 32	1,637 13 1,637 (0 2,443 15	***		, 		1 :6 17 58	67
abad .	į	1973-1904	2 5 8 5	19 7 7 0 2) 6	441 10 229 1 483 12	1,431 1 1 .54 9 1,62 13	879 21 7-5 11 944 5	2,255 10 2 042 5 2,413 12	4 0	 8 8			in 24 o≟ o2	93 : 108
		Average of fast 4 years			7 (5) 70 724 2) 413 5 k	2,562 8 2,47 7 1,125 2	613 0 422 34 500 18	1,6°5 2 1,600 2 1,80 14				:::	28 0 61 23	85
bad ,		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	8 10 In 22 7 15	25 L 35 5 25 2	611 10 657 37 589 31	2 0 66 13 2,245 11 1,3 0 8	7d 0 3 0 29 . 08 16	1,162 2 8-3 5 8:3 4	2 35 0 29 0 2)	6 2 1 9 1 9		 1 10	1 16 71 2.	4.
	!	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			271 0 20 6	807 5	346 5 470 81	187 10 1,2 10 1 3 8 4	5 15	iö 12				119
ah .		, preceding 1 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			225 14 48 0 85 0	7% 9 159 a 119 7	545 10 -03 30 710 2	1 0 7 15 1, 20 11 2 2 1 0		***			2 16 0 35	7
DE IST GROU	P	Average of last 4 years	845 6 810 19 7(3 t	27/3 1	23,7.53 9	88 t i 0 7 D 1 12 42,32 t 14	34506 37	51,471 / 8 (p.b) 7	1,778 22 2,116 5	3,559 8 4,573 10 1, 10 9	7/7 2	1,755 5 2,0 4 14 2,10 8 22	ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	$\frac{1}{1,52}$, $\frac{3}{14}$
d group,		, preceding t years												
alemi .		1903 1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 1 years	22 30 5 28	73 15 18 8			374 25 653 8 918 4 5	931. 6 1 631. 12 2,231. 5		 	1 32	4 5	192 30 48 8	568 172
i	.]	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			255 : 5 210 9 146 (8	795 0 654 4	207 25 505 14 459 52	4 5 10 7 8 5 1,076 2			17 20 4 15 5 10	39 5 9 13 11 15	8 12 27 10	2 i 78
а .		1993-1904 Average of last 4 years	 4 28	ii 6	732 18 69 k 22	2,203 6	423 31 604 26	983 2 1,174 11 1,276 8					17 10	48
beda		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1 30 1 14	5 6 4 2	610 21 52 · 12	1,870 13 1,618 14	52 i 5 525 34	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					29 4	81
ur .		1903 : 19)4 Average of last 4 years	13 20 10 4	41 8 31 0	784 5 899 4	2, (02 14 2, 55 7	897 10 7.44 59	2,074 8 18:7 13					10 17 75 18	29 212
ah .		1003 1001 Average of last 4 years		\mathbf{I}_{m}	2 7 3 207 3	634 9 634 9	560 3 5 735 22	1.2 6 12 1.7 0 9	1:1		3		.5 5 4 11 55 31	14 3 156
114	L	1908-1904 Average of last 4 years	 0 25	1 14	J.: ~	:::	278 15 276 6	613 10 658 13 824 8					4 25 1 B	19 3
.11 1		19:3-1904 Average of last 4 years	2 19	7 13	35 35	113 5	484-38 480-14	1,105 13 1,155 12 1,263 3			3 50	8 14	-44 2-4	,
our .		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	 2 36	 8 14	***		213 35 217 34 259 21	566 1 692 11 8.7 0			19 1 37 4	44 4 83 7		
dpur .		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	7 15 5 i	23 5 15 15			569 0 551 4	1,318 1 1,278 2 1,007 2		:::			19 10 4 33	55 13
anipur ,		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	84 55 95 32	230 0 295 12	 3 9	9 14	716 24 5 5 21	1,681 2 1,801 8 1,107 6	11 2 64 5	19 6 120 9	17 2 21 31	42 10 53 15	22 0	61
pur .		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	11 25 5 14	36 11 17 1	135 20 164 35	427 15 519 6	58 ₹ 0 856 1	1,331 0 2,473 0					23 19	66 344
		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	 0 14	 I 2	1,039-16 651-31	3,235 3 2,013 11	461 35 719 35	1,135 2 1,7 6 13					8 25 23 14	25 : 69 134 :
i .	- 1	190 '-1901	9 30 2 17	29 14	396 25 405 33	1.236 6 1,264 7 562 1	1,23 7 5 883 7	2,882 0 2,0 8 7	6 15	11 2		}	6 5 45 11	17 121
i .		Average of last 4 years		4 19	1011 2011	431.75	642 26	1,507 13	0 33 1	1 7	0 31	1 12	106 8	300
1	h ur dpur	ibad in ih iur iur ilpur iuipur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	Average of last 4 years 13 20 Average of last 4 years 11 3 Average of last 4 years 11 3 Average of last 4 years 11 5 Average of last 4 years 25 Average of last 4 years 26 Average of last 4 years 27 Average of last 4 years 28 Average of last 4 years 36 Average of last 4 years 31 Average of last 4 years 32 Averag	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years	Average of last 4 years

							RABI.											
L	IPT.		IDED BY IVI,	BAT	LABI.	Во	81.		AIDED	0 8 7	Wulls.		ATDED		LLL RENTS.	To	OTAL.	Bantara,
rea.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess-	Area.	Assess- ment.	Aren	Vesese- ment.	Area	Afress. meat.	Area.	Acsec so	Area.	Assessment.	
. g.	Re. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Α. ψ.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	. Rs. a.	A. g	Rs. a.	A. g.	Ru. s.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.
				2 7 0 22	5 0 1 4	73 30 73 29 92 8	200 13 201 10 243 5			1 22	4 5				 	1,174 11 1,124 2 1,075 28	3,126 0 2,81 9 2,791 15	2 22 2 22 2 33
		··· ·	···		} ::	24 10 11 8	61 12 29 15 8 0	.							*** ***	324 21 317 23 250 22	74t 11 7'0 1 5-9 1	
						151 25 162 39	403 2 433 9	1.1	•••	·						#27 25 #7	1 546 7 1 0 6	
		111				98 33 75 30 54 6	263 1 202 1 146 5									423 34 4∋9 ∪	1,18; 5 1,:82 12	
•••		••				63 9	163 6 									369 27	9.0 B	
		**.				 522 15	 1,361 6				.,,					2,8 6 3 0 2, 5 1 9	8 5 14 2 7 89 3 8	
•••		***		119 8	3 2 1! 48 2	362 34 314 0 (4) 29	918 15 821 7					***			•••	2,015 21 1 767 6	5 o to 15 4,-65 12	
	:	•••			ii.	240 21 307 16 158 20	616 15 770 7					20.0				1,518 17 1,7 7 5 10 1,510 0	4,627 0	2 35
•••				 		157 34	431 2 415 10									1,341 52 1,133 29 1 295 18	4,0%6 10 3,533 14 3,916 7	2 35
				49 55	145	297 3 231 11 170 20	793 6 613 3 583 2				-74	::. :				1 2 ±3 79 1,149 7	3 9 4 12 3,396 14	
						2.96 20 153 1.9 189 7	76 * 2 407 0 485 2				3	:::			•••	913 25 9 1 8 683 9		
						133 0 10-39 -8 to	417 14 281 8 119 6		(m)							619 80 859 17 921 12	1.6 J 13 2 : 2 0 1, 63 1	
•••		89 0 196 1 227 16	6:5 4	8 12 171 6 16 1	13 13 492 13 4+ 2	21,6 4 22 16,116 30 1 14,639 16	42763 2	21	20 14	3 25	to 1	2 10		11 21 31 33	111 6 57 1	6,9°6 : 7 '8, 10 + 12,553 23	2,45,954 0 2,71,337 12 1,19,383 12	22 18 20 20 9 13
				10					4	数								
						90 15 179 23 17 20	322 1 443 3 117 10							25 16 1 21	71 0 3 12	681 20 917 0 967 88	1.705 10 2 280 13 2,362 11	
		1D	3 8	0.1	0	290 35 261 7 183 20	689 4 613 5 447 14									771 30 791 13 826 19	2 009 3 2 (2) 15 2 067 2	
		***			:::	3 % 5 214 55 24) 3)	826 9 563 3 581 3									1,512 14 1,448 72 1,124 14	4.073 1 8,890 5 2,855 3	
						429 30 ;21 20	991 4 513 1									1,567 6 1 2 7 0 1,059 18	4,683 11 3,350 12 2,670 10	
						925 15 604 21	2.59 4 2.149 1 1.609 5									9 793 10 2,409 5	6,067 15 6,259 14	2 15 1 8
			 	T.	1	477 31 6:0 25 428 4	1,104 5 1,389 4 589 13			 4	 II -	 25	en En 1	ra Harr	4-34 -	2,202 13 1,073 08 1,372 0	5,748 3 3,335 0 3,728 9	
			::1			46 10 330 31	105-14 765-10	У	a	Ü			J	LЦ		1 061 27 613 34 436 - t	1,42: 4 1,40: U	
						153 4 86 15 548 25	365 (2 199 12 1,320 2									143 11 1.0°3 23	1,027 8 2,195 15	
		5 39	17 15			316 25 153 39	7:6 1 368 g		***							8 :0 - 3 208 31 £0 i 15	2,051 13 1,731 0 1,108 4	
•••		6 19	17 12			2:10:20 14:18 49:21	602 3 388 9 115 4				 		 			472 18 4)8 26	1,162 2 1,045 7	
 						156 0 125 14 140 33	366 11 292 2 326 3	 							1.11 1.11	751 25 68 - 1 : 614 - 1	1,600 0 1,429 6	
						83 ±0 77 ±9 50 3	193 13 180 1 117 8			•••				 		9 2 33 8 6 7 675 26	2.196 15 2.0 3 9 1,444 1	
		***				526 20 273 : 2 126 10	1 226 11 637 13 2.07 12									1 231 25 1,353 14 1,428 6	2,082 5 8,319 4 3,47 9 12	
•••						498 20 381 33 312 23	1,32 - 10 939 11 777 8									$2.07 \cdot 16$ $1.580 \cdot 7$ $1.240 \cdot 12$	5,624 13 4,798 1 3,988 3	
	.	***				231 95 291 1	659 to 644 2						:::			1 938 35 1,627 37 1,271 23	4,936 4 4,142 10 3,179 3	
•••		***		••• (55 0 61 7	798 7 127 3 111 10									688 31 572 9 445 12	1,768 5 1,499 7 1,130 14	0 &
••						23 17	55 4)			·F#:) 14	, .,	-

1	!	į	GARDE	va.ko				KHARI	۴.			{		
	Name of deh,	Year,	(Janua)	10, 40.	F'LOW	BIOU.	Отив	R FLOW,	L	FT,	LIFT AIT		FLO	∪ ₩ ,
			Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Arca,	Asse ment
227	d group—contd.		Α, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.,	Rs. a.	A, g,	Rs. s.	A · g.	Re.
Le	Odha	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2 5 2 20 2 19	6 9 7 11 7 9	302 7 179 32 6 3 13	924 0 550 7 194 5	402 8 004 14 585 21	931 3 1,397 15 1,353 12	0 25	1 2		***	6 50 61 19	16 172
Dit	al Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	.,.		48 36 36 21	149 12 112 1	302 5 464 28 692 25	906 10 1,074 9 1,601 13	19 9 80 4	23 10 52 10	 iä 9	36 7	10 16	29
	Total or 2nd	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	153 : 0 139 12 66 10	477 4 431 10 204 11	1,836 5 4,241 15 1,985 16	14,4×5 9 13,107 6 6,118 7	8,778 : 0 9,536 18 10,789 18	20,354 15 22,355 1 25,331 15	17 17 84 38 124 0	30 8 157 0 229 13	34 22 62 13 76 20		206 20 196 1 762 12	694 562 1,593
	3rd group,													
Ph	atan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	13 35 9 39 22 8	40 6 29 8 60 4			740 25 769 39 602 39	1,615 14 1,688 8 1,932 9	111 5 83 1 301 2	194 13 145 8 518 11	23 17 	523	56 15 45 38 15- 36	
Ba	karpur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	27 15 28 20 22 14	79 10 82 15 65 12	111 10 158 29 90 0	333 12 471 5 270 0	506 16 432 22 458 35	1,097 4 910 14 1,002 14	5 7	8 13	 4 34	10 7	92 35 43 34 91 12	249 119 244
Wu	, badamairi	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	12 15 3 4 	35 15 9 0	 		67 10 114 16 11 16	145 4 216 15 24 10	39 30 76 1 265 27	61 12 123 11 432 5	140 5 121 30 113 14		107 20 71 11 58 10	285 1 189 154 1
Un	nranipur	10:3-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	54 25 38 26 10 6	155 13 112 7 28 7	3 31	8 1	437 35 359 35 20 24	951 2 785 10 44 7	297 10 307 23 757 35	484 9 663 8 1,268 6	202 20 106 4 25 18	448 9 231 14 55 10	89 10 92 30 151 32	241 251 410
На	mbi ,	10:3-1901 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	9 20	 ï 6			121 33 200 34 188 10	254 13 419 14 393 4	48 27 7 4	84 0 11 0	 13 34 21 26	30 11 48 13	2 4	5
Mi	lkint-i-Sarkur ,	1003-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	,,,,				53 15 20 0 12 20	110 2 61 15 26 0		# 4+				
M	nhammadpur		16 35 25 18 3 32	47 8 71 5 10 12	145 20 315 24 186 15	409 0 887 5 524 1	1,048 1 717 24 759 39	2,175 12 1,484 15 1,571 6	126 14 111 33 81 19	189 8 167 11 131 12	 5 2 1 9	10 2 2 7	3 29 16 5	9 41
s Sh	ahid, ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	***				366 32 144 18 251 3	757 6 298 4 312 0		111] ::
K	да Wан	1003-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			4 24	12 15	163 4 226 4 226 31	336 8 466 13 468 1	25 26 25 11	38 8 37 15				
Ha	esto	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 1 years			***		32 36	67 15	77 Il	115 15	 8 3	16 2		
(1)	lo Alipur pisforested during 903-04.)	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		*:*	सुख	14		:::	:::	···				
1	salabad (Newly formed during 1903-04.)	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		***	***		147 31	233 0		•••				
To	гал о в З во Сво џр	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	125 5 1 5 27 59 0	362 4 305 3 172 9	256 30 477 4 210 39	7:12 12 1,366 11 807 0	3,653 1 2,995 32 2,765 13	7,777 1 6,393 11	742 31	933 10 1,222 14 2,524 13	342 25 270 7 174 31	746 9 583 12 374 7	346 0 262 16 470 15	707
T	LIND TOTAL OF HE WHOLK ALUKA.	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	1,124 I 1,055 Is	3,097 5 3,480 1	27,271 29	1,03,384 5 9.5378 13	47,683 8 45,888 7	1,19,503 7 1,17,745 3 1,32,971 14	2,360 18 2,943 34	4,823 10 5,958 8	1,055 0 1,059 22 1,097 7	2,723 6	1,473 35	4,412

				,							I.	RAB							
Remares		TAL.	Ton	ILL RENTS,			LIRT A	. LLS.	OE W	ATOEN)sI,	Во	LABI.	SAU	adu SY BY,		IPT.	1
		Авзевнтері.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area,	Assess- men.	Area.	Aceeso. Time.	Area.	Assest-	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area,	Assess- ment.	Алев.	Apansa-	Arra	As .	Area.
		Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	g.	en jar	**	Rs	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	∴. g.	Ne. a.	£. 5.	Re. 13.	A. g.
		2,344 12 2,517 13 2,385 14	915 0 1,028 13 997 12		 		•••			***	···	482 0 545 9 656 4	208 20 235 37 283 35		•••				
_		1,512 5 1,635 13 1,965 8	654 5 696 11 843 24							 		605 11 377 14 183 5	262 0 163 18 57 26		 				
2 15 Huris. 1 8 ,,	A. 2 1 0	50,169 14 46,832 7 40,117 13	19,813 3 18,547 15 16,361 31	71 0 3 12	28 16 1 21		:::		***			13,845 11 10,002 2 6,442 11	5,905 39 4,260 34 2,750 11	 0 4	0 4	21 k	7 84 5 8 °		
		4,298 8 3,520 4 3,431 4	1,953 10 1,598 28 1,579 27	133	5 iii			***				2,292 5 1,459 3 -449 12	1,031 10 658 3 200 22	 			3		***
		3,246 2 2,852 2 2,129 4	1,424 30 1,234 21 915 7	, ,	::: :::					:::		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	686 35 570 36 242 25			•••		•••	
		1,936 4 1,411 0 1,690 8	875 35 655 27 558 31				:::					1,103 7 582 15 237 11	508 35 269 5 110 4		***		 		•••
		4,042 3 3,089 14 2,209 10	1,873 30 1,468 17 1,149 8	, 								1,757 10 1,037 2 402 8	802 (0 470 39 183 3		148 223 541		 		
		309 7 580 14 458 2	$\begin{array}{c} 148 \ 13 \\ 285 \ 14 \\ 219 \ 11 \end{array}$:::							54 10 4) 15 3 11	26 20 19 35 1 31	···	*** ***	 	 		
		110 2 71 12 43 5	53 15 34 30 23 10									9 13 22 6	4 30 10 30		. ,		•••		
4 15	3	3,308 4 2,812 11 2,518 4	1,572 15 1,281 34 1,178 2					2.			:: :::	486 8 211 13 266 8	235 25 102 24 129 3						
		757 6 306 11 354 14	366 32 148 22 171 34				:: #				***	8 7 42 14	 4 4 20 31			***	 		
		336 8 514 2 573 4	163 4 256 1 282 39	 							***	8 13 54 5	4 11 26 13		***		.,.	4-4	
		13 g 200 0	6 23 118 10									13 9	6 23		***				
		691 2 	306 30									691 2	300 30				***		***
		333 0	147 31 					***											***
4 15 3 11	3	19,368 14 15,202 15 13,043 7	8,886 5 6,970 17 6,196 19	13 3	5 11							7,875 1 4,610 9	3,598 5 2,111 9 925 2						
29 8 ,,	29 26	3,15,492 12 2,83,433 2 2,52,515 0	1,15,606 5 1,03,928 26 95,111 32	195 8 90 13	78 8 36 14		1	101	3 25	26 11	8 21	77,944 3 57,365 13	31,16% 23 22,78% 23 18,37% 29	18 13 492 13	8 12 171 6 16 5	284 15 648 8 743 15	89 0 293 29 233 15		

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX XIV-B-I.

STATEMENT showing DUBARI CULTIVATED LAND, excluding JAGIR and FOREST LAND, in each village of taluka Jacobabad, which has taken other water, under each kind of irrigation, during 1903-1904 and in two quadrennial periods of the existing settlement with the assessment thereon.

Ī							R	ABI.								
ο,	Villages,	Year.	GAI	IDEN.	Bo	S1,	SAII	ABI,	LIVT A		FLO	N.	FLOW BY W	AIDED ELLS.	Тота	L.
	_		Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess. n.ent.	Area.	ARBBES-	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Атев.	Arsess- ment.	Area.	Asfers- ment,
	1st group,		A, g,	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g	Rs a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
1	Abdulah Drakhan	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			*** ***		***	::: :::		:::	23 16	 6 3		 	 23 16	6 3
2	Alipur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	::: :::	72		C				iii	26 20	6 14	 		26 20	6 1
8	Wah Ali Haidar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years	 		700						2 22	0 ii		::: :::	 2 23	0 1
4	Garhi Chand	Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years				:::/	 	iii		:::	1 6	0 b		:::	 16	0
5	Wasao	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		+ e1 + + +	 					 	23	 0 9	2 12	08	2 12 2 3	0
e	Knureja	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years						:::	3 15 0 24	0 14 0 2	:::				3 15 0 24	0 1
7	Garhi Mahrab	Average of last 5 years proceding 4 years				# E					0 3c	o"3			0 30	0
8	TOTAL 1ST GROUP	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	:::		111				3 15 0 21	0 14 0 2	56 17	14 13	2 12	08	5 27 57 1	14 1
	3rd group.)							
ย	Phatan Wah	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			:::			:::-			3 18	0 15	 		3 18	0'1
10	Bakapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	ä		ä	=	Ë.	.::	::: Ci 1		 87 19	22 12	<u></u>		 87 Ï9	22 1
11	Umranipur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	-	Y.	Q.	بيا		-:-	32		3 19	10	<u> </u>	:::	3 19	1"
	TOTAL 3nd GROUP	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years									94 16	24 11			94 16	24
12	GBAND TOTAL	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							3 15 0 24	0 14 0 2	150 33	80 8	2 12	08	5 27 151 17	39

C. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX XIV-B-II.

STATEMENT showing DUBARI CULTIVATED LAND, excluding JAGIR and FOREST LAND, in each village of taluka Jacobabad, which has not taken other water, under each kind of irrigation during 1903-04 and also in two quadrennial period sof the existing settlement, with the assessment thereon.

									RABI.							······································
Serial No.	Villages	V	GAR	DEN.		AIDED	SAI	LABI.	Во	ont.		AIDED VELLS.		ILL ENTS.	Ton	[A l.,
Renia	Villages.	Year.	Area.	Asressment.	Area.	Assestment.	Area.	Assersment.	Area,	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assersment,	Arca.	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment,
	lst group.		А. g.	Rs. a.	Λ, g.	Rs. a.	A. g	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	Rs. a.	А. д	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
1	Abdulah Drakhan	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years						***	1,488 18 1,318 3 844 3	377 5 331 7 217 3	1 33	0 15		***	1,483 18 1,319 36 844 3	377 6 332 6 217 3
2	Kaisarabad ,	Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years				:::			255 5 139 32 36 26	65 1 35 8 9 2	0 ïs	02		:::	255 5 140 11 36 26	65 1 35 10 9 2
8	Alipur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							\$03 0 387 12 217 38	130 10 98 13 58 6	1 18	1'''1			503 0 388 30 217 38	130 10 99 14 58 6
4	Ahmadpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4 10				,		1,275 15 1,241 14 813 29	322 7 312 12 219 11		 		:::	1,275 15 1,245 34 843 29	323 7 312 12 219 11
Б	Dilawarpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	44 2	0 6				***	414 20 355 36 257 21	92 12 93 15 65 15	1 28	0 7	i::		414 20 401 26 287 21	02 12 84 12 65 15
6	Dasti	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		2	焦				75 15 62 23 8 26	19 2 15 15 2 5	***				75 15 62 23 8 26	19 2 15 15 2 5
7	Sbahpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years pucceding 4 years	 :::				111		267 30 194 11	67 12 49 1		,			267 30 194 11 100 21	67 12 49 1 25 6
8	Gokalpn r	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		:::					340 20 238 34	25 6 85 15 60 4					340 20 238 34	85 15 60 4
8	Aurang aba 1	1:03-19:4 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		:::				7	129 39 11 0 10 4	32 13 2 12 2 9					129 39 11 0 10 4	32 13 2 13 2 9 3 15
10	Pir Bakhsh	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years					9 1		15 0 1,489 22 1,283 32	3 15 394 4 327 11					15 0 1,489 22 1,-83 32	394 1 327 11 194 d
11	Jahanpur ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years				स्यामे	a		776 18 1,727 28 1,507 9	194 0 430 10 384 9		 			776 18 1,727 28 1,537 9	430 10 384 9
12	Sheranpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years preceding 4 years							1,106 1 1,875 13 1,791 19	27s 9 475 4 442 15		 	 		1,106 1 1,875 13 1,794 19	278 9 475 4 442 15 261 12
13	Daro Jiand	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							1,000 23	261 12 0 10					1,030 23 2 15	"i 10
14	Kur Khairo Gachal.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	 :::			 	4		 7 5	e di sa					 	
15	Kotri	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		검	y				195 30 175 19	1 13 49 6 44 12	 	4	2		7 5 195 30 175 19	1 13 49 6 44 12
16	Kur Rato	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding kyears,							118 30 50 35 59 23	30 12 14 2 15 6 9 1		:::		:::	118 30 50 35 59 23	30 12 14 2 15 6
17	Dodapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years					···		35 19 82 25 20 26	20 13 5 3					35 19 82 25 20 26	9 1 20 13 5 3
18	Kur Biro	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	:::	:::										:::	:::	***
19	Kohiri	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years		:::					1,664 13 1,473 4 958 19	415 8 368 11 250 2					1,664 13 1,473 4	415 8 368 11
20	Tajo Dero	., preceding t years 1903-1001 Average of last t years , preceding t years		::: }	:::				1,330 9 1,013 24 532 34	331 9 267 12 128 9					958 19 1,330 9 1,043 24 532 34	250 2 331 9 267 12
21	Alaupur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							531 15 479 33 241 29	133 1 120 3 61 1					531 15 479 33 241 29	128 9 133 1 120 3 61 1
22	Wah Ali Haidar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years		:::					1,486 18 920 25 862 35	371 11 294 6 228 15			:::		1,486 18 920 25	371 11 294 6 228 15
23	Ismatabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years							132 10 33 23 21 15	33 7 8 8 5 4					192 10 33 23 21 15	33 7 8 8
1		proce ing a years	•••	··· l	""]	•••		21 10						21 10	5 4

1			1					-,	RABI.							
			GAR	DEN.	LIFT A		SAIL	ABY,	Во	sī.		AIDED	JI1 TORR	LL ENTS.	Тот	L,
SETTER TANK	Villages.	Year.	Area:	Assessment.	Area,	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assersment.	Area.	Assess- ment.
	1st group—contd.		A. g	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Re. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A . g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	А, д.	Rs. a.
4	Fatihpur .	. 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years		***			 	 	0 12 4 22 102 8	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 27 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}$		 	 		0 12 4 21 102 8	0 1 1 2 27 \$
5	Kaureja .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		03	:::				462 39 228 20 20 15	114 6 57 1 6 8	0 16	o2	 ::::	 	462 39 231 16 20 15	114 6 57 6
6	Nawra	1903 1904						 11-	141 15 35 14 27 24	35 14 9 6 7 3	 		 	 	141 15 35 14 27 24	35 16 9 6 7 3
7	Rahimabad .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							$\begin{array}{c c} 11 & 15 \\ 2 & 34 \\ \dots \end{array}$	2 14 0 12			 	 	11 15 2 34 	14 12
3	Dhad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							71 30 27 13 	18 2 6 15 	•		 	 	71 50 27 13	18 : 6 1
,	Pir Padhro .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.	:::		***	::: :::	:::		6 10 1 23 18 31	1 9 0 6 4 15	 	 	 :		6 10 1 23 18 31	1 0 4 1
	Lal Wah .	Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years.							1,033 9 875 0 331 30	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 260 & 5 \\ 221 & 1 \\ 86 & 6 \end{array} $			***	 	1,033 9 575 0 331 30	260 221 86
	Garhi Chaud .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	. ,	:::	:::				584 3 397 39 62 33	148 9 100 13 17 7		 :::		 	584 3 397 39 62 33	148 100 1 17
,	Mehar Shah .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.		i ii	120				10 5 6 15	2 9 1 10				 	10 5 6 15 	1 1
	Bachalpur .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.		:::					20 20 36 8	22 15 9 3			 	:.: :::	90 20 86 8	22 0
	Abad	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							470 20 245 33 21 6	119 1 62 6 5 9	07				470 20 246 33 21 6	119 62 5
	Garhi Mehrah .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	0 26			:::			632 15 421 33 22 39	160 10 106 7 6 8	:::				632 35 422 19 22 59	160 106 6
	Allahabad .	Average of last 4 years				ţm)	ä		19 15 14 35 69 0	4 14 3 12 17 7			.,.		19 15 14 35 69 0	4 3 17
	Jafarabad .	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			***			:::	704 10 651 1 334 15	178 1 163 8 90 11					704 10 651 1 334 15	178 163 90
	Sawan Lashari .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years							1,379 25 1,162 30 766 32	348 1 293 6 124 13					1,379 25 1,162 30 766 32	348 293 194
)	Wasao	preceding 4 years. 19.3-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							300 15 359 29 178 37	75 0 8) 15 47 9					300 15 359 23 178 37	75 89 4 7
)	Rasulah a d	1903-1904							36+ 30 192 0 159 25	94 0 49 11 42 5					368 50 192 0 159 5	94 40 42
l	Ga hi Khairo	preceding 4 years. 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.	1 33		0 32	ä			130 25 146 19 58 18	31 11 35 14 15 0			e		130 25 149 4 58 18	31 35 15
2	Mulah Rato	1903-1904		:::	-/ :::				147 25 50 30 110 31	37 3 13 0 28 0					147 25 50 30 110 31	37 13 28
3	Thariri Bhaleno	manufic a d Troppe							132 0 44 1	33 4 11 2					192 0	33 11
4	Khair Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years							258 1 199 38 213 27	65 3 50 9 54 7					258 1 199 38 213 27	65 50 54
5	Bhalenabad	1903-1904	7 28						123 10 45 10 12 23	27 5 9 8 3 1					123 10 52 38 12 23	27 9 3
6	Mauladad	1903-1904							76 0 34 30 	19 2 6 12 		:::	:::		76 0 34 30 	19 8
7	Ramzanpur	1903-1904							4 5 1 1	0 4					4 5 1 1	0
	Malhuabad			***					296 10 174 3 30 14	74 15 44 0 7 11					296 10 174 3 30 14	74 44 7
9	Kadirpur	1903-1904							128 10 146 15 9 38	32 6 38 2 2 8					128 10 146 15 9 38	32 38 2
_	<u></u>	P Producting & Jense									<u> </u>			1 "		

								R	ABI.						Too	fa L.
			GAR	DEN.	LIFT W		SAII	ABI.	Во	81.	Bosi By W	AIDED ELLS.	H TORE	ILL RNTS.	107	ra L.
	Villages.	Year.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area,	Assess ment.	Area,	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment.
	lst group—conid.		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs a.	A. g.	Re. 2.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Λ, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
,	Khalulabad	1903-1904							431 29 268 16 27 0	110 10 68 2 _6 14				•••	431 29 268 16 27 0	110 10 68 2 6 14
	Sumapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.						.	391 25 267 14 97 10	99 11 67 12 24 13			•••		891 25 267 14 97 10	69 11 67 12 24 13
3	Badhal Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceiling 4 years							30 25 8 16 2 25	7 13 2 2 0 11					30 25 8 16 2 25	7 13 2 2 0 11
	Jacobabad		29 - 5 10 - 28						269 31 164 30 112 23	56 13 28 14 21 1	0 10 	o1	***		269 31 194 5 123 21	56 13 38 15 21 1
	Lal Lodro	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years					***	***	89 36 49 9 6 1	$\begin{array}{cccc} 22 & 12 \\ 13 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 \end{array}$					89 36 49 37 6 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Mahrabpur	1903-1904 Average of last 1 years proceding 4 years.					:::		155 10 143 14 3 8	39 4 35 14 0 13			,		155 10 143 14 3 6	39 4 35 14 0 13
•	Akilpur		0.31						121 10 1(4 21 25 0	30 2 26 5 5 12					121 10 105 15 25 24	30 2 26 5 5 12
	Cautonment .	1903-1964 Average of last 1 years preceding 1 years							***							
	Duniapur	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years		:::	/ii.				1,6:0 5 1,298 36 623 13	413 0 328 10 158 0					1,620 5 1,298 35 623 13	413 6 328 16 158 6
	Amirabat	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years		111					376 20 4 7 1 580 6	93 13 122 14 121 14	:::				370 20 487 1 580 6	93 1: 122 1: 122 1:
,	Jamalabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 ye rs						0	728 2) 70(25 407 11	181 10 176 5 104 10					728 20 701 25 407 11	181 10 176 104 1
1	Niz amabad	Average of last 4 years	:::				1.4		669 15 604 23 443 34	167 6 2 2 9 113 13					6-9-15 +04-25 443-34	167 203 113 1
2	Khudabad	1983-1904 Average of last 4 years		:::	***	77/6	113		208 10 192 59 145 36	52 12 48 15 37 6					208 10 192 30 145 36	52 1 48 1 37
,	Son Wah	preceding 4 years. 1003-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.					2		48 0 19 31	12 3 5 0	***		***		48 0 19 31	12 5
<u>.</u>	TOTAL 1ST GROUP	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years, proceding 4 years		09	0 32				27,925 11 22,789 30 14,202 12	7,029 13 5,796 6 3,348 13	6 11	2 12			27,925 11 22,589 21 13,213 14	7,029 1 5,799 1 3,348 1
	2nd group.								[
5 ! 	Burj Salimi	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			26 35 25 36 24 3	6 12 6 8 6 7			2 8	0 10	25 35 28 4 24 3	6 1: 7 6
;	Bhajhani	Average of last 4 years n preceding 4 years.		โล	T,	rä	#	:::	324 10 278 29 213 30	81 8 70 1 53 15		1:1	ق		324 10 278 29 213 10	81 70 53 1
7	Cahajra	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.			J				746 33 673 21 237 12	180 10 170 10 75 7		4.1			746 38 673 21 297 12	189 1 170 1 75
3	Kimatabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.			 				403 31 2 8 26 63 38	104 9 51 5 16 0					403 31 208 "6 63 3s	104 53 16
a	Khanpur	1903-19 4 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	0 31						766 20 793 29 502 4	193 1 200 7 125 13					766 20 794 20 502 4	193 200 125 13
0	Gul Wah	1902-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			:::				149 33 129 7 50 9	37 12 32 9 12 10					149 33 129 7 50 9	37 1: 32 : 12 1:
, 	Detha	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years							35 10 8 33 0 34	8 15 2 4 0 4					35 10 8 33 0 34	8 18 2 0
2	Attui	1903-1:04 Average of last 4 years							4 10 3 29	1 1 1 10					 4 10 4 35	 I 1 je
3	Ghouspur	1992-1904 Average of last 4 years							1 1	0 4					i 1	
. !	Shahdadpur	1903-19)4 Average of last 4 years	7 15 1 34							***					7 15 1 34	
5	Mundranipur								199 20 56 31	50 7 14 6					199 20 56 31	50 14 1 1

									RABI,]	
I No.			GAE	DEN.	LIFT BY W	AIDED Ells.	SATI	ABI.	Вог	ar.		AIDED ELLS,	H	ill Ents,	Tor	AL.
Berial No.	Villages.	Year.	Area,	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Атеа.	Assessment.	Arca.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area,	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment.
	2nd group—contă.		A, g.	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	1	Λ. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
76	Sultanpur	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							375 25 281 37 169 0	96 6 71 11 42 15					875 25 28 37 169 0	96 6 71 11 42 15
77	Thariri	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years				:::			1,115 11 782 35 135 37	281 11 197 11 34 8				:::	1,115 11 7e2 35 135 37	281 11 197 11 34 8
7 8	Miranpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years							561 30 471 6 218 10	145 6 120 2 56 2		:::			561 30 471 6	145 6 120 2 56 2
79	Reti	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years						,	289 25 2:2 2	73 14 56 2 26 13					218 10 289 25 222 2	78 14 56 2 26 13
80	Lai Odho	1000 1004					:::		105 6 249 25 174 31 106 2	63 9 43 13 27 1	:::				105 6 249 25 17 1 31 106 2	62 9 43 13 27 1
81	Dital Walı	2000 2014		:::					16 28 17 0	4 3 4 5		***			16 28 17 0	4. 3 4. 5
82	TOTAL 2ND GROUP	Total 1908-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	7 15 2 25 1 6						5,244 33 4,130 2 1,914 17	1,332 8 1,045 1 485 11			28	0 10	5,252 8 4,134 35 1,915 23	1,352 8 1,045 11 485 11
	3rd group.			111	1/	16/20	TO LO									
83	Phatan Wah	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		-:::					742 0 76 14 81 15	38 0 19 10 21 0					142 0 76 14 81 15	38 0 19 10 21 0
84	Bakapur	1903-1904		::	:::				418 20 396 33 194 23	10 t 8 99 9 49 11		*			418 20 396 33	104 8 99 9
85	Wariamahad	1803-1804		:::			***		49 0 40 19 5 25	15 3 10 13 1 7					194 22 49 0 40 19	49 11 15 3 10 13
\$ 0	Umranipur	1 03-1904 Average of last 4 years				4118			191 0 97 38	49 3 24 11 2 0					5 25 191 0 97 58	1 7 48 3. 24 11
87	Hambi	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			:::				5 14 43 25 10 36	12 0 3 0					5 14 43 25 10 36	2 0 12 0 3 0
88	Milkiat-i-Sarkar	1903-19 04	.,,		***				9 10 2 30	2 6 0 11					9 10 2 30	2 8. 0 11
89	Muhammadpur	1903-1901 Average of last 4 years	2 9	07					 115 15 220 13 180 20	26 9 54 13 47 9					115 15 222 22 181 4	26 94 55 4 47 9
90	Shahid	1903-1901		,												***
91	Khan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		9	\. \.	9			25 1 6 10 49 29	 6 4 1 9 12 9					25 1 6 10 49 29	 6 4 1 9 12 9
92	Hazaro ,	1903-1904		:::	Į.		:::		:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	 	:::	::	:::	,	***	
93	Belo Alipur (Disforeste d during 1903-1904.)	1903-1904							 						***	
94	Risalabad (Newly formed out during 1903-1904)	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			 		 		 	*••• ••• •••						***
	TOTAL OF 3RD GROUP.	Total 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2 9	07	:::			 	993 31 851 33 517 5	253 1 214 12 134 4					993 31 854 2 517 29	253 1 215 3 134 4
95	GRAND TOTAL OF THE WHOLK TALUKA.	Total 1903-1904	7 15 97 13 13 2	01	0 32	:::		:::	34,163 35 27,771 34 15,633 34	8,615 6 7,056 2 3, 988 12	6 11	2 12	28	0 10	34,171 10 27,878 18 15,646 36	8,615 6 7,060 % 3,968 12

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier.

47
APPENDIX XV.

STATEMENT showing DEMANDS and REALISATIONS in the Jacobabad taluka for the years 1896-97 to 1903-1904.

Year.	Gross as mand.	Remissions.	Revenue for collection.	Arrears.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1896-97	2,34,686	6,168	2,28,518	4,157
1007.00	2,64,897	16,776	2,48,121	5,018
1000 00	2,54,017	3,762	2,50,255	1,857
1000 1000	2,72,504	4,360	2,68,144	1,062
1000 01	3,04,947	2,592	3,02,355	8,265
1001.09	2,60,759	13,102	2,47,657	5,115
1902-03	2,73,203	25,594	2,47,609	6,259
1903-04	3,24,113	168	3,23,945	52,952
TOTAL.	21,89,126	72,522	21,16,604	84,685
Average .	2,73,641	9,065	2, <mark>64,57</mark> 6	10,586

C. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX

JACOBABAD

STATEMENT showing the RESULTS of the proposed rates, as compared with the existing rates, in

	-			{									KII	ARIF.										—_ i
				,	Gardei	ī8.	Ri	FLOW,		Отнва	CROPS FLOW.	UNDER		Lift	г.		TAIDE FLOW.	рву		FLOW.			FT AID	
No.	Name of	village.	•	Area,	Rate.	Assessment.	Area,	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment,	Атев.	Rate,	Assessment.	Area.	Bate.	Assesment
	Group I-	4.		A.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Δ.	Rs. u.	Rs.	۸.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Λ.	Rs. a.	Rs.		Rs, a,		A.	Rs. a.	Rs.	A.	Rs. s.	Rs.
1	Jacobabad	} not	Existing tlement, Proposed tlement,	98 98	3 8	280	87 87	3 8 4 8	305 392	132 132	2 12	363 3 63	567 567	24	1,276	139	2 12	362 313	22 22	3 4	72 72		3 4	
2	Mahrabpur	{	Do	1 0	3 8	7 6	127 127	3 8 4 8	415 572	208 208	2 12 2 12	572 573		2 4 2 4		26 36	2 13 2 4	81 89	38 38	3 4 3 4	124 124		3 4 3 4	::: :::
3	Akilpur	{	Do		3 8	7	19 19	3 8 4 8	67 86	219 219	2 12 2 12	603 602	29 29	2 4 2 4	65 65	91 91	2 12 2 4	250 205	25 25	3 4 3 4	81 81		3 4 3 4	
4	Ahmadpur	{	Do	4/1		161 131	793 793	3 R 4 8	2,773 3,5 6 9	607 617	2 12 2 12	1,669 1,669	25 25	2 4 2 4	56 56	12 12	2 12	33 27	13 13	3 4	42 42		3 4	
5	Abdulah Drakh	an. {	Do Do			81	817 827	3 8	2,995 3,722	725 725	2 12 2 12	1,994 1,994		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4			3 4			3 4 3 4	:::
6	Alipur	{	Do	1 .		154 124	180 100	3 H 4 8	63°) 810	454 454	2 12 2 12	1,249 1,249	24 24	2 4 2 4	54 54	i iii	2 13 2 4			3 4 3 4			3 4 3 4	
7	Abad	{	Do	l n		25 19	185 185	3 8	648 833	385 385	2 12	1.059 1,059	50 50	2 4 2 4	113	49 49	2 12 2 4	135 110	***	3 4 3 4			3 4	:::
8	Garhi Chand	{	Do	1 4		14 11	418 418	3 8 4 8	1,463 1,881	519 519	2 12 2 12	1,510 1,510	***	2 4		4 4	2 12 2 4	11 9	4	3 4 3 4	13 13		3 4 3 4	:::
9	Garhi Mahrab	{	Do. Do.			7 6	804 804	3 8 4 8	2,814 3,618	210 210	2 12 2 12	578 578		2 4			2 19 2 4		***	3 4 3 4	•••		3 4	
10	Koureja	{	Do			18 14	208 206	3 8 4 8	721 937	472 472	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,298 1,298	:::	2 4 2 4		8	2 12 2 4	22 18		3 4			3 4 3 4	
11	Sheranpur	{	Do. ;	1 0		11 8	1,718 1,718	3 8 4 8	6,013 7,731	283 283	2 12 2 12	778 778		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		2 3	3 4	7 7		3 4	
12	Pir Baksh	{	Do.	1	3 8	12.	1,371 1,371	3 8 4 8	4,799 6,170	337 337	2 12 2 12	927 927		2 4 2 4		***	2 12 2 4			3 4			3 4	
13	Jahanpur	{	Do			39 30	1,568 1,568	3 8 4 8	5,489 7,056	342 342	2 12 2 12	941 941		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		3	3 4	10		3 4 3 4	
14	Alanpur	{	Do			21 17	519 519	3 8 4 8	1,817 2,336	734 734	2 12 2 12	2,019 2,019		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4	 	48 48	3 4	156 156	:::	3 4 3 4	
lñ	Wah Ali Haida	ır {			3 8		1,916 1,216	3 8 4 8	4,258 5,472	306 306	2 12 2 13	842 842		2 1			2 12 2 4		43 43	3 4	140		3 4	
16	Kohiri	{	Do. Do.		3 8		1,647 1,647	3 8 4 8	5,765 7,412	55 55	2 12 2 12	151 151		2 4 2 4		•••	2 12 2 4	, 		3 4			3 4	
17	Lal Wah	{	Do	:		18	978 978	3 8 4 8	3,423 4,:01	933 933	2 12 2 12	2,468 2, 66	3 3	2 4 2 4	7 7	17	2 12 2 4	47 38	93 93	3 4	302 302		3 4 3 4	
	TOTAL GROUP	[-∧ {se	Existing (tlemen), Proposed	250		909 729	12,663		44,325 56,988	6,951 6,951		19,118	698 69 8		1,571 1,571	356 356		979 801	291 291		947			
		(se	ttlement.	25	`\ \-\-		12,663	II.	011,036	7-73	+				1,,,,,,									
		Group 1	-B. Existing	150	3 8	525	1	3 8	4)	189	2 12	§ 20	312	2 4	702	148	2 12	407	9	3 4	29		3 4	
18	Budhal Wah	} Re'	tilement. Proposed ttlement.	150	1	413		4 0		189	2 12	520	312	2 4	702	148	2 4	333	9	3 4	29		3 4	
19	Lal Lodhro	{	Do, Do.	::) !	5 3 E	18 1-1		3 8 4 0		8	2 12 2 12	22 22	292 292	2 4	657 657	2 2	2 12 2 4	6 5		3 4			3 4	
30	Dasti	{			3 8		11	3 8 4 0	39 44	47 47	2 12 3 12	129 1: 9	165 169	2 4 2 4	3: 0 380	14 14	2 12 2 4	32 32		3 4 8 4	:::		3 4	
31	Dilawarpur	{		21	9 3 E	873 69 9	9	3 8 4 0	32 36	456 456	2 12 3 12	1,254 1,254	470 470	2 4 2 4	1,058	11	2 12 2 4	30 25		3 4			3 4	
22	Bachalpur	{			6 3 6	21 17	54 54	3 8 4 0	189 216	412 412	2 12 2 12	1,133 1,133	19 19	2 4 2 4	43 43	59 59	2 12 2 4	162 133		3 4] :::	3 4	(
2 3	Mchar Shali	{			2 3	7 6	11	3 8 4 0	39 41	167 167	2 12 2 12	459 459	24 24	2 4 2 4	54 54	145	2 12 2 4	399 528		3 4	;	:::	3 4	
24	Kaisarabad	{			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & & \end{bmatrix}$	6		3 8 4 0		823 822	2 12 2 12	2,261 2,261		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		8 8	3 4 3 4	26 26		3 4	:::
25	Mouladad	{					40 40	3 8	140 160	500 500	2 12 2 12	1,375 1,375		2 4 2 4		2 2	2 12 2 4	6 5		3 4	:::		3 4	
26	Mulan Rato	{			3 8		2 2	3 8 4 0	7 8	1,023 1,023	2 12	2,813 2,813		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4			3 4 3 4		:::	3 4	
27	Thariri Bhale	no {					42 42	3 8 4 0	147 168	989 989	2 12 2 12	2,729 2,740		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4			3 4 3 4			3 4	1 1
3 8	Bhalenabad	{	Do. Do.		9 3 6	67 87	132 132	3 8	462 528	481 481	2 12 2 12	1,331 1,331		2 4 2 4		} :::	2 12 2 4		4	3 4	13 13		3 4 3 4	:::

XVI.

TALUKA.

each village of the Jacobabad taluka, on the basis of the cultivation of 4 years from 1901 to 1904.

	,								RAB	1.								-						INCREA		
		UN	DATIO	N I				On	WELL	8.	T O		rs.				v				AL.					sment.
	Aren. Rate. Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area,	Rate,	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Vrea.	Rate.	Asressment	Aren.	Rate.	As sess ment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area,	Assessment,	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.	Average asset
Same	3 4	1	3 0	3	77	2 12	213	2		tí		2 8	1		ο.	.	191	0 4	40	1,319	3,011	} 90		3:29	e4#	Rs. s. $\begin{cases} 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \end{cases}$
S S	9 1								2 4						0 2						1,731 1,946	} 215		12.43	•••	${2 \atop 2} \frac{7}{11}$
1 1 2 3 1 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									2 4												1,249 1,301	} 52		4.16	.	$\begin{cases} 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \end{cases}$
S 1	1 3 4 3 1 3 4 3								2 4					1			1.2 6 1,246				5,863 7,554	} 1,691		28:81	:	
1	9 1 1								2 4												7,729 9,528	} 1,799	***	23.28	•	{2 1 {2 8
1 3 4 5 3 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			3 a 2 12										j								2 773 3,212	} 419		15-83	•••	{2 2 2 7
27 3 4 230									2 4		111			10	2 0	1	217				3,883	} 339		9.46		$\begin{cases} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 10 \end{cases}$
24 1 3 1 237 2 0 3 5 2 12 2.510 2 1 2.510 2 1 2.510 3 7 2 12 2.510 3 7 2 12 1.000 2 3 1 2 8 0 2 8 1 2 1 0 3 1 10 2.517 3.72 1 10 2.518 0 3 1 10 1.000 2 3 1 2 8 0 3 1 2 1 0 3 0 3 2 12 1.000 2 3 1 2 8 0 3 1 2 9 1 10 1.000 2 3 1 2 8 0 3 1 2 94 1 0 1.000 0 3 2 12 1 2 93 2 12 0 3 0 3 2 12 1 2 10 0 3 1 2 94 1 0 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 4 1 2 94 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7									2 4		***	2 8 1 8		1	2 0		398	1 0		2,101	5,~33	} 710		13:86	•••	(2 7 (2 12
											ii.	1 8		 7	2 ()	422				5,760	} 1,119		21:11		{2 8 (3 2
S						2 12 2 12								3	2 (2.31	1 0		1,834	a,195	376		7180	•••	(2 9 (2 12
						2 12 2 12		7.00		111					10		1,79 ธ	1 0		1,167	4,237 11,327	} 3,050		37:01		{2 0 {2 11
3 4								1	2 1								1,25%	1 0		3,251	6,759 9 v93	} 2,331	! !	31.53	***	$\begin{cases} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 13 \end{cases}$
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c									3 4			2 8		100	2 0							3 2,712		36.11	•••	(2 1 (2 13
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c									2 1				10.00								5,580 6,45 5	} 875	.,.	15.68	•••	$\begin{cases} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 13 \end{cases}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1							:::	2 4												6,25 5 8,162	} 1,907		30:49	•••	$\begin{cases} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 15 \end{cases}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1 9 .1 1 2								2 4		:: :		:::								6,620 9,372	} 2,752		41:57	•••	\(\frac{2}{2} \frac{0}{13}\)
1963 626 1 3 6,186 16,998 3 7 3 6 13,50 13,69 16,998 3 7 3 6 13,50 13,69 10,657 1,10,853 100 100 788 2 .				! ! :::					2 4		! •• _			<u> </u>	3 (<u> </u>	₹7 5	1 0			7,375 8,196	1,621		22 28	***	(2 5 (2 13
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 !	1 1		i		7.3			T	7				3		1	13, 50			1	1	$ brace^{22,100}$		24.90		${2 \atop 2} \atop {2} \atop {12}$
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					-	J				1	d	-	77	1				13	u	UU	Ltt					
$ \begin{array}{c} 3 & 4 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	19.1			}		i		-	1		i			 				1				}	15.0		7:88	{2 11 {2 8
$ \begin{array}{c} \dots & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 12 \\ 6 & 95 \\ 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \\ 6 & 95 \\ 2 & 12 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 \\ 201 \\ 224 $	19.4		3 0 2 12			2 12 2 12			2 4		i	2 8 1 8									746 778	} 32		4.29		${ \{ egin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{smallmatrix} }$
$ \begin{array}{c} \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 191 & 2 & 12 & 525 & \dots & 2 & 4 & \dots & 1 & 8 & \dots & 2 & 0 & \dots & 36 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 9 & 777 & 2,082 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 191 & 2 & 12 & 525 & \dots & 2 & 4 & \dots & 1 & 8 & \dots & 2 & 0 & \dots & 36 & 1 & 0 & 36 & 777 & 2,103 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 181 & 2 & 12 & 506 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 6 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 539 & 1,401 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 184 & 2 & 12 & 506 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 6 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 539 & 1,401 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 184 & 2 & 12 & 1,845 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & 113 & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 140 & 0 & 4 & 355 & 1,688 & 4,247 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 671 & 2 & 12 & 1,845 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & 113 & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 140 & 0 & 4 & 355 & 1,688 & 4,346 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 671 & 2 & 12 & 1,845 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & 113 & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 140 & 0 & 4 & 355 & 1,688 & 4,346 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 671 & 2 & 12 & 1,845 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & 113 & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 140 & 1 & 0 & 140 & 1,688 & 4,346 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 74 & 2 & 12 & 204 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 2 & 8 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 35 & 0 & 4 & 9 & 851 & 1,794 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 615 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 615 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 115 & 2 & 12 & 316 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 61 & 10 & 14 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 615 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 61 & 10 & 14 & 11 & 1,790 & 3,194 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 115 & 2 & 12 & 316 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 44 & 0 & 4 & 11 & 1,790 & 3,194 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 115 & 2 & 12 & 316 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 44 & 0 & 4 & 11 & 1,790 & 3,194 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 115 & 2 & 12 & 316 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 44 & 0 & 4 & 11 & 1,790 & 3,194 \\ \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 115 & 2 & 12 & 3$						2 12 2 12			2 4													} 45		7:29		$\begin{cases} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{cases}$
$ \begin{array}{c} \dots & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 181 & 2 & 12 & 506 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & t & 0 & k & 2 & 509 & 1,166 \\ 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 184 & 2 & 12 & 506 & \dots & 2 & 4 & \dots & 1 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 0 & \dots & 6 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 539 & 1,166 \\ 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 671 & 2 & 12 & 1,815 & \dots & \dots & 45 & 2 & 8 & 113 & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 140 & 0 & 4 & 35 & 1,688 & 4,287 \\ 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 671 & 2 & 12 & 1,815 & \dots & 2 & 4 & 45 & 1 & 8 & 68 & \dots & 2 & 0 & \dots & 140 & 1 & 0 & 140 & 1,688 & 4,346 \\ 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 74 & 2 & 12 & 204 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 160 & 0 & 4 & 35 & 1,688 & 4,346 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 74 & 2 & 12 & 204 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 35 & 0 & 4 & 9 & 651 & 1,735 & 4 & 5 & \dots & 2 & 60 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 515 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 0 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 & 3 & 30 & \dots & 0 & 92 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 515 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 & 3 & 30 & \dots & 0 & 92 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 515 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 & 3 & 30 & \dots & 0 & 92 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 515 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 & 3 & 30 & \dots & 0 & 92 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 12 & \dots & 155 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 & 3 & 30 & \dots & 0 & 92 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & \dots & 3 & 0 & \dots & 515 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 0 & 4 & 13 & 1,591 & 4,249 & 3 & 30 & \dots & 0 & 92 & \dots & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & \dots & \dots & 2 & 155 & 2 & 12 & 1,416 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 2 & 8 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 4 & \dots & 51 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 11 & 1,190 & 3,194 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 1 & 169 & \dots & $		2 2	$\begin{smallmatrix}3&0\\2&12\end{smallmatrix}$			2 12 2 12															3,614 3,741	} 127	<i></i>	3.21		$\begin{cases} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{cases}$
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						2 13 2 12			2 4												2,082 2,103	} 21		1.01	•	${211 \atop 211}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 4					2 12 2 12						2 8 1 8			0 2	4					1,466 1,401	}	65		4.43	{2 12 {2 10
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 4					2 12 2 12					45	2 8 1 8									4,297 4,316	} 59		1:38	,	{2 9 2 9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.4		3 0 2 12													4	35 35	0 4			1,73 t 1,779	} 45		2.60		${2 \atop 2} \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 2 \atop 12 \end{array}$
	0.4					$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1,416 1,416		24			2 8								1,591 1,591	4,249 4,288	39		0.92		$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 11 \\ 2 & 11 \end{smallmatrix} \right.$
3 4 3 9 331 2 12 910 2 9 0 4 53 0 4 13 1,023 2,796 7 101 (270)	100					2 12 2 12			24			2 8						0 4				} 54		1.69	٠	$\begin{cases} 2 & 11 \\ 2 & 12 \end{cases}$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3 0 2 12		331 331	2 12 2 12	910 910		2 4			2 8 1 8		:::				0 4		1,023 1,02 3	2,796 2,902	} 101		3.79	,,.	{2 13 2 13

				<u> </u>						KI	IARIF.			············		***			·				
			G	ARDENS	s.,		UNDEI		Отнкв	CROPS	URDER		Lipt			AIDED	ВҮ	:	Frow.			T AID	
No.	Name	oof village.	Area.	Late.	Assersment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	A7e8.	Rate.	Assessment,	Area.	Rate.	Arsersment,	Area.	Rate.	Ascersment,	Area.	Rate,	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment
	Group 1-1	3.—continued.	Λ.	Rs. a.	lta.	Α.	Ks. a.	Ks.	λ.	R3, 8,	Rs.	Α.	Bs. a.	Rs.	A.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Δ.	Rs. a.	Rs.	A .	Rs. a.	$\mathbf{R}s$
29	Khair Wah	Existing settlement. Proposed settlement.	8 8	3 8	28 22	211 211	3 8 4 0	739 844	479 479	2 12 2 12	1,31 7 1,31 7		2 4			2 12		3 9	3 4 3 4	127		3 4	
30	Fatibpur	{ Do, Do,		3 8		197 197	3 8 4 0	690 783	490 4 90	2 12 2 12	1,318 1,318		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4	···		3 4 3 4			3 4 3 4	
31	Shahdadpur	. { Do	5 5	3 4	16 14		3 4 4 0	•••	551 851	2 8 2 12	1,378 1,515		2 0 2 4			2 8 2 4		5 5	$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array}$	15 16		3 0 3 4	:::
32	Shahpur	{ Do	1	3 8	4 8	158 158	3 8 4 0	553 632	1,014	$\begin{array}{c c} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	$\frac{2,789}{2,789}$		2 4 2 4	:::		2 12 2 4	***	140 140	3 4 3 4	455 405		3 4 3 4	
13	Cantonment	{ Do Do		3 8			3 8 4 0			2 12 2 12			2 4 3 4			2 12 2 4	***		3 4 3 4			3 4 3 4	:::
	Total group	Existing set (jement, Proposed settlement.	447	•••	1,50 1,20	867 867		3,087 3,468	7,631 7,631		20,849 20,986	1,286 1,286		2,894	381		1,049 859	205 205		668			
	21	id group.			7																		
84	Nawra	$\cdots \begin{cases} \text{Existing} \\ \text{settlement,} \\ \text{Proposed} \\ \text{settlement.} \end{cases}$	3	3 8	1.	92 92	3 8 4 0	323 308	967 967	2 12 2 8	2 659 2,418		2 4			2 12		35 35	3 4 3 0	114 105		3 4	
35	Dhad	{ Do		3 8	:::		3 8 4 0	7,5	7:3 763	2 12 2 8	2,098 1,908		2 4 2 0			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 13 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	in l	13 13	3 4 3 0	42 39		3 4 3 0	:::
86	Rahimabad	{ Do		3 8	18 13		3 8	1 ::	739 7.9	2 12 2 8	2 032 1,84s		2 4 2 0		***	$\begin{array}{ccc}2&12\\2&0\end{array}$		30 3 0	3 4 3 0	1'8 90		3 4 3 0	:::
37	Bakapur	\dots $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D_0}, & \dots \\ \mathbf{D_0}, & n \end{array} \right.$	29 25	3 0	8: 7.:	159 159	3 0 4 0	477 6 16	433 433	2 4 2 8	974 1,483		1 12 2 0	:::		2 4 2 0		44 44	$\begin{array}{ccc}2&12\\3&0\end{array}$	121 132		$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 12 \\ 3 & 0 \end{array}$:::
ಕಿಕ	Burij Salemi	{ Do	6 ti	3 4	20 15	***	3 4 4 0		653 65 [‡]	2 8	1,633 1,633	100	2 0		2 2	2 B 2 0	5 4	48 48	3 O 3 O	144 144		3 0 3 0	
89	Risalabad	{ Do	1	3 0			$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 \end{array}$			2 4 2 8			$\begin{array}{c c}1&12\\2&0\end{array}$			2 4 2 0	•••		2 12 3 0			2 12 3 0	:::
40	Belo Alipur	{ Do	1	3 0	::-		3 0	111		2 4 2 8	1		1 12 2 0		• :::	2 4 2 0			$\begin{bmatrix} 12\\3&0 \end{bmatrix}$			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$:::
41	Pir Padhro	{	1	3 8		***	3 8 4 0		851 851	2 12 2 8	2,040 2,128		2 4 2 0		·	2 12 2 0		78 78	3 4 3 0	254 234	:::	3 4 3	
42	Gokalpur	{ Do,		3 s		233 21 2	3 8 4 0	812 928	674 67 k	2 12 2 8	1,831		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0	•••	28 28	3 4 3 0	91 81		3 4 3 0	:::
43	Miraupur	$\cdots \Big\{ \begin{array}{ccc} D\sigma, & \dots \\ D\sigma, & \dots \end{array}$			7 5	406 40 i	3 4 4 0	1,320 1,624	883 832	2 8	2,205 2,20 5	2 2	2 0 2 0	4	2 2	2 8 2 0	5 4	43 43	3 0 3 0	129 129		3 0	:::
44	Thariri	$\cdots \Big\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Do.} & \cdots \\ \operatorname{Do.} & \cdots \end{array}$		3 4		655 655	$\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	2,129 2,620	720 720	2 8 2 8	1 800 1,800	***	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$			2 8	***	23 23	3 0 3 0	69 69	:::	3 0	:::
. 45	Sultanpur	{ Do Do			16 13	165 165	3 4 4 6	536 630	886 886	2 8 2 8	5,915 2,715		2 0		***	2 8 2 0	***	23 23	3 0 3 0	69 60		3 0	
46	Mundranipu	r { Do			312 230	3 3	3 4 4 0	15 12	556 556	2 8	1,390 1,39+	64 54	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$	128 123	23 22	2 S 2 0	55 4.1	$\frac{22}{22}$	3 0 3 0	66 66		3 0	
47	Hambi	{ Do		3 0		::	3 0 4 0	000	201 201	2 1 2 8	452 503	49 49	1 12 2 0	86 98	14 11	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	32 28	$\frac{2}{2}$	2 12 3 0	6		2 12 3 0	:::
4.0	Kadirpur	{ Do,		3 8		148 148	3 8	61S 592	849 5 4 9		1,510 1,5 .73		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	J.T.	اشا	2 12 2 0	Æ	7 7	3 4 3 0	$\frac{23}{21}$		3 4 3 0	:::
4	Khalulabad	{ Do		3 8		253 258	3 8 4 0	886 1,012	5 19 549	2 12 2 8	1,510 1,373		2 4 2 0			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	***	3	3 4 3 0	9 10		3 4 3 0	:::
5	Sumapur	{ Do			22 23	339 339	3 8 4 0	1,187 1,356	287 287		759 718	3	2 4 2 0	7 6		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	***		3 4 3 0			3 4 3 0	
5	Malhuabad	{ Do,	:		3	296 296	3 8	1,036 1,154	410 410		1,128 1,025		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0		2 2	3 4 3 0	6		3 4 3 0	
. 6	2 Ghouspur	{ Do,			10		3 4 4 0		298 298		7 (5 7-15		2 0 2 0		19 19	2 8 2 0	-18 38		3 0			3 0	:::
	g Attai		:		7 5	+3 6 ₩6		117 141			1,200 1,200		2 0 2 0		1 4	2 8 2 0	10 8		3 0			3 0	1
•	4 Aurangabad	{ Do. :		3 3 8	11 8		3 8 1 0		377 377	2 8	1,037 943		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$:::	2 12 2 0		29 29	3 4 3 0	91 87		3 4 3 0	
; 1	Chhajra			5 3 4 5	16			2,259 2,780			1,263 1,263		2 0 2 0			2 8 2 0			3 0			3 0	
. 1	Bajhani Bajhani				·	210 210		683 846		5 2 8	1		2 0 2 0		4	2 0	10 8	9 υ	3 0	27 27		3 0	
	Bamzanpur	{ Do. Do.		1 3 8	3 4		3 8		1,038 1,038	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	2,555 2,595		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0	:::	6	3 0	20 18		3 4	1. 1
1	Tajo Dero			6 3 6	1 1	1,179 1,179	3 8	4, 12° 4,71°					$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$			3 4 3 0	1		3 0	
1	Izmatabad			1 3	3 4		3 8	3:		9 2 12 9 2 8	1,647 1,498		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0		18 18	3 0	59 54		3 4	
į.	66 Kimatabad	{ Do,		1 3		52 52	3 4 6					:::	2 0		:::	2 8 2 0		:::	3 0 3 0			3 0	

										RAI	BI.										, m.	NP 4 7			Iro	PER OR	R.
	OR VI		IN	IATURA GNDAT SAILABI	1014		ICIAL 1	INUNDA-	0	N WEL	£8.	71	Han-			D. BA		0	DUBA		To)TAL.				OFNT,	Person.
Arez.	Fate.	Assessment.	Area,	Rate.	Asressment,	Area.	Bate.	A Esesamen'.	Area,	Rate.	Assersment.	Area.	Rate	As egrrent.	, By4.8.	Este.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Arsessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Incresse.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.	Average Asses
۸.	Re. n.	Rs.	Λ.	Rs, a.	R	Α.	Rs. a.	Re.	Α.	Rs. a.	Rs	A.	Rs. a	R., R.,	^	Re.	ı. Rs	Α.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Α.	Rs.	Rs.	A.			Rs.
]	3 4		,,,	3 0 2 12		51a 510	2 13	1,403 1,403		3 4			2 8	ì	· · · ·	3 6	1	20 0	0 4	50 200	1,417	3,664 3,913	} 249		6.80		{2 1
	3 4 3 4			3 0 2 14		103 312	2 13 2 12	803 - 60 3		2 4			2 8			0 4		5 5	0 4	1 5	941 984	2 842 2 911	102		3.20		{2 1 8 0
	3 0 3 4			2 12 2 12		125 125	2 8 2 13	313 344		24			2 8				,	2 2	0 4	1 2	688 688	1,7:3 1,891	} 168		9:75		{2 1
	3 4 3 4			3 0 2 12		437 457	2 12 2 12	1,2 2 1,102		24			2 8 1 8	·	.	0 4	ı	194 194	0 4 1 0	49 191	1,944 1,914	5,052 5,-75	} 223	,	4'41		${2 \atop 2} \atop 1$
	3 4 3			3 0 2 12			2 12 2 12			2 4			2 8			3 6			$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$	•			}	,			{:::
			2	•	6	3,594 3,594		9,873				45	•••	113				1,2-9		1.25	15,717	40,356	1,025		2 51		${2 \choose 2}$
												45		68				1,282		1,299	15,747	41,381			ļ		-
	3 4		i 	 - 3 0		292	2 12	8 :3					2 8			0		35	0 4	9	1,424	3,918	,				(2 1
	3 0	1		2 8		292	2 8	73 :		2 0			1 8	į.		2 1		:5	1 0	35	1,424	3,664	} ···	254		6.48	\{\gamma_2\}
	3 4 3 0			3 0		442 442	2 12 2 8	1,218 1 leä		3 0		7	2 2	100		0 4		27 27	0 4	7 27	1 245 1,245	3,363 3,079	}	234		8.44	${\frac{2}{2}}$
	3 4			3 0 2 8		123 123	2 13 2 8	308 308		2 0			A 65		Section 1981	0 2 0		3 3	0 4	$\frac{1}{3}$	900 800	2,487 2,262	}	225		9.02	${2 \choose 2}$
	2 12 3 0			2 B	ļ	571 571	2 1 2 8	1,285 1,44		2 0			2 8			0 1		397	U 4	19 31.7	1,6°3 1,6°3	3,049 3,749	} 708		23.20		${1 \ 2 \ 2}$
	3 0 3 0			2 12 2 8		1:0	2 8 2 8	150 150		3 0		18	1 8	+ 70 - 12		2 (2 s 2 s	0 4	7 28	945 945	2,8 2 9 2,316	}	13	,	0.26	$\left\{ egin{matrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right.$
	3 0		:::	2 8 2 8			2 4 2 8			2 0			2 8	1	1	2 (0 4.				}				{:::
	2 12 3 0			2 8			2 4 2 8		,.	2 0	:::					2 (1 0	421	1:::		}				{
	3 4			3 8		173	2 13	-176 -433		2 0				13		2 (2 2	0 4	1 2	1,104 1,104	3 071 2,797	}	271		8.92	$\begin{cases} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{cases}$
	3 1		:::	3 0		212	2 12 2 5	8 (. 0		2 0			1 2		9	3 (239	0 4	30 ≌: 0	1,345 1,345	3,400 3,466	} 66		1.94		{2 (3
	3 0		j	2 8	1	291 291	2 8	128		2 0			1		1	2 (71	1 0	118 471	2 (6.00 2,680	4,516 5,170) 00mm		14:4%		12
	3 0			Į.		3.2 3.7 271	2 8 2 8	935 915		2 0			1 3			2 (783	0 4 1 0	193 7r3	2,563 2,563	l i	} 1,078		20.94		{2 {2
	3 0] 1	2 12 2 8	·	274 78	2 3 3	(87 (95 195		2 0	1		2 8 1 8 2 8	·		١	· · · ·	2-2	0 4 1 0 0 1	71 2≻2 1 '	1,635 -1,635 -898	3,592 3,924 2,170	332	•••	9 24		${ {2} \atop {2} \atop {2} \atop {2} }$
•••	3 0					78	2 8	195	=	2 0	 		1 6	· · · ·		2 (0 : 1 0	57	898 297	2,152 694	}	39		1.75	(2
	3 0			2 8	1	20 283	2 8			2 0			1 8	15				11	0 4	11 07	297 - 1,178	696	} 72 }	***	11'54	1.05	{2 {2 2
	3 0					2.5s 513	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	745 861		2 0			3			0 4		116	0 4	146) 67	1,148 1,256	2,877 9,334	<i>,</i>	31	0.00	1.07	(2
	3 0 8 4					512 512	2 8 2 12	7.3 1,491		2 0			2 N			2 6		2 (8) 267	0 4	268	1,336	3,445 3,573	} 111 } 152	***	3°33 4°25		$\begin{cases} 2 \\ \begin{cases} 2 \\ 2 \end{cases} \end{cases}$
•••	3 4			3 0		301	2 8 2 12	1,31-5 51-8		2 0			2 8	i		0 4		174	0 4	2.17	1,447	3,725 3,047	, 10	***	3.32		$\begin{cases} 2\\2\\1 \end{cases}$
6	3 0	18		2 12		303 146	2 8	763 365		2 0			3 8			0 4		1	1 0 0 4	174	1,184 473	1,186	,	11		0.63	${2 \choose 2}$
	9 0					3:7 3:7	2 5 2 8	365 793 793		2 0			1 8 2 8 1 8			0 4		4	0 4	1	473 843	1,175 2,128	}		1.22	***	${2 \choose 2}$
	. 3 4 3 5 0			3 0 2 8		101 101	2 13 2 13 2 8	278 253		2 0			2 8			0 4		חי		3	843 520 520	2,154 1,428 1,301	;	122		8· 5 7	${ {2 \atop 2} \atop 2}$
				5 12	ţ	215	2 8 8	613 613		2 0			2 8 1 8			0 4		671	1 0 0 4 1 0	10 169 67	2,124 2,124		} 1,023		23.68	,	{2 2
	3 0	3		2 12		231 231	2 8 2 8	653 653					2 8 1 8		1 :	١	Ì	279	0 4 1 0	67 > 70 279	1,0:9 1,0:9	2,209	364	***	16:48		${rac{2}{2}}$
}	3 4			3 0 2 8		580 580	2 12 2 8	1,595 1,470					2 8	i	!	0 4		1	0 4	1	1,626 1,626	4,474 4,037	· }	467		9.10	${rac{2}{2}}^{1}$
•	8 4 8 0			3 0 2 8		358 358	2 12 2 8	985 895					2 8 1 8			0 1	ļ.,,	1,04-1	0.4	261 1,014	2,991 2,991		} 1,177	***	18:09		${ \{ {2 \atop 2} }$
	3 4 3 0			3 3 2 8		533 533	2 12 2 8	1,466 1,333		20			2 8 1 8			0 4	.,.	34 34	0 4 1 0	9 34	1,194 1,194	3,217 2,958	l	259	_	8 05	$\{{2\atop 2}^{1\atop 1}$
	3 0 3 0					222 222	2 8 2 8	555 555		2 0		l	2 8 1 8			0 4		200	0 4 1 0	52 209	1,486 1,486	3,641	} 55 3		15.18		{2 2 1

										KHAR	IF.											
		G	ABDER	f\$.		BUNI		Отнев	CROPS PLOW.	UNDER		Lı	FT.	LIF	FLOW			FLOT	* .		IFF AT	
Mo.	Name of village.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	År6a.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Bate.	Assessment.	Ares.	Rate.	Astessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment,	Area.	Rate.	Agressment.	Area.	Este.	Arsensment.
	2nd group-continued.	Α.	Rs. a		Λ.	Rs. a	1	Λ.	Rs. a	1	Α.	Rs. a	}	A.	Rs a	Rs.	Α.	Rs. s		1	Rs. a	1/
61	Khanpur fixisting settlement. Proposed settlement.	10	3 4	27	899	3 4	2,922 3,596	795 795	2 8	1,983		2 0			2 8 2 0		10	3 0	30	i	3 0	o
62	Muhammadpur { Do	25 25	3.0	66	316 316	1 0	1,264	718	2 4 2 8	1,795	112	2 0	2.4	5 5	2 4 2 0	i	1	, 3 0	12		3 0)
63	Gul Wah { Do		3 4		207 207	3 4 0	673 818	733 733		1,849		2 0 2 0			2 8 2 0	1	1		3		3 0	· ···
64	Dittal Wah { Do		3	1	49 49	3 4	159 196	165	ř		19	2 0	38		2 8 2 0			3 0			3.0	
65	Nizamabad { Do	11	38	31	658 658	3 8 1 0 	2,3:3 2,:32	331 331	2 12	910 8.8	1	2 0	ł		2 12 2 0	į ::::	1	3 4	3		3 4 3 0	· ···
66	Amirabad $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D} \right\}$	2 2	3 8	5	530 730	3 8	1,855 2,120	795 795	2 12 2 3	2.183	:::	2 0			2 12 2 0	1	31	3 4 3 0	93	1 :::	3 0)
67	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	3 8		723 723	3 8 4 0	2, 38 2,960	423	2 12	1,103		2 4	1		2 12 2 0		28	3 1	81		3 0	1
68	Khudabad { Do Do	1	3 8		2 0	138 100	1,040	471 471	2 12	1,295 1,178	5	3 0	11		2 12		39 39	3 4 3 0	117	 	3 4	
69	Son Wah $\dots \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} D_0, & \dots \\ D_0, & \dots \end{array} \right.$		3 8	1	36 36	3 8 4 0	126 114	710	2 13 2 8	1,953 1,775		$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$			2 12 2 0	•••	2 2	3 4 3 0	6		3 3	
70	Duniapur { Da, Do,	1	3 8	3	1 484	3 S 4 0	5,0:9	G13 G43	2 2 8	1.768		2 4 2 0			2 12		1	3 0	3	· ···	3 4 3 0	
71	Allahabad $\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{p}_0 & \dots \\ \mathbf{p}_0 & \dots \end{array} \right.$	7 7	3 8	25 18	65 45	3 8	228 260	835 85	2 12 2 8	2,196 2,0 3	30	2 4 2 0	65 60	22 22	2 12 2 0	61 41	4.	3 4	13 12	l I	3 4	
72	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3 8		272 273	3 S 4 0	952	751 751	2 12 2 8	2,065 1,878	37 37	3 0	83 74	1	2 12	3 2	3:	3 0	117 1.8	\ ! *** .	3 4	
73			3 8		F 01 501	3 8	1,754 2,764	83.1 83.1	2 8	2,420 2,200		2 4		**	2 12 2 0		11	3 4 3 0	36 33		3 4	
74	Kur Khairo { Da Gachal.	1	3 8	4		3 8 4 0	1	H0.7 S'7	2 12	2,357 2,143		2 4			2 12 2 0	•••	4	3 4 3 0	13 12		3 4 3 6	
75	$Kur\ Biro \qquad \dots \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} D_{O_1} & \dots \\ D_{O_n} & \dots \end{array} \right.$	2 2	3 8	7 5	-::	3 8 4 0		era ne J	2 12 2 5	1,823 1,650		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0			3 4		:::	3 4 3 0	
76	Lal Odho $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{p}_0, & \dots \\ \mathbf{p}_{J_k} & \dots \end{array} \right.$	3	3 1	10 8	1:0	3 4 4 0	585 720	GO E CC4	2 8	1,510 1,510		2 0			$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$		6 6	3 0	18 18		3 0 3 0	
77	Sawan Lashari { Do	3 3	3 8	11	1,275 1,275	3 8 4 0	443		21:	1 0003		2 4 2 0			2 2 2 0		43 43	3 4 3 0	140 129		3 4 3 6	
	TOTAL 2ND GROUT Settlement. Proposed settlement.	213 213		798 627	12,532 12,532		43,572 51,324	26.032 20,002	93	100	: 22		644 644	95 95		24) 180	681 681		2 15% 2,052		·	•••
	3rd group.							समा	a a	IF		-										
79	Warismahad Existing settlement. Proposed rettlement.	3		7		3 8		114	2 4	257 257	76 76	1 12	133	127 122	1 12	275 214	71 71	2 12	195		2 1 2	
79	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39 39	3 O	117 88	3 3	3 0 3 8	9 11	350 3.0	3 4 2 4	510 510	395 395	1 13	697 697	11.6 105	2 4	209 183	93 93	2 12 2 12	256 : 1/6		2 12 2 13	
8 6	Phatan Wah { Do Do	10 10	3	30 23		3 0 3 8		770 778	2 4 2 4	1,733 1,7-3	⊬3 83	1 12 1 13	145 145	23 23	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1^2 \end{bmatrix}$	52 40	49 49	2 12	135 . 16	:::	2 12 2 12	
\$1	Detha $ \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} Do, & \dots \\ Do, & \dots \end{array} \right. $	1	3 4 	3 2		3 4 3 8	OK	276 276	2 B	690 641	Pä	2 0 1 12	- ::		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 1 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	<u>'</u>	1	$\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{0}{2}$	3		3 0 2 12	
22	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3 0			3 0		30 ; 0	2 4 2 1	68 68	7.1	$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & 12 \\ 1 & 12 \end{array}$	-:	47.1	$\begin{array}{c c}2&4\\1&12\end{array}$			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$			2 12 2 12	
83	Reti { Do Do		3 4	:::	209 200	3 4 3 8	679 732	299 29.)	2 8 2 4	748 673		$\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 \end{smallmatrix}$:::	2 8 1 12		4 4	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	12 11		3 0 2 12	
84	Shahid $,$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} D_0, \dots \\ D_0, \dots \end{array} \right.$		3 0			3 8		144 144	2 s 2 s	321 351		1 12 1 12			2 4 1 12		***	2 12 2 12		:::	2 12 2 12	
85	Razaro { 100		3 0			3 0			3 4 3 4	.		1 12 1 12			2 4 1 12			2 12 2 12			2 12 2 13	
86	Khan Wah { Do		3 0			3 0 3 8		226 22 (2 4 2 4	5/19 509	23 26	1 12 1 12	46 46	:::	2 4 1 12			2 12 2 12			2 12 2 12	
87	Dodapur { Do Do		3 8		40 40	3 8	140 110	7 9 505	2 13	1,636 1,3 3 9		2 4 1 12			2 12 1 12			3 4			$\begin{smallmatrix}3&&4\\2&12\end{smallmatrix}$	
88	Kur Rato { Do Do	4	3 8	14	59 59	3 8	207 207	402 402	2 12	1,106 905	34 31	2 4 1 12	77 60	1	$\begin{bmatrix}2&12\\1&12\end{bmatrix}$	3 2		3 4 2 12			$\begin{smallmatrix}3&4\\2&12\end{smallmatrix}$:::
90	Daro Jiand { Do Do	6	3 8	21 14	2 2	3 8 3 8	7 7	1,003 1,003	2 12 2 4	2,753 2,237		2 4 1 12			2 12 1 12			3 4 2 12			$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	
\$ 0	Kotri { Do	3	3 8	11 7	1-3	3 8	641 651	43.) 43.9	2 12 2 4	1,207		2 4 1 12			21 ? 1 12		2 2	3 4 2 12	7 6		3 4 2 12	:::
91	Garhi Khairo { Do, Do,	23	3 8	81 56	86	3 8	301 301	446 446	2 12 2 4	1,227	23 23	2 4 1 12	40 63	8 6	2 12 1 12	17	19	3 4 2 12	62 62	2 2	3 4 2 12	7 6
92	Wasan Do		3 8	74 48	329 329	3 8	1,152	1,124 1,124	2 12 2 4	3,091 2,529		2 4 1 12			2 12 1 12		54 54	3 4 2 12	176 149	 	3 4 2 12	
}	Total 3rd Group Existing settlement. Proposed settlement.	110		360 254	911 911		3,136	6,228 6,228		16,164	640 640	 	1,150	258 258		586 453	293 293		846	2		7
	GRAND TOTAL OF Settlement.	1,059 1,059		3,632 2,871	27,273 27,273		94,170 114,975	46,842 46,842		1,24,915	2,948 2,948	 	6,238 6,236	1,090 1,090		1	1,473 1,473		4,614	2		7 6

										BAI	sī.	_ 														ASB OR	
	AIDED OB FL		IN	ATUB DAT ALLAE	ON	ARTII	PTCAT. I	NUNDA- 81).	o	N WEL	LB.	TO	II tt.			DUBAS		υ.	Dub.		Тот	TAL.				CENT.	event.
. red.	Bule.	Assessment,	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Атеа.	Rate,	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Bate.	Assessment.	Area,	Rate.	Assersment.	Area.	Bate.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Increase.	Decrease,	Increase.	Decrease.	Average Assessment
A .	Rs. a.	Rs.	A.	Rs. a	Rs.	Α,	Пн. α.	Rs.	A ,	Rs. a.	Rs.	Α.	Rs.	ļ	Λ.	Rs. a	. Ra.	Α,	Rs. a.	1	A,	Rs.	Rs.	A,			Rs. a.
	3 0			2 12		695 695	2 8	1,738	! 	 2 0			1 8			2 0		795	1 0	199 795	3,204 3,204	6,910 8,174	1,264		18 29	144	${\scriptsize egin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \ 2 & {f 2} \end{bmatrix}}$
.,.	2 12 3 0			2 8 2 8		103	2 4 2 8	232 258		20			2 8			0 4 2 0		223 223	0 4 1 0	56 223	1 506 1,506	3,145 3,552	} 707		22:48		${rac{2}{2}} rac{1}{9}$
	3 0		:::	2 12 2 8		428 428	2 8 2 8	1,070		2			2 8	,		0 4 2 0		129 129	0 4	32 119	1,501 1,501	3,618 3,878	} 252	···	6.97		$\begin{cases} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 9 \end{cases}$
	3 0 3 0			2 12 2 8		163 163	2 8 2 8	408 408		20			3 9	3		0 4 2 0		17 17	0 4	4 17	713 713	1,772 1,822	} 50	.,.	2.82		{2 8 {2 9
•••	3 4 3 0		50 50	3 0 2 8		231 231	3 12 2 8	635 578	:::	20			2 8		:::	0 4 2 0	:::	805 805	0 4	201 805	2,088 2,088	4,213 5,004	} 761		17*94		${ 2 \atop 2} {1 \atop 6}$
***	3 4 3 0	-::		3 0 2 8		241 241	2 12 2 3	663 603		20			2 8		:::	0 4 2 0		487 487	0 4	1:22 4:87	2,086 2 ,086	4,931 5,295	} 362		7:34		${2 \atop 2} \atop 9$
	3 4 3 0			3 0 2 8		166 166	2 12 2 3	457 415		20		:::	2 8		:::	0 4 2 0		702 702	0 4 1 0	176 702	2,044 2,044	4,425 5,159	} 731		16.59		(2 3 (2 8
	3 4 3 0	:::	:::	3 0 2 8]	159 159	2 12 2 3	437 398		2 0		:::	2 6		:::	0 4 2 0		193 193	0 4	48 193	1,127 1,127	2,828 2,936	} 108	,	3.82		${2 \atop 2} \atop 10$
••• •••	3 4 3 0			3 0 2 8		111	2 13 2 8	305 278		2 0			2 8			0 4 2 0		20 20	0 4	20	879 879	2,396 2,123	}	173		7.22	$\begin{cases} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 8 \end{cases}$
•••	3 4	:::	119	3 0 2 8		363 363	2 13 3	938 908		2 0			2 8			2 0		1 209 1,209	1 0	325 1,299	3,850	9,935	} 1,391		10.28		{2 3 2 9
***	3 4	:::	:::	3 0 2 8		17	2 12 2 8	47 43	,	2 0	:::		2 8		.4.	0 4 2 0		15	1 0	15	995	2,742 2,540	}	202		7.87	{2 12 {2 9 {2 9
	3 4		:::	2 8		203 203	2 12 8	558 508		2 0		12.	2 8			0 4 2 0	l iii	192	0 4	1 2 1 63	1,492 1,492 2,202	3,826 3,850 4,977	} 21		0.63		ξ2 9
3	3 4	10	:::	3 0 2 8 3 0		216 216 27	2 12 2 8	594 540		2 0	iii	:::	2 8			2 0	S	651 651	0 4	65+	3,262	5,137	} 460		9.24	0.07	$\begin{cases} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \end{cases}$
	3 0			3 0		27	2 12 2 8	74 68	:::	2 0	,		2 8			2 0		1:::	1 0		589 665	2,226 1,830	} }	222		9·07 9·13	$\begin{cases} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 8 \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 8 \end{cases}$
	3 0			2 8		236	2 8	89 0		2 0			1 8			0 4		175	0 4	44	1,201	1,668 2,757	}	167	9:58		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	3 0			2 8		236 172	2 12	590 473		2 0		1	2 8	77		0 4		175	0 4	175 291	1 204 3,359	3,021 7,311	} 264 } 1,277	.,,	17:47		$\begin{cases} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 9 \end{cases}$
10	3 ()	31	169	2 8	507	1,2	2 8	28,: 41		2 0		28	1 8			0 4		1,163	1 0	3,031	3,3 ₃ 9 63,513	8, 88 1,48,333	1,2.7	i			$\frac{(2 \ 9)}{(2 \ 5)}$
10		30	169		423	10,783		26,976	***		,	28		42	150	2 0	.,,	12,312		12,312	63,513	1,59,717	11,384		7.67	···	2 8
	2 12 2 12			2 8		269	2 4	605					2 1		व	0 4 2 0		40	0 4	10	695 695	1,484	}	33		2:22	$\begin{cases} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{cases}$
***	2 12			2 8		471	2 4	1,030		1 12	j	\ }	2			0 4		98	0 4	25	1,568	3,213) }	7		0.23	$\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \}$
	2 12 2 12			2 4		471 658	2 4	1,000		1 12		 ! •5	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tilde{1}} & \mathbf{\tilde{2}} \\ 2 & \mathbf{\tilde{2}} \end{bmatrix}$	1		0 4		98	0 4	19	1,508	3,206 3,608	}		0.91	***	$\begin{cases} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{cases}$
	2 12 3 0			2 12	,	658 168	2 4 2 8	39%		1 12		5	2 4	В		0 4		9	1 0	2 9	1,671	3,041 1,093	} }	102	ļ .	9.33	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$
	2 12 2 12 2 12			2 4		159	2 4 2 4	366		1 12		ä	2 8	70		2 0 0 4 2 0		3 3	0 4	1 1 3	445 38 38	991 80 82	} } 2		2.20		$\{ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
•••	3 0 2 12			2 4 2 12 2 4		61 61	2 4 2 8 2 4	11 153		1 12			$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$			0 4 2 0	-	600	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	56 222	795 705	1,648 1,775	} 127		. 7.71		{2 1 {2 4
***	2 12 2 12 2 12			1 8 2 4		4	2 4 2 4	137		1 12 1 12			1 2 8 1 2 8			0 4 2 0			0 4		148 148	333 333	}				$\begin{cases} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{cases}$
•••	2 12 2 15			3 8	1	7 7	3 4 2 4	16 16		1 13			. 1 8 2 8 1 8			0 4 2 0			0 1 1 0		7 7	16 16	}				${2 \atop 2}$ 5
•••	2 12 2 13		ļ	2 8 2 4	1	4	2 4 2 4	9		1 12			2 8			0 4 2 0	}	6	0 1	2 6	202 202	566 570	} 4		0.41		$\{ egin{smallmatrix} 2 & 3 \ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix} \}$
	3 4 2 12			3 0		85 86	2 13 2 4	234 191	1	1 12	3 2		2 1			0 4 2 0	:::	21 21	0 1	5 2 1	742 742	2,018 1,693	}	325		16.11	{2 13 2 5
***	3 4 3 12			3 0		24 21	2 12 2 4	66 54		1 12			2 8			0 4 2 0		60 60	0 4 1 0	15 60	594 581	1,488 1,297	}	191		12.84	${f iggl\{ 2 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
•••	3 4 2 12	:::		3 0		60 60	2 12 2 4	165 135		1.13			2 8	ا		0 4 2 0		2 2	0 4	1 2	1,073 1,073	2,952 2,315	}	537		18.19	${ 2 12 $
•••	9 4 2 12			3 0		205 205	2 12 2 4	534 461		1 12	 	ļ	2 F		-	0 4 2 0		175 175	0 4	44 175	1,007 1,007	2, 74 2,2/8	}	19 ₆	·	7.92	{2 7 {2 4
3	3 4 3 12	10 8	:::	5 0 2 4	1	100	2 13 2 4	275 225		1 12			2 8	:::		0 4 2 0		149 149	0 4	37 149	857 857	2,039 1,852	}	217		10.49	{2 7 2 3
4	3 4 2 12	13 11		3 0		119	2 12 2 4	327 268		1 12	<u></u>		2 1		2	0 4 2 0	4	36	0 4 1 0	3.0	2,013	4,924	}	403		8,18	{2 4
7		19				2,230 2,230		5,370 5,018	1		3	5 5		13 8	2 3		4			307 1,221	11,908	27,966 26,121	}	1,845	•	6 .60	$\begin{cases} 2 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 \end{cases}$
. 210		680	172		516	22,790	ļ	60,562	4		12	78		198	5	ļ	1	27,881		6,983	1	3,05,408) 		10.50		\(\frac{2}{5} \)
210		675	172		433	32,790	/ 	55,876	1		9	78		118	5		10	27,881		21,881	. 131,825	3,38,072 	33,664		10 70		{* °
		-				:		1	٠			٠		. 1	<u> </u>							(1 M	[. BA]	ZPD			

C. M. BAKER, Deputy Commissioner,

APPENDIX XVIII.

STATEMENT showing the general FINANCIAL RESULTS of the proposed settlement of the Jacobabad taluka based on the average of the last 4 years from 1900-1901 to 1903-1904.

		Present settlement.	Proposed settlement.	Increase.	Increase per cent.
Surveyed land Unsurveyed land	•••	 3,05 ,408	3 ,38,072	32, 6 64 	10.70
	TOTAL	 3,05,408	3,38,072	32,661	10.70

APPENDIX XIX.

LIST of PRICES CURRENT, Jacobabad taluka.

Year,	Juari, white.	Juari, red.	Bojri.	Til,	To- bacco.	Cotton, cleaned.	Coiton, un- cleaned.	Paddy (sug- dasi),	Paddy (sail): rini.	Wheat, let sert.	Wheat, and sort.	Matar.	Gram.	Mung,	Sariah,	Jambhe.	Kirang (millet),	Barley.
	Per maund.	Per manad.	Por maund,	Per maund.	Per manad,	Per maund.	l'er maund,	Per maund,	Per magad.	fer maand.	For maund,	Per maund.	Per manud.	Per maund.	Per named,	Per maund.	Per maund.	Per maund,
98-97 97-98 93-99 99-1900, 91-1901, 91-1903, 93-1903,	2 1 1 8 2 4 1 13 1 12	Rs. u. 2 10 1 13 1 5 2 2 1 8 1 8 2 1 1 15	Rs. a. 3 3 2 4 1 11 2 7 1 15 1 15 2 4 1 12	Rs. a. 5 8 5 8 5 15 6 15 6 15 7 5 5 14	Rs. a. 6 4 4 4 4 5 6 4 6 1 6 2 5 0	16 0 16 0 15 5 14 13	Rs. a. 11 0 11 8 10 12 10 15 10 12 10 11 10 12 11 4	Rs. c. 2 8 2 4 1 6 2 3 2 4 1 8 1 10 1 11	Es. a. 2 2 1 14 1 2 1 13 1 14 1 7 1 6 1 7	Rs. a. 4. 4. 4. 4. 6. 6. 6. 6. 3. 2. 2. 3. 4.	Rs. a. 4 0 3 12 2 14 3 2 3 2 3 2 2 15 3 3	Rs. a. 2 8 2 3 1 5 1 14 2 11 2 12 2 3 2 1	Ps. n. 3 14 3 3 2 3 2 13 4 2 4 1 2 6 2 5	Rs. a. 4 8 4 1 2 7 3 8 4 7 4 7 4 3 3 7	Rs. a. 4 14 4 2 3 3 3 11 4 1 4 1 4 2 3 13	Bs. a. 4 9 3 9 2 3 3 4 3 10 3 10 - 3 6 2 14		Rs, s. 3 14 2 9 2 3 2 7 2 12 2 12 2 12 2 9

APPENDIX XX.

RETURN of BIRTHS and DEATHS and VACCINATION in the Jacobabad taluka during the past 8 years.

Y	car.	Births.	Deaths.	Vacon	Re-vac-	Instructe
1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903	•••	. 1116 . 1067 . 1155 . 1147 . 1246 . 1135	913 999 700 637 852 737 993 811	1360 1621 1509 1457 1550 1570 1828 1540	478 428 262 265 114 215 76 28	The figures for births and deaths are for the calendar years and those of vaccination for the financial years.

C. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX XXI.

JACOBABAD TALUKA.

STATEMENT showing Coercive processes adopted in the recovery of land revenue during the past 4 years in the Jacobabad taluka.

				-															
		NOTICE UNDER S. 152, (BOMBAY ACT V OF 1873.)	s S. 152, of 1873.)	FENA	FENALTY UNDER B. 148.	B S. 148.		DISTRAINT AN PROPERTY	BAINT AND SALE OF MOVEABLE PROPERTY UNDER S. 154.	MOVEABLE		-	ORFEITUR	E AND SAI	FORFEITURE AND SALE OF OCCUPATOR UNDER S. 153.	WOT UNI	DER S. 1	53.	
Þ		Amount of	Amonat			H		Arrears	Arrears	A mornit		Arrears	Occupancy of land	y of land forfeited.	Geougancy of land sold to the public.		Forfeited land returned to defaulter.	Occupance remain:	Occupancy of land remaining with Government.
X GBF.	No. of cases.	arrears for which notice issued.	ā g	No. of ensea.	Amount due.	Amount levied.	то, об саква	on account	of which sale was resorted to	realised by	asam to on Fig.	of which forfenure was reserted to	Area.	Assess- ment,	Arou.	Arego	диншеная ү	Area.	Assess- ment.
		Rs. a. p.	P.S. B.		fis, a,	RE. a.		RS. a.	RE. 8.	Rs. & p.		Rs. 9.	₩ 80	Rs. 3.	A. Rs. Rs.	, A. 65.	٠ــ	A. 8.	Rs. a.
1900-1901	464	50,454 8 0	2(3 12	12	1,975 14	43 0	:	<u>:</u>			27 1,	1,619 14	649 27	1,524 9	:	:	<u>.</u>	6:9 27	1,524 9
1901-1902	338	35,360 11 7	7 136 4	8	1,817 4	115 4	:	:			16	736 14	263 25	687 14	: 	: 	:	283 25	687 14
1902-1993	328	35,086 15 5	5 138 4	9	421 14	1 25 4	:	:	4				:	•	: :		: 	:	ŧ
1903-1904	381	52,893 11 6	s 161 0	; 	ì	iS		780 14	\$1 082	718 5 4	12	0 941	67 36	167 10	:			67 83	167 10
Torat	15.1	1,75,825 9 6	6 639 4	1 16	3,315 0	0 183 8	H	780 14	780 14	118 5 4	55 63	2,526 12	1,001 8	2,380 1	:	:	· · · ·	1,601 8	2,380 1
AVERAGE	378	43,956 6	5 159 13	13	828 12	2 45 14	:	195 4	195 4	179 9 4	17	621 11	250 13	0 563	:	 :	:	220 12	595 0

C. M. BAKER.
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

56

APPENDIX XXII.

Nominal Boll of large Landholders in the Jacobabad taluka.

		1896	3-97.	1903-	1904.	DECR	EASE.	Incr	EASE.	
No.	Name of khatadar.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Remarks.
		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	
1	Bahram Khan Abdul Ghani, Umrani,	1,096 37	440 8	1,096 30	1,868 6	0 7			1,427 14	
2	Ilahi Bakhsh Kalati Khan, Khoso.	890 25	500 7	992 35	1,149 11	***	···	102 10	649 4	Granted more land.
3	Kimatrai Kashiram, Hindu	1,072 25	534 1	1,072 25	1,195 2				661 1	
4	Badal Khan Bangul Khan, Dasti,	454 10	1,443 6	382 15	1,339 7	71 35	103 15	•••	111	Fold the land.
5	Musamat Zainab wife of Bakhsho, Kehar.	270 20	555 6	292 25	670 8		****	22 5	121 2	
6	Jethomal Dhanumal, Hindu	260 31	516 10	260 31	658 2	***		.,.	141 8	
7	Fateh Khan Hasan Khan, Sabayo.	1,228 31	1,412 7	1,264 1	1,487 10	-11-		35 10	75. 3	•
8	Hamid Khan Ghulam Husein, Wagho,	3,010 7	4,826 7	3 ,171 28	4,975 9	"	.,.	161 21	149 2	Granted more land.
9	Ghulam Haidar Kaisar Khan, Washo.	1,139 25	2,437 9	1,509 20	4,371 1			369 35	1,933 8	Do.
10	Lukman Haji Khan, Khoso	1,036 18	705 14		1223	1,036 18	705 14	,,,,		Died: khata transferred to his son, Vide No. 93,
11	Bahadur Khan Dil Murad Khan, Khoso,	2,128 18	2,772 9	2,770 21	4,998 13			642 3	2,226 4	Granted more land.
12	Dad Muhammad Zangi Khan, Khoso.	1,288 35	2,320 7	1,629 35	3,067 1			341 0	746 10	Do.
13	Dost Muhammad Yar Mu- hammad, Birohi.	416 25	562 3	420 35	413 2	1	149 1	4 10	·	
14	Kalandar Shah Khair Shah, Sayad.	791 15	1,362 3	7 <mark>83</mark> 30	2,040 13	7 25			678 10	
15	Saidino Suleman, Sarki	2 33 2 5	566 0	232 0	648 5	1 25		•••	82 5	
16	Dewalmal Parumal, Hindu	1,106 3	1,799 8	1,099 38	2,387 4	6 5			587 12	
17	Daryadinomal Kodumal, Hindu.	1,960 39	2,849 12	552 39	1,234 3	1,408 1	1,615 9	***	•••	Transferred to Nur Muham mad No. 130 in accordance with the Civil Court's decree.
18	Bachal Khan Mauledino, Sadhayo,	1,144 25	1,382 1	1,152 11	1,591 4			7 26	2(9 3	400200.
19	Hamid Khan Ghulam Mu- hammad, Panwhar.	1.571 15	937 6	1,664 35	2,807 14		•••	93 20	1,870 8	
20	Musamat Chhuti, daughter of Bakhsho, Kehar.	455 20	819 5	A.T.	-24	455 20	819 5	444	L£0	Sold his land to No. 114.
21	Warisdino Dhanidino, Panwhar.	422 19	815 10	ay	/at	422 19	815 10		lle	Died: his son inherited Vide No. 112.
22	Chandiram Doulatram, Hindu.	751 35	1,206 6	744 25	2,452 12	7 10	•••		1,246 6	
\$ 3	Khan Muhammad Dur Mu- hammad, Jamali.	4 20 12	605 12	420 12	849 8	***		;	243 12	
24	Dhoran Khan Gahno Khan, Khoos,	853 3 5	1,410 9	,		853 35	1,410 9	,		Died: khata transferred to his daughter-in-law Vide No. 88,
25	Lashkar Khan Khair Mu- hammad, Jamali,	481 10	782 5	473 5	702 8	8 5	79 13			
2 6	Khialdas Bhawanmal, Hindu.	402 15	809 4	402 15	1,377 2	•,,		***	567 14	
27	Ibrahim Khan Piaro Khan, Jamali.	8 65 3 5	816 11	179 80	277 4	186 5	539 7	111		Sold his land.
2 8	Mughim Khan Bakhsho Khan, Bulehdi.	2,746 15	3,435 6	2,277 0	3,865 8	469 15	69 14	•••	***	Partitioned with his brother. Vide No. 104.
2 9	Sadik Muhammad Baksho Khan, Bulehdi.	3 07 3 5	649 8	307 35	953 15			····	304 7	
3 0	Rasul Bakksh Amir Bakhsh, Bhuto.	7,483 22	10,609 1	7,369 20	11,216 9	114 2		100	607 8	

		1896	6-97.	1963	1904.	Disci	EASE.	Inch	EASE.	
No.	Name of khatedar.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Remares.
		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rg. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	
31	Kalandar Baksh Khalik- dad, Panwhar.	764 35	1,175 12	***	•••	764 35	1,175 12		•••	Died: his son inherited. Vide No. 109.
32	Mir Khan Balu Khan, Jamali	532 85	969 0	401 20	598 6	128 15	370 10	•••		
33	Ghulam A'i Khan Jafar Khan, Bulehdi.	2,390 25	3,978 7	2,252 5	4,963 0	138 20		•••	984 9	Partitioned with his brothers.
34	Azizulah Suhrab Khan, Khoso.	284 5	844 4	284 15	947 7			0 10	103 3	
35	Gulab Khan Itbar Khan, Rind.	302 15	523 0	243 30	775 7	58 25		***	252 0	
36	Sakhawatrai Sahibrai, Hindu.	379 5	607 2	383 5	875 2			4 0	68 0	
37	Karimdino Mulan Rato, Drakhan.	11,257 13	14,006 5	6,588 18	9,782 1	4,668 35	4,224 4		•••	Partitioned with his brother, Vide No. 92.
3 8	Rahim Khan Kadir Baksh,	6,603 10	6,295 13			6,603 10	6,295 13	•••	•••	Died: his son inherited.
39	Khoso. Osto Muhammad Alahdad, Drakhan.	404 2	852 4		<i>[</i>]	404 2	852 4			Died: his son inherited.
40	Shah Muhammad Pir Baksh, Chhajro.	822 26	1,357 5	786 1	1,436 5	36 25	***	***	79 0	, 2 2.2
41	Musamat Murad Khatun wife of Ghulan Kadir,	541 35	835 7	4		541 35	835 7			Transferred the khata to Mulammad Hasan, No. 89,
4 2	Chhajro. Rahman Khan Minho Khan, Jamali.	383 26	595 7	335 1	959 14	11/17	Vist	1 15	364 7	in partition.
43	Sher Muhammad Chhato Khan, Bhuto,	43 5 2	753 15	428 18	950 8	6 24	•••	***	196 9	
41	Amin wd. Jani, Buriro	1,297 20	1,089 5	1,231 30	1,445 0	62 30	/		355 11	
4 5	Imam Baksh Mir Muham- mad, Buriro.	3, 484 20	4,413 9	1,667 30	2,705 4	1,816 30	1,708 5	***		Partitioned with his brother. Vide No. 94.
4 6	Wali Muhammad Kalandar Baksh, Buriro.	645 10	987 5	966 35	2,422 12	14		321 25	1,435 7	Inherited from his father.
47	Karim Baksh Ali Baksh, Buriro.	644 35	940 17	633 0	1,510 14	11 35			600 3	,
48	Sachedino Khan Muham- mad, Buriro.	876 0	982 7	596 0	1,061 8	280 0	***		82 1	Partitioned with his brothers.
49	Sharbat Khan Jafar Khan, Mundrani.	1,944 20	3,068 12	2,130 3	6,511 10		• • • •	185 23	3,442 14	Gets land on khas mokal.
50	Baloch Khan Dodo Khan, Mundrani,	764 35	1,194 1		***	764 35	1,194 1			Died: his son inherited Vide No. 126.
51	Osto Allanhdo Bhaledino, Drakhan,	4,908 27	5,268 0	4,920 22	7,915 6		•••	11 35	2,647 6	
52	Rahimdino Wahidino, Drakhan.	8,649 31	10,148 6	2,491 0	4,703 12	6,158 31	5,444 10		_ E _	Partitioned with his brothers. Vide Nos. 127,
53	Dodo Khan Pir Baksh, Bhuto,	17.261 31	20,714 5	.a\	/al	17,261 31	20,714 5	1111	ite	Died: his son inherited. Vide No. 125.
.54	Tujo Khan Alam Khan, Odho,	5,664 21	8,213 7			5,664 21	8,213 7		***	Died: his son inherited.
55	Budho Khan Pir Baksh. Thahim.	2,955 7	4,173 7	2,849 27	5,951 11	105 20			1,778 4	
56	Musamut Hava daughter of Chhutal Khan, Mirkhiani	4,965 86	5,796 1	4,499 1	7,320 2	466 35			1,524 1	Fallow forfeited.
57	Nabi Baksh Talib Khan, Odho,	2,430 2	2,114 12	2,424 32	4,297 4	5 10			2,182 8	
58	Imam Baksh do,	1,458 5	1,569 0	1,353 35	1,740 2	104-10			171 2	Partitioned with his brother, Vide No. 722
59	Mehrab Khan Piaro Khan, Jamali.	546 36	977 5	567 11	1,631 2	•••		20 15	653 18	210111111111111111111111111111111111111
60	Miandad Gulbeg, Jamali	1,905 25	1,126 5	•••		1,005 25	1,126 5	•••	···	Died: his son inherited. Vide No. 122.
61	Ali Sher Lashar Khan, Jamali,	323 12	860 8		•••	323 12	860 8	***	***	Died: his son inherited
62	Khuda Baksh Dodo Khan, Bhuto.	5,961 19	9,172 15		•	5,961 19	9,172 15	•••	***	Transferred the whole khata to No. 117,
63	Dodo Khan Ganwhar Khan, Bulehdi.	495 0	769 7		•••	495 0	769 7	•••	•••	Died: his son inherited.

		1894-	1895.	1903-	1904.	DECE	MASH.	Inca	KASB.	
No.	Name of khatadar.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area,	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Bunabie.
		A. g.	Ra. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	
64	Bakhsho Mirza, Bulshdi	386 3	583 1	886 3	801 14				218 13	
65	Haibat Khan Malhu Khan, Bulehdi.	384 30	897 11	•••		384 3 0	897 11	•••		Died: his sons inherited. Vide Nos. 120 and 121.
66	Muso Khan Ganwhar Khan, Chaliwan.	202 30	648 11	75 25	268 10	127 5	380 1		•••	Partitioned with his rela- tions.
67	Dulahdinomal Tekehand .	502 5	758 6	•••	•••	502 5	758 6	• •••	•••	Died: his son inherited.
68	Hashmatrai Kimatrai	3,358 36	4,076 2	3,352 16	6,358 1	6 20		•••	2,281 15	Vide No. 123.
69	Gokaldas Chhatomal	2,666 5	3,121 0	2,608 20	5,829 1	57 25		•••	2,708 1	
70	Kimatrai Ramol and	3,360 4	3,905 5	3,360 19	6,209 13		***	0 15	2,304 8	
71	Din Muhammad Mahbat Khan, Khoso.	242 39	584 10	242 39	791 1			•••	206 7	
72	Alah Bakhah Talib Khan, Odho.	1,446 0	3,236 11	2,433 34	4,456 7			987 34	1,219 12	Got in partition from him brother. Vide No. 58.
73	Malhumal Sumomal	2,848 6	3,218 12	2,833 30	6,793 14	14 16			8,175 2	
74	Ghulam Nabi Mahrab Khan, Sadhayo.	696 3 0	1,089 13		<i>[</i>]	696 30	1,089 13			Died: his son inherited. Vide No. 87.
7 5	Gada Khan Ramzan Khan, Bhaio.	3,805 21	4,385 9	3,805 11	5,863 4	0 10			1,497 11	
76	Kaisar Khan Warayo,	464 21	1,066 9	336 26	081 3	127 35	85 6			Partitioned with his rela-
77	Ghulum Haidar Mahrub Khan, Sadhayo,	691 5	1,042 7	691 5	1,281 14			7	239 7	
78	Rasul Bukhah Kuisar Khan, Wagho.	•••	-7:	256 30	597 15			256 30	597 15	Got in partition.
79	Jamshedji Pullanji			888 34	1.078 2	800		888 34	1.078 2	 Inherited from his brother.
80	,	181 35	461 15	191 35	589 15		//	7 0	128 0	
81	Mithumal Kamumal	179 35	446 13	192 25	G05 8			12 30	158 11	1
82	Mitho Pandhi Sarki	208 20		208 20	628 0	1 To 1			539 4	
۶3	Ranhdomal Sidhumal	159 25	287 9	330 20	1,004 2			170 35	716 9	Got in accordance with Civil Court's decree from
81	Kherajmal Dewalmal	323 31	416 5	588 26	1,743 4	민관		264 35	1,326 15	Chhinkumal. Do. Lekhumal.
85	Ahmad Khan Chodio Khan, Sadhayo,	175 5	346 10	175 0	522 4	0 5			175 10	
86	Ali Bakhsh Mahrab Khan, Panwhar,	344 23	435 6	344 23	590 15	•••• 			155 9	
87	Ghulam Rasul Ghulam Nabi, Sadhayo.	•••		696 30	1.645 0	! 	: : •••	696 30	1,645 0	Inherited from his father. Vide No. 74.
88	Musumat Sumri wife of Gahno, Khoso.		Ť	248 35	572 2	 !		248 35	572 2	Inherited from her father- in-law. Vide No. 24.
89	Muhammad Hasan Kadir Bukhsh, Chhajro.	rul		342 5	832 12		1S1	342 5	832 12	Inherited from No. 41.
90	Alah Bakhsh Abdul Rah- man, Bhati.			353 15	783 10			353 15	783 10	Got from his father who had purchased it.
91	Partabrai Ramchand	177 31	469 14	177 31	532 11	 			62 13	
92	Sahibdino Mulan Rato, Drakhan.		} 	5,313 25	8,074 10			5,313 25	8,074 10	Got from No. 37 in partition.
98	Abdul Karim Lukman, Khoso.	•••	 !	1,036 39	979 4	i	ļ	1,036 39	979 4	Inherited from No 10.
91	Nabi Bakhah Mir Muham- mad, Buriro.	•…	: 1	1,319 20	2,345 6		·	1,319 20	2,345 6	Do. 45.
95	Husen Shah Khudadad Shah.	305 15	383 1			305 15	383 1			Died : his son inherited Vide No. 96.
96	Sijawal Shah Husen Shah.			305 15	731 4			805 15	781 4	Inherited from No. 95.
97	Nabi Bakhsh Lukman, Bulehdi.		· •••	217 10	569 0		i i	217 10	569 0	Got in partition.
98	Sathi Phulu Buriro	560 10	444 4	551 30	908 2	8 20	† 		463 14	
99	Faiz Muhammad Ghulam Muhammad, Buriro.	270 0	238 7	270 0	579 11	•••	 .		341 4	
100	Abdul Nabi Pir Bakhsh, Buriro.			435 30	954 15			435 30	954 15	

		1896-	1897.	1908	-1904.	DECE	BEASU.	Inca	RASD.	_
No.	Name of khamdar,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Rewabes.
		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Ra. a.	
101	Punhu Khan Ibrahim Khan, Jamali.	268 10	260 7	•••		268 10	260 7			Died: his son inherited.
102	Murid Punhu Khan, Ja- mali.	•••	•••	221 30	640 4			221 30	640 4	Inherited from his father. Vide No. 101.
103	Wali Muhammad Jafar Khan, Bulehdi.	207 10	375 14	207 10	701 11	•••			825 13	
104	Dilawar Khan Bakhsho Khan, Bulshdi.	•••		394 30	556 5		*	394 30	556 5	Got in partition from his brother. Vide No. 28.
105	Ali Khan Gazi Khan, Ja-	245 14	887 6	245 9	503 11	0 5			116 5	
106	Ganwhar Khan Mir Mu- hammad, Jamali.	272 5	453 7	272 5	918 14				465 7	
107	Mehrab Khan Ghulam Mu- hammad, Jamali.	221 24	363 7	221 34	532 6			0 10	168 15	
108	Chruto Khan Gazi Khan, Lashari.	154 0	367 9	151 25	522 13	2 15	•••		155 4	ż.
109	Gul Muhammad Kalandar Bakhsh, Panwhar.	,		7 67 3 5	1,229 11	***	***	767 35	1,229 11	Inherited from No. 31.
110	Bachul Khan Fakir Mu- hammad, Bulehdi.	346 20	479 9	344 10	712 9	2 10			233 0	
111	Ali Bakhsh Hamid Khan,	116 30	267 15	230 9	761 15			113 19	4.94 0	
112	Sadhayo. Alam Khan Warisdino, Panwhar.		***	644 19	1,393 6			644 19	1,393 6	Inherited from his father. Vide No. 21.
113	Muhammad Araf Shah Ghous Muhammad Shah.	160 04	442 14	160 34	582 15	100			140 1	
114	Pokarmal Manghumal		4	382 5	1,008 5			882 5	1,008 5	Purchased from No. 20.
115	Khair Muhammad Abdul	***		237 5	685 0	33.		237 5	685 0	Purchased the land.
116	Rahman, Bhati. Chhinkumal Pamanmal,	170 35	360 10	219 27	779 9		4	48 32	418 15	Purchased more land.
117	Hindu. Shah Nawaz Khan Ghulam			5,685 87	9,939 13	77	5	5,635 37	9,989 13	Inherited from No. 62.
118	Murtiza, Bhuto. Balu Khan Dudo Khan,		***	495 0	1,366 12	(1)	***	495 0	1,366 12	Inherited from No. 63.
119	Bulehdi. Gahno Khan Bahram Khan,	451 35	482 0	451 35	1,234 15	यते	***		752 15	
120	Jamali. Diat Khan Haibat Khan,		,,,,	218 35	717 9			218 35	717 9	Inherited from No. 65.
121	Bulehdi. Malhu Khan, Bulehdi		,	161 25	567 5		•••	161 25	567 5	Do.
122	Gul Beg Khan Miandad, Jamali.		•••	1,005 25	2,114 10			1,005 25	2,114 10	Inherited from No. 60.
128	Mithumal Dulahdinomal, Hindu.	. = 1	Ŧ 1	465 25	926 12	71		465 25	926 12	Do. 67.
124	Lal Muhammad Tajo Khan,			5,835 12	13,520 15		15t	5,835 12	13,520 15	Do. 54.
125	Odho. Ilahi Bakhsh Dudo Khan,	•••	•	16,858 2	21,589 8			16,858 2	21,589 8	Do. 53.
126	Bhuto. Dodo Khan Baloch Khan.	•		933 24	1,184 1		,	983 24	1,184 1	Do. 50.
127	Mundrani. Bhaledino Khuda Bakhsh,			825 25	1,020 5			825 25	1,020 5	Got in partition from No. 52.
128	Drakhan. Alah Bakhah Wahidino,		•••	2,197 15	3,298 13			2,197 15	3,298 13	Do.
129	Drakhan. Abdul Gafur, Drakhan	.,,	,	2,258 23	4,587 7			2,258 23	4,587 7	Do.
130	Nur Muhammad Khan Mu- hammad Sheikh.			1,254 20	1,882 15			1,254 20	1,882 15	Got in accordance with Civil Court's decree, vide
181	Nebhau Khan Osto Muham-		***	401 2	944 12			404 2	944 12	No. 17. Inherited from No. 89.
182	mad, Drakhan. Hazar Khan Rahim Khan,		,	6,767 19	11,141 0			6,767 19	11,141 0	Do. 39.
-04	Khoso.		127				1	l		

C. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier

No. 3199 of 1905.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Superintending Engineer's Office, I. R. B. D., Karachi, 8th June 1905.

From

D. GEORGE, ESQUIBE,
Superintending Engineer,
Indus Right Bank Division,

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

SIR,

With reference to letter No. 990 of the 28th March last from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, submitting proposals for the revision of the settlement in taluka Jacobahad, I have the honour to submit the following report.

- 2. I am in cordial agreement with the Deputy Commissioner's proposals, and especially with the proposed rise in the rates of rice and dubari rates, which have hitherto been lightly assessed. I have accordingly but few remarks to make.
- 3. The Deputy Commissioner has proposed to divide group I into two groups, I-A and I-B, in the former of which the best rice crops are produced and in the latter the best dry crops; though these crops, it is stated, are liable to deteriorate if much rice is growing in the vicinity. The same rate is, however, proposed for the dry crops in both groups, but a lower rice rate is proposed in group I-B. As it is well known that the tendency of rice cultivation is to increase and as it is admitted that the extension of rice will deteriorate the soil of the fields that now grow dry crops, it is a matter for consideration whether it is wise to make the rate for rice lower in group I-B than in group I-A as it may have a tendency to transfer the rice cultivation from group I-A, which is best suited for it and where it already exists, to group I-B, where it should not be encouraged.
- 4. It is true that the Deputy Commissioner states that group I-B contains but little rice, and that not capable of bearing the highest rates, but as rice is not wanted in group I-B and its presence is injurious to other crops, if the higher rate chokes rice off entirely, no harm will have been done, but rather the reverse.
- 5. I would not suggest any increase in the dry crop rates for class I B, although the dry crop lands are admittedly better than those in group I-A, as zamindars with land in both classes of dehs might be tempted to grow these dry crops in the dehs where the assessment is lowest.

Mr. Johnston, Executive Engineer, Begari canals, reports that in his opinion the following 9 dehs should be taken out of group I-B and put in I-A:—

1. Badal Wah.

2. Lal Lodro.

3. Dasti.

4. Dilawarpur.

5. Meharshah.

6. Cantonment.

7. Janodero.

8. Nawazo.

9. Rind Wahi.

He states that they are all near a ready market, their water-supply is good, and the land is, in his opinion, quite equal in quality to many of the dehs already grouped in in I-A.

If the rates are made the same in both groups I-A and I-B, as I am inclined to think the proper course, there is of course no object in dividing group I into two.

6. The Deputy Commissioner proposes to abolish the garden rate. The principal garden crops in the district are vegetables, melons, cucumber, mangoes, Indian corn, but there are no perennial crops such as plantains or sugarcane. The Desert canal now flows for 10 months of the year and the Begari nearly 8, and it is possible this class of cultivation may arise and necessitate a special rate in the near future; but at present there seems no objection to the abolition of the garden rate.



No. 2519 or 1905.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Deputy Commissioner's affice, Jacobabad, 9th July 1905.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

Τo

The Commissioner in Sind.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No. 1804, dated the 14th June 1905, on the subject noted on the margin, I have the honour to submit a statement showing the extent of rice cultivation in the I-B group, the dehs recommended for transfer to the I-A group being placed first.

- 2. In these 9 dehs, there is practically no rice grown. In some, e. g., Lal Lodro, it would be impossible to grow it as the supply is lift. But all the 9 dehs are within 5 miles of Jacobabad, and there may be a temptation to grow rice in those where there is flow irrigation. Mr. Baker considered the Rs. 4. rate on I-B as heavy a burden as Rs. 4-8 on I-A. His opinion is entitled to great weight, and it may therefore be taken that any rate above Rs. 4 for I-B is not a fair one.
- 3. The extension of rice cultivation is by no means a benefit and has been partly, at any rate, responsible for the temporary ruin of the Shahdadpur taluka. Even at the present moment, with the canals flowing at their full capacity, and fuller than ordinary, the water has barely reached parts of that taluka. This is almost entirely due to the absorption of the supply by the rice cultivation in the west of the Jacobabad taluka. My own opinion is that, where rice has already been cultivated to any extent, a fair rate only should be imposed, or hardship will be caused, but that where rice has not been cultivated to any appreciable extent, the rate should be at least mildly prohibitive.
- 4. For these reasons, I agree with the Executive Engineer's proposal regarding his 9 dehs and would go even further and add to them all I-B dehs in which the area under rice last year was under 50 acres. I do not think it would be fair to prohibit the cultivation of rice where it has already been permitted to any extent, and do not agree with the Superintending Engineer that the whole of class I-B should be assessed with I-A. But this is a matter of opinion, and only 3 or 4 dehs are affected, and the question arises whether it is worth making a I-B class for 4 dehs only when the advantages of the restriction of rice are so great.
- 5. With regard to the Superintending Engineer's 5th paragraph, Rs. 2-12 does not appear to be a high rate for good flow lands, and I submit that Mr. Baker had a knowledge of the fertility of the soil possessed neither by myself nor by the officers of the Public Works Department. It should be sufficient check on rice to put a high assessment on it without making other flow cultivation cheaper than it deserves. A great deal of water is wasted on flow dry crop land, and in my humble opinion it is the lift cultivator who deserves compassion. This he has received in the continuance of his present rates.
- 6. If the Superintending Engineer's proposal to abolish class I-B for rice and mine that Mr. Baker's dry crop rates should stand are accepted, the effect is that class I-B is altogether abolished.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C. A. BEYTS,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

**	Di		Area of	the		R	ice culti	VATIO) И ,	
No.	Deh.	ļ	deh.		1902-1	03.	1903-19	904.	1904-19	90 5 .
			A.	g.	A .	g.	A.	g.	A.	g,
1	Badal Wah	• • •	3,461	30						
2	Dilawarpur		3,961	38	3	35	29	15	3	25
3	Janidero		10,420	20	10	0	20	0	5	0
4.	Lal Lodro		1,665	0	• • • •				•••	
5	Mehar Shah	•••	1,936	3	4	0	10	5	10	5
6	Nawazo		6,430						• • •	
7	Dasti		1,375	18	5	20	19	20	25	30
8	Cantonment		1,816	11					•••	
9	Rind Wahi		3,727	35					• • •	
10	Shahpur		4,327	20	153	15	168		152	
11	Fatehpu r		2,635	39	104	0	110	15	47	10
12	Shahdadpur		1,949	0						
13	Bachalpur		2,419	38	118	35	93	30	85	5
14	Kaisar <mark>abad</mark>		2,948				,		l .	15
35	Moula <mark>dad</mark>		1,624	38	63	0	69	0		35
16	Mulah Rato		3,005	0	2	15	2	15		10
17	Khair Wah		2,803	29	120	0	258	26	251	11
18	Thari <mark>ri Bhaleno</mark>		2,841	10	44	5	58		•••	
19	Bhalenabad		1,875	9			25	30	•••	
20	Dadpur	•••	3,996	24	4///		,.,		•••	

Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

Revenue Survey and Assessment.

Sind.

Revision settlement of the Jacobabad Táluka of the Upper Sind Frontier District.

No. 11333.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 30th November 1906.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 1642, dated 21st June 1906-Submitting, with

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 990, dated 28th March 1905, and accompaniments.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, Indus Right Bank Division, No. 3199, dated 8th June 1905.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 2519, dated 8th July 1905.

dated 9th July 1905, and accompaniment.

Letter* from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 3759, dated 9th December 1905.

his remarks, the papers specified in the margin, containing proposals for the revision settlement of the Jacobabad Táluka of the Upper Sind Frontier District.

RESOLUTION.—The proposals made by the Commissioner in Sind are sanctioned. The appended statement has shows the rates as sanctioned.

- The settlement should be introduced from 1st August 1906, and guaranteed for a period of ten years subject to the usual reservation.
- The petitions of objections do not disclose any grounds which would lead Government to modify the orders passed above.

G. MONTEATH,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind (with the maps. It is requested that the requisite number of copies of the same may be supplied to Govern-

The Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier (with) the petitions of objections),

Engineer, Indus Right Bank The Superintending Division,

The Accountant General,

The Public Works Department of the Secretariat,

The Government of India (by letter).

With of the memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind and of its accompaniments.

* Not printed.

† Printed on the reverse.

Rev 3509

No.

of 1906.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

	Barani		B. 8. p.	1 8 0	1 8 0	1 8 0
!	ari,	Watered, Unwatered.	Rs. a. p. Rs. s. p.	0 0 1	100	200 100 180
ĺ	Dubari.	Watered.	Rs. 2. p.	0 0 81	0 0 81	2 0 0
BABI.	Chahi or wells.			Will be charged in accord-	of the rules for the administration of irri-	(Commissioner's special circular No. 59).
	Irrigated	100	· & D.	8 8 0	8 4 0	3 0 0
1	d Im	ਰ .	P. R.	69		
	Un- irrigated rabi, i.e.,	bosi and sailabi.	R8. 8.	3 0 0	2 12 0	2 8 0
			pt,	_		
٠	Barani	43	Rs,	1 8	- 8	1 8
7	Irrigated woods and	meadows.	Rs. a, p. Rs. s. p. Rs. s. p. Rs. s. p.	0 9	140 180	120 180
	Irr	me	Rs	-		
	Chahí or wells.	A PARTY	यभव	Will be charged in accord- 1 6 0 1 8 0 ance with the new Rule 6	of the rules for the administration of irri-gaticual settlements	(Commissioner's special circular No. 59).
ij.	Lift aided by flow.			61 ·	1.14 0	1 10 0
KHARIP.	Flow sided by		Rs. s. p. Bs. s. p. Rs. s. p. Rs. s. p. Rs. s. p.	2 10 0	0 9 2	2 2 0
	Title		Rs. s. p.	0 0	1 12 0	180
 - -	Other flow.		Bs. a. p.	2 12 0	8 8	2 4 0
	Rice		Rs. 8, p.	4 8 0	0 0	3 8 0
	Gardens.			To be assessed ac.	<u> </u>	
	Group.			; H	- II	

Gul

*This includes rabi crops which have been irrigated (in any way, except from wells) after being sown.



